HEADERINTO
PROBLEM NUMBER M800023719

| PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M800023719 } \\ & \text { P1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | cus CUS | NAME: <br> NUMBER: | JOHNSSON PAPPERS S1 00001199001 | AB, BERNDT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROBLEM TYPE: | ESC |  | Cust | CONTACT: | TORBJORN SAGNER | (mang |
| LINK TO PROB NO: |  |  | cus | CONT PHONE: | 46-8 -705-8678 |  |
|  |  |  | Cus | ADDRESS 1: | CYPRESSV[GEN 21 |  |
|  |  |  | cus | ADDRESS 2: | ANDERS OLSSON |  |
| SYSTEM MODEL HO: |  |  | Cus | ADDRESS 3: |  |  |
| GEN SYST MODEL: | CPU |  | CusI | CITY: | MATM |  |
| O. S. VERSION: | 0129 |  | CusI | ST/PROV: |  |  |  |
| HF MODEL NUMBER: | CS/386-4008 |  | cust | EIP: <br> COUsITRY: | 21363- CUST | RDB: 32400 |
| SW MODEL NUMBER: | 03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP VERSION: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0129 |  | RDB | ASSIGNED: | 8760 |  |
|  |  |  | PERS | ON ASSIGNED: | BARIA MICHAEL E |  |
| ALL INEO. AVAILABLE: Y |  |  | ORIG | SAME: | SAGMER TORBJORN |  |
|  |  |  | ORIG | EMPL NO: | S1-00449 |  |
| SERIAL NUMBER: |  |  | ORIG | PHONE: | - - - |  |
|  |  |  | ORIE | RDB: | 32400 |  |
| CALL TRKG DATE: | 00/00/00 | 00:00 | DATE | ENTER PTR: | 11/18/93 |  |
| CLL TRKG HO |  |  | RES | DEPLOYED : |  |  |
| STATUS DATE: | 01/18/94 |  | DATE | TO RED: | 11/24/93 |  |
| STATUS CODE: | S C 595 |  | NKDA | YS IN RED: | 55.00 |  |
| STATUS ABEREV: | PERM FIX |  | TOT | HKDAYS OREN: | 61.00 |  |
| STATUS DESC: | PERMANENT FIX - GENERAL RELEASE |  |  |  |  |  |

PROBLEM STATEMENT :SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 11/18/93 TIME: 04:13
Performance problem after upgrade to os 1.29
If users exit application with Raser the system becomes slow, it
looks like the Cpu is "looping". The system becomes more slower after
each performd exit using RESET insted of by the program deffind exit.
There are three ways to get around this.

1. Wait aprox $1 / 2$ hour the the system is ok
2. Reboot system
3. Tell all users to awoid the Reser exit. (they are used to use Resry and it worked before with the old system)
I can't tell if they have this problem before with the TURBO and OS 1.10

# PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING 

DATE: 01/10/94 TIME: 05:31
Yes, for the moment. please return call for closure.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E . . DATE: 12/15/93 TIME: 16:26.
Torbjorn, is customer satisfied with current operation and performance of system?

ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 12/15/93 TIME: 06:49
We have decided to stay whith'version 1.3. IF there be a future fix then we go whith that one. Please close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/09/93 TIME: 10:03
Dirdrsome more testing with 1.30.01 concerning performance. Performance slow downs we have reproduced occur when using the old bus with heavy disk access while also doing a lot of screen $1 / 0$ to the MXF. sPerformance was about the same if using disk through the 22C11-Hs Controller on either $0 / 5$. However, any heavy activity on the old bus for example with TC may also create a performance problem w/ 1.30.01. You will need to weigh the trade-offs between the 2. If you are experiencing performance problems since upgrading from 1.1 , is it more of a problem than what terminal hangs may have occurred on 1.1. We are looking at the MXF code now but it is highly unlikely we will be able to make much progress against these problems unless a change of heart occurs and the contract for our R\&D person is extended. If this is going to create a serious issue for you, you should make your concerns known.

ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 12/09/93 TIME: 07:07
Mike, i don't know what is best. I think we should stay whith 1.3. do you hav e any suggestions.

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/07/93 TIME: 13:47

Have a new Turbo $0 / S$, what we are calling 1.25. It is the same as the latest Turbo Rel 1.30.01 but without the MXF terminal hang fix done between 1.1 and 1.18. Basically 1.25 gives you the same performance as 1.1 with all the latest bug fixes in 1.30.01. However, with 1.25 rel you can expect that the terminal hang problem will be back. This gives you the choice of either 1.30 .01 with possible performance issue or 1.25 with possible intermittent terminal hang. Let me know if you would like to try 1.25 . We are currently looking at the MXF code. Unfortunately, come January we will likely lose our 1 R\&D engineer needed to fix bugs as it is currently planned to let the contract expire. If you have concerns about this they should probably be addressed with any or all of the following people:

Don Casey, Erecutive Director of R\&D
Gene Roy, Manager of Continuation Engineering
Bob Trottier, Director of Continuation Engineering


Can this problem be duplicated? What kind of programs are running when the ... .... 1 ....... ...... :. .
 reproduce the problem would be helpful. Does it only seem to occur after $\quad$ :
 hung or extremely slow?

PROBLEM STATEMENT : LAU VICTOR
DATE: 07/23/92 TIMR: 23:34
PROB: Two CS/TURBO multiplex to a DS. When one CPO running CPU diagnostic from eBOOT menu, the other CPU can't access the same disk drive.
H/H: CS/386-800N x2, 2275MUX x2, 22C80 x2, 2236mXF x4 DS $x 2$ ( $1.2 M+150 M$ tape $+140 M+140 M+$ R3E PROM )
S/W: TURBO OS 1.18, Diagnostic Rev 1170
Note: CPU PROM: L50-R2xx Checksum 91F8
L64-R2xx Checksum 6664
MXF PROM L 7-R3xcx Checksum B7r9
L14-R3xx Checksum 9B7C

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/94 TIME: 17:05
HC410. Known anomaly. If tho customer level diagnostics are run from the boot screen through a $22 C 80$ to a 2275 MOX , other CPOs sharing the same disk are locked out. There is no plan to fix this problem at this time. There is oreal circumention to this issue, only to avoid this scenario. A preferred way to test the CPO would be to run on-line diagnostics such as the Instruction Exerciser program. Not an issue at this site at this time as the system is being moved. Closing call as requested by field.

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E <br> DATE: 01/18/94 TIME: 17:04

Unlinked call for purpose of closing as requested by field.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/05/92 TIME: 17:11
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 11/04/92 TIME: 03:10
TO R\&D: Michael, update ack'd. Mill use this as circumvention to verify the CPU after system fail or any hardware replacement.
Pls keep us informed if there is any progress in this case.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/23/92 TIME: 12:24
Nothing new here. ReD will be needed to fix this problem. However, this specific issue should not be that critical. The on-line diagnostis are the suggested tools for testing the Turbo.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 09/11/92 TIME: 10:11
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 20:54
To RED: Michael, ack'd update, thanks. Pls push for the fix.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 09:19
Still waiting on fix. Have resent list of bugs for Turbo of which this is one.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/92 TIME: 09:55
Product PTR P2/17527 opened on this problem. RED has a list of the open bugs against 1.18 including this problem. Hope to have something by next week but it will depend on how difficult each of these bugs are to fix.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/13/92 TTM : 02:41
Michael, any status update on new OS?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 11:11
Additional information. If using the 22C11-HS Controller MUX port instead of the 210-7715 22C80 Board, the 2275MuX board will be locked up by the Turbo if the MUX is accessed at all during a boot. The 2275MUX remains hogged until the GGENPART screen comes up or thew Turbo comes READY. This problem should be corrected on the next release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 08:55
We hope to have this fix rolled into the next release of the 0/s. We hope to possibly get a new $0 / s$ next week.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 08/04/92 TIME: 19:04
Any update?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Problem has been duplicated. Product PTR P2/17527 opened with R\&D to resolve this issue.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 21:34 To R\&D: Update ack'd. Pls keep us informed of the test result.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 16:42
Will test out \& update you. This should not be a big problem to fix.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/27/92 TTME: 02:06
To R\&D: Problem had been verified in $0 S 1.15,1.17$ as well.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 01:43
Initial escalate to R\&D for assistance. Problem was occurred during in-house testing. Pls advise.

ASSIGNED: IAU VICTOR DATE: 07/23/92 TIME: 23:34
Q: Solid
DUP : 1) Boot up CPO1 and run the a program as follow: 10 LIST DCT/D21: GOTO 10
2) Run Diagnostic from CPU2 from @BOOT menu from the same disk drive Then the program of CPU1 will hang. RESET CPO2 WS will not resume program of CPU1. It need to for CPU2 to access the disk again by pressing SF' 3 before the CPO1 program can resume. No problem for cs/386.


PROBLEM STATEMENT :CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/04/92 TIME: 03:40
PROB: Two CS/386 Turbo multiplex to a DS. If one CRU (either contains 2275MUX or 22C80 controller) power OFF and then ON again, the CPU can't bootstrap and hang at "Loading $O S$.....". The other CPU can't access the DS too. It is necessary to power OFF DS and ON again before the CPU can bootstrap. There is no such problem for CS/386. It is not allowed at the user environment.
Circumention: After power ON the CPU and before press the "RESET" key, access the DS from another CPU.
H/W: CS/386-800N x2, 2275MUX x2, 22C80 x2, 2236MXF x4 2200DS ( $1.2 \mathrm{M}+150 \mathrm{M}$ tape drive +140M+140M + R4 PROM)
S/W: CS/TURBO OS 1.15

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/94 TIME: 16:54
HC410. Known Anomaly. If 2275mux is installed in a Turbo, when Turbo is Prered on the board is not properly reset. Secondary CPU's cannot access wive until RESET is keyed from that CPU or the Turbo housing the board accesses the drive. Bither way provides an adequate circumbention. Cust is moving system so problem is no longer an issue. Closing call as requested by field.

PROBLEMCETAIL PROBLEM NUMBER M900006920

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL $\mathbb{D}$ DATE: 01/18/94 TIME: 16:53

Onlinked call for purpose of closing as requested by field.

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/94 TIME: 09:40

At this time we are no longer expecting to fix this problem. If you have concerns please contact Sustaining Engineexing.

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 15:30

What proms are you referring to? Retested this problem on 1.18 with the new proms and still existed. These CPU proms were as follows:

L50 - checksum 91F8 L64 - checksum 6664
If you are using something different please identify, otherwise $I$ believe the problem still exists. See my 8/6/92 update for details.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 11/05/92 TIME: 21:05
To R\&D: Michael, the problem have not been reported any more at the site after replacing the proms. Therefore would you pls to sent the call back for our closure. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/92 TIME: 16:49
Need R\&D to resolve. This would not appear to be that big a problem. If master CRU is powered off, key RESET as soon as screen diags start from system console to circumvent as quickly as possible.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHABL E DATE: 08/06/92 TIME: 15:41
Retested with Maint Rel 1.18 and new proms. Still have problem if have 2 CRU's Mux'd to the same disk. If the CPU housing the 2275MUX is a Turbo \& it
is powered off \& on secondary CPUs cannot access the disk on the Mux unless RESET is keyed on the CPU or until the Turbo housing the 2275MUX accesses any disk. It appears the Turbo is not correctly issuing a RESET to the 2275MUX \& therefore the disk attached to the 2275MUX is Not Ready. We are trying to fix this issue via Product PTR P2/17452.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 16:59
Just back from vacation. Hope to test this week.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/15/92 TIME: 19:26
TO RED: Update ack'd.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL $E$ DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 14:47
Proms on order. On vacation for 2 weeks. If need assistance in meantime contact Gene Roy or Michael Riley. Back 7/27.

ASSIGNHD: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/18/92 TIME: 10:42
Update acknowledged. Want to test fix here before returning call. Have not received new proms yet.
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## ASSIGNED: LÃU VICTOR <br> DATE: 06/16/92 TIME: 21:28

The 2 problem of 1) Have to power OFF/ON DS if power OFF/ON CPU with 2275MUX
2) Have to press RESET at $月$ ( 0 access 2nd disk after power OFE/ON CPU
are fixed by 2nd update of CPU R2 PROM from Duncan.
378-9509 R2 2nd update Check sum 91F8
378-9508 R2 2nd update Check sum 6664
Call can be closed. Tk.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 10:39
Cannot update the header on this call to upgrade to CRITical because it is locked.

ASSIGNED: ILAU VICTOR DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 22:19
Call requested to raise to CRITICAL

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 15:16
Product PTR P2/17452 opened on this issued. Linked this call to PTR P2/17452

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 13:11
a duplicated your problem. Will escalate from this side.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 01:10
The problem can be duplicated by 1 CPU with 22275 MOX and 2 DS. The CPU PROM
and MXF PROM is updated from Duncan. The exact revision unkown. Before
update, same sympton.
The checksum of MXF PROM are 378-9511 (B421) \& 378-9510 (9418)
CPU PROM 378-9509 (7E58) \& 378-9508 (5722)
Duplicate procedure as follow:

1) power on 2 DS
2) power on CPU
3) IPL from 1st disk address 320
4) LISTDCT/D31 -----> Hang
5) Have to press RESET to LISTDCT/D31 again.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/18/92 TTME: 12:19
Will advise R\&D of current status but I cannot duplicate. Can this problem be duplicated with 1 CPU? What are the board \& prom revisions of the Turbo boards being used; CPU, MXF', 22C11-HS?

## ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/17/92 TTME: 19:40

There was only one set PROM from Duncan, after install, the lst problem of having to power DS to access disk is solved. The other problem of having to press RESET in order to access second DS other than the IPL DS still remain. The problem can be simulated after power OFF/On CPU, no problem for

# R R O BLEM <br> D ETA I L PROBLEM NUMBER <br> M900006920 

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 19:40
warm boot.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/14/92 TIME: 13:42
Explained to Taiwan R\&D that a problem still existed after installing new CPU proms $\&$ they indicated new CPU prom code was sent to you to resolve. Did you receive new proms for CPU to fix this 2nd problem? What is current status? If problem still exists, can it be reproduced with 1 CPU cabled to the 2 Ds's only. What are the board \& prom revisions of the Turbo boards; CPV, MXI, 22C11-Hs?

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/13/92 TIME: 15:34

Cannot reproduce your problem. May have older proms or revision boards in my lab unit. Rlease supply board \& prom revisions for MXF \& Turbo CRU boards with which you duplicated the problem.
If both 2275MUX boards are in CPU A \& CPU B is not connected, is there still a problem?
or
Do you need to have both CPUscabled together to reproduce current problem?
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/13/92 TINE: 03:19
Two CS/TURBO PROM (R??) installed to user site, it solved the problem of power Orr DS in order to access DS after power OrF CPU contains 2275MUX and 2236MXF as console.

The user config as follow:
CPU 1 : 2275MUX-address 320, 22C80 -address 330 . CRU 2 : 22C80 --address 320, 2275MUX-address 330 .
But another problem remains, i.e.
Boot up CPU1 and CPU2 from address 320, with both disk address $320 \& 330$ in the config fila. After system ready, both CPU1 8 CPU2 can't access disk 330, until press RESET at either CPU1 or CPU2.
There is no such problem for cs/386 at user site. As user's application have to $R / W$ both disk, and use a particular data on both disk to synchronize 4 CRUs together. User can't accept the RESET circumvention to call the other disk. User schedules to replace 2 existing cs/386 with 2 CS/TURBO (continue

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/13/92 TIME: 03:19
Comment For Last Lines Of Earlier Comment Of Old Eormat
next waek. URGENT !!
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/11/92 TIME: 20:00
To R\&D: Mike, the fix had been provided from Taiwan ReD to field. Rls de-escalate the call back for area monitor.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/08/92 TIME: 03:02

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/08/92 TIME: 03:02
To R\&D: Mike, have tried in two Turbo Systems again, finally we found out that:

1. When CPU power OFF/ON, cannot bootup until DS power OFF/ON.
2. When we change the MXF to MXE, then power OFF/ON both CPUs, both Turbo systems can be IRI without necessary to OFF/ON the DS.
Two sets of MXIT/MXF/2275MUX have been used in this testing.
Problem is solid and can be duplicated in any Turbo with MXF. Pls verify.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/07/92 TTME: 11:13
Unable to duplicate this problem with 1 "CPV. You have indicated with just 1 CPU using the 2275MUX the problem still existed. Have tested this several times with 2 different CPUs with completely different boards, with 3 differen t disk drives, 2 DS's a 2275. System booted properly every time. Never had to shut off the DS. One DS had a 3 E prom, the other a 3 A prom. Used $0 / \mathrm{s}$ 1.15.

Did you remove all boards from the CPU except 1 teminal controller $\&$ the 2275mux Controller? If this fails replace the 3 boards (CPU, Terminal Cont, \& 2275MUX) with 3 different boards that have not been involved with this hardware before. Do you have a 2275 Disk Unit you can test this problem nith? Test with a standard DS prom (rev 3) using only the floppy.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/06/92 TIME: 01:24
Continue... Also have tried the followings:

1. After bootup both CPUs.
2. Then switch OFF/ON CPU2 which contains MUX controller.
3. Try to bootup the CPU2 via $320 / 330$, it hang at @@/320 or 330.

Repeat step $1 \& 2$, before step 3, just press RFSET at the console of CPU1, then there will be no problem to bootup CPU2.
This has been done by interchange the MUX and 22C80 between CPU1 \& CPU2.
Pls advise.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 05/05/92 TIME: 23:57
To R\&D: Tests had been done in-house as follow:

1. Disconnect CPU1 (disk multiplexing) from CPO2. CPU2 contains 1 MUX, 1 MXP'.
2. Power OFF/ON CPU2 with 1 mux (addr320) and DS remain ON.
3. Hang at ${ }^{n}$ @@ /320", (i.e. hang at loading OS)
4. Power OFF/ON DS.
5. Os can be loaded at that time.

This condition is same for (i) one 330 mux in CPU2.
(ii) both 320/330 mux in CPU2
(iii) one 320 mux in cPu1.
(iv) one 330 mux in CPU1.
(v) both 320/330 mux in CPU1.

As what we did is just turn off/on the DSs, then it can access the DSs via the console.

ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON
DATE: 05/05/92
TIME: 23:57
Continue ....

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 05/05/92 TIME: 22:47
Update from RED:
" You did not indicate what the error was. I will assume it was a hang or disk related error, I9x. Sounds to me like RESET is not working properly on C PU2 \& powering CPU2 ON is creating some noise on the disk lines.
My suggestion would be to:

1. Disconnect CPU1 and try to boot CPU2 from both 2275MUX controllers. If
this fails removed 12275 MUX and try 1 at a time. If still not working remove all other I/O controllers except 1 2275MUX \& terminal controller, \& try a new 2275mux. Hopefully you will isolate the bad board.
2. Place 22275 MJX boards in CPU1 $\varepsilon$ repeat procedure. If bad board is found instep 1 , most likely this will work.
This is most likely a problem with the CPU board in CPU 2 if I had to make a guess. Could also be a motherboard problem.

## ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON

Case 3:

1. Power ON/OFE CPU2
2. Bootstrap from D21/D31,
3. CPU cannot bootstrap
4. Then press RESET on CPU1 to List D21/D31.
5. It can list D21 but not D31. Remark: CPU1 already IRLed. In case 2 s , it seems that the mux controllex cannot be RESET by its own CP U via power on reset or by pressing the RESET (or SHIFT RESET) during bootstrap/IPL. It need external reset from other CPU via console.
$\therefore$ ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON DATE: 05/05/92 TIME: 22:32
To RED: Following is the set up to verify the case.
Case 1:
6. Put $2 * 22 C 80$ in CPU1. (Addr 320/330 respectively)
7. Put 2*2275MUX in CPU2 (MUX is E-rev 4, $22 C 80$ is E-rev 10)
8. Power ON both CPUs at the same time.
9. Then there will be no way to boot from DS (320/330) via both console until power OFF and ON the DSs again.

Case 2:

1. Power ON/OEF CPU2. (Contain MUX)
2. List DCT/D21 or D31 on CPU1 console not work.

Case 2A:

1. Power ON/OFF CRO2
2. Press RESET on CPU1 console
3. List D21/D31 OR.
4. List OX after press RESET on CPU1 console.

Conitnue ....

ASSIGNED: CEUI SIMON DATE: 05/04/92 TIME: 03:52
Initial escalate to R\&D for assistance. This was verified in-house with

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/04/92 TIME: 03:52
the Turbo systems. Currently there are $4 * T u r b o$ systems in HKCSO and this will be delivered to the customer within this month. Pls advise.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/03/92 TIME: 20:30
As above
FREQ: Solid
ACTION TAKEN: Same problem for another set CPU CS/386-400N x2 and DS x2

HEADER INFO
PROBLEM NUMBER M900006979


PROBLEM STATEMENT :LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/15/92 TIME: 00:59
PROB: CS/TURBO intermittently hang, after RESET, only cursor remains for all 20 terminals. OK after power OFF/ON CPU.
H/W: CS/386-800N $\times 1$, CS/386 x3, 2275MUX x2, 22C80 x6 2200DS $\times 2$ ( $1.2 \mathrm{M}+140 \mathrm{M} \times 2$, 150M Tape +R 3E PROM)
S/M: CS/TURBO OS 1.16x
Note: 1) The CS/TURBO encountex hang afte on-line for 14 Hrs. The CPU room temperature $O K$.
2) The above symptom was also encountex in 2 out of 4 CRUs during burnt in test before the 4 machine installed to users.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL F DATE: 03/09/94 TIME: 11:28
HC122. Original CPU hangmay have been CPU \& MXF related. After updating to CPU brd w/ new caching chips $\&$ adding 2nd MXF, found hangs would occur with 1 of 2 MXFs only. MXF problem appeared to be resolved at site when new MXF -rde installed by Duncan? Never received copy of microcode. System removed a problem never reported at any other sites. Closing call as requested by field.
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ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL F DATE: 03/09/94 TIME: 11:38
System removed from site. Closing call as requested by field.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 03/04/94 TIME: 03:17
Michael, please de-escalate this call as system already removed from this site.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/19/93 TIME: 15:40
What is current status of system? Has anyone heard from Duncan or seen the source code.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/25/93 TIME: 08:41
Update acknowledged. Anyone there who knows Duncan well and could call him at home to see what the delay is?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 10/25/93 TTME: 00:15
Michael, have checked with Victor, we still didn't receive any source code from Duncan. Also, I have sent him two fax, one was a month ago and the other was two weeks ago, but have not got any replu from him.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 12:28
Received $E A X$ from Duncan indicating he would mail source code to Hong Kong for additional fixes done for Hutchison and you could then mail on to us. Has Hong Kong received source code from Duncan?

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/20/93 TIME: 12:55

No have not received the source code yet. Do you know if he has mailed it? Sorry for the delay in responding. Was very busy testing some Turbo fixes that we have made. Have already fired several bug the most important of which is a failure to execute commands following a DEFFN' in a Global if the Global partition is a higher number than the calling partition. See PTR M8/22721 \& M5/17018.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 23:52
Michael, have you rec'd the source code yet?

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/08/93 TIME: 09:36

Have been in contact with Duncan and he has indicated he will send us source code for this fix. Has not been received as of yet.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/93 TIME: 09:11
Sent FAX off to Duncan on Friday, August 6th. Just back from a weeks vacation Monday and have not heard back from Duncan yet.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 08/05/93 TIME: 15:26
Simon, have been trying to FAX Duncan but have not been successful. Can not
PROBLEM NUMBER M900006979

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 08/05/93 TIME: 15:26 get an answer on either his phone or Fax, just keeps ringing. Have tried 3 different FAX numbers, one $I$ had used last fall, one you gave me, and a third from Mike Riley today. Those numbers ara:
886-2-9343751 old number which I used last fall successfully
886-2-7328197 from you
886-2-7387371 from Mike Riley
Maybe Duncan is shutting his FAX machine off. Could you verify that one of these numbers is correct and whether he keeps the FAX machine on. Otherwise could you provide me with his mailing address or forward my memo. Again, $I$ am reluctant to close the call since we do not have the fix here. will be on vacation next week so if you can get me an answer tomorrow 1 will try to respond tomorrow. If you need assistance while I am away please contact Gene Roy via Wang Office. Thank you very much for your help on this matter.

## ASSIGNED: CEUI SIMON DATE: 08/04/93 TTME: 22:04

Michael, had you contacted Duncan with the phone and far provided. Meanwhile, can you de-escalated the call for closure.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/26/93 TIME: 11:15
Hyou ask Duncan for the source code for this emxr code and the latest 0/s installed? If this code fixes a problem, it may return if we don't have the source when we send out a maintenance release. If there is a problem getting. the source code, how can we work it out?

ASSIGNED: MONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/13/93 TIME: 20:54
New microcode @MxFe from Duncan was installed in this site.

ASSIGNGD: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 15:48
Was this QMXF microcode the standard microcode we have been sending out with 1.18 or is it code Duncan has modified that we may not have the source code to? On vacation for 2 weeks. Back July 26. If need any assistance in meantime please send Wang Office to Gene Roy.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 07/08/93 TIME: 16:11
Michael, Cursor hang problem was cleared after installed the emxre in the system. pls de-escalated the call back for our closura. Thanks..

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/21/93 TIME: 13:55
Have any problems occurrad since installing latest $0 / S$ from Duncan. Can you get the source from Duncan for it? Have requested same through business partner of Duncan's but have not heard back.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/25/93 TTME: 11:53
Update acknowledged.
(-i)
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ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/20/93 TTME: 23:27
As the system is on-line system, not trying to duplicate again at user site. A new OS 1.1Ax that solved th AC command problem from Duncan was installed to user on 19/05. Under monitoring.

## ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/07/93 TIME: 10:33

Warm boot does reload ucode to MXF so if properly updated the cold boot should make no difference. Were any proms involved? If the problem occurs again, request they make note of the program, the line to be edited, and the change. There may be something unique with the lines creating problems. Can the problem be duplicated by repeating the exact same edit?

## ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/04/93 TIME: 21:59

Suspect the usex was still using the old MXF code by warm boot. Cold boot on 19/4, under monitoring.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/26/93 TIME: 11:51
Did quite a bit of editing this AM with new $0 / S$ on long lines. Did not have any problems. Were any proms included with the 0/S? How often would a hang occur?

## ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 04/26/93 TIME: 03:12

Mich: Reported from users, when they edit a multi-line statement, moving cursor up and down, inserting and deleting some characters, it will cause the MXF hang. (Users are using the new MXF code and OS $I$ had sent to you. No problem when editing single line statement.)
As I have no machine, would you test it at your side?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/08/93 TIME: 09:35
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 04/06/93 TIME: 05:19
Still monitor the status after @MXr@ was installed. We will keep you informed and updated Duncan if there this problem reported at site.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/05/93 TIME: 12:55
Did not see any changes involving MXF. What is status of this call? Is problem affect with new $0 / S$ from duncan?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 03/26/93 TIME: 08:48
See previous comment. Plan to do more testing today w/ o/s.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/26/93 TIME: 08:47
Update acknowledged. Have done only limited testing testing so far with new o/s. Ran disk test over weekend from multiple teminals and ok. Did have problem with SCSI controller but that should not be a factor with rest of

The new MXF code and new os from Duncan was installed to user on 22/3, under monitoring.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/19/93 TME: 11:21
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 02/14/93 THME: 21:03
The problem was trapped on 11/02, Duncan found out it was due to some value divided by 0 . He is investigating the problem and waiting for next occurence to get more information.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/12/93 TIME: 08:42
Any progress hear. Have any problems been trapped?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 02/04/93 TIME: 14:00 Opdate acknowledged.

Prgned: lad victor
DATE: 02/03/93 TIME: 03:15
The ICE-286 was installed to the 2nd MXI of CPO1 to monitor the cursor only problem on 21/01

```
ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 02/02/93 TIME: 10:48
ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E

Opdate acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FONG TAR LAM
DATE: 02/02/93 TIMS: 00:37
ICE-286 installed onsite. Duncan can monitor \& get system info via TC link, after problem occurrence.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAELE DATE: 12/10/92 TMME: 16:46
Update acknowledged. Still suggest the 2nd CPU board updated so both have th e faster cacheing chips. Has any date been scheduled yet for Duncan's return?

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 12/07/92 THME: 20:52
Duncan found there were two problems at customer site.
1) Intemaittently disk hang: suspected to be disk do not return ready signal to OS during microproceess of disk operation. Duncan update an os that abort the disk operation by RESET after a time out if not received a ready signal during a disk operation. User's site no problem for 5 days. But found that this version created an 192 error when formatting a floppy.
Onder observation.
2) Intermittently MXF no response with cursor only: by using a testing

DATE: 12/07/92 TIME: 20:52
version OS, it was found the MXF do not response to direct OS command and keyin from teminal. It may due to MXF ucode looping or not running. The problem requires an ICE-286 to monitor the MKF controller next time.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/04/92 TIME: 17:08}

Has Duncan made any progress on this problem? Do both CPU's have the newer 15 nanosec cache chips? One CPU had not been updated yet.

ASSIGNED: GILBERT EDWARD J DATE: 12/02/92 TIME: 12:15 per Mike Bahia

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 11/19/92 TIME: 22:25
The symptom (hang with cusor only) began at the very first beginning (i.e. os 1.15). Initially, it was suspected to be the heat problem of cache RaM. The CPU was updated to the latest version and replacing all controller in both CPUs, same symptom.
After splitting wSs evenly to two MXFs, it was found that cusors symptom sometimes happened to only one MXF with other MXF working normally, sometimes happened to both MXFs. It occured for both CPUs. OK after reboot. The problem was narrowed down to MXI and OS.

\section*{ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 11/17/92 TIME: 02:18}

To R\&D: Onsite support of Duncan delayed due to Visa application process.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/12/92 TIME: 15:31
Call came to RDB 8760 in error. Returning to originating RDB as requested.
ASSIGNED: HONG TAK LAM
DATE: 11/12/92 TIME: 03:15
To R\&D: Duncan will go onsite next Monday (11/16). Modified Os with monitor feature will be loaded onto system for problem diagnosis.

\section*{ASSIGNHD: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 15:23}

Both CPU's should have the faster 15ns cache memory chips. please update 2nd CRU as this may possibly confuse the issue causing some hangs on it's own. Also, this problem seemed to start when \(1.18 z\) was installed, at least that was the first time documented in the call. Did this problem start after \(1.18 z\) was installed or before? Have seen this problem occur at times from disconnecting a terminal cable although in most cases disconnecting a cable will not cause a problem unless it occurs while the terminal is actively communicating. Is Duncan scheduled to come in to look at this or is he alrea dy working on the problem?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 11/06/92 TIME: 03:04
To R\&D: Michael, Swapping the cable to second CPU is to allocate the w/s for operators to continue their work. When the problem appear,
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ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON DATE: 11/06/92 TIME: 03:04
the LsD on the board is OFF. Customer has two turbo systems, one is having 15ns cache, other is 20ns. But both encounter this int. problem - cursor only in w/s in MXI.
(This is the 1st priority issue that needs to be solved for this customer.)

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAGL \(E\) DATE: 10/16/92 TIME: 14:35
Hust have CPU boards with 15 Nanosec Cache Memory chips installed!!! please indicate if this has been done. If not order boards immediately. Starting \(8 / 27\) it was indicated \(0 / 31.18 z\) was installed and from that time on many of the hangs affected only terminals connected to a specific mxf board. If terminal cables are not properly connected and loosen up may cause problem. When problem is isolated to MXF does MXF led come on. This questio n has not been answered. MXF isolated problems may be related to \(0 / \mathbf{S 1 . 1 8 z}\). rirst though, update CPO boards and see if that helps.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/02/92 TIME: 14:02
Opdate acknowledged. Do not understand what was done by moving first mxr cable to a 2nd CPU. That would be the cable for the number 1 texminal on that board. Does the LED on the board come on? This problem is not being Trted anywhere else. Do you have texminal you can run epstat from? I trank there may be some type of an environmental issue unless Duncan bas issued you a fix that we may not be using here.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 09/29/92 TMM: 21:09
Michael: Cursor only problem still exist at customar sita as below:
9/29 10:zx CPU2 1st MDF showed cursor only.
Action: Move CPU2 1st MXF cable to CPU1.
17:3ax CPU1 all terminals showed cursor only.
Action: Reboot CPU1
9/30 \(00 . \mathrm{E}\)
Action: Reboot CPU2 at midlight and move back taminals to original mxr 9/30 8:45 CPU2 all texminals showed cursor only.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL S DATE: 09/21/92 TIML: 07:32
opdate acknowledged. Lai Lai has a large number of ungrounded terminals which could account for their problems. Might be a good idea to have 1 term on each system constantly running epSTAT. ePSTAT may help identify which terminal was last to use the system. If so we can document what was occuring at that temminal and maybe we can find out what is going on.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 09/17/92 TIME: 19:25
WS properly grounded, LED not ON when problem.

ASSIGNED: BABIA MICRAEL 5 DATE: 09/17/92 TIMS: 08:09

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/17/92 TIMT: 08:09}

Are all terminals on this system properly grounded both to the AC building ground and to the CRU via screwing down the terminal cables? Is the LED on the MXF on when this problem occurs? Have not heard of this happening at any other sites.

\section*{ASSIGNFD: CHUI SIMON DATE: 09/14/92 TIME: 01:01}

Michael, Below are the occurrance of MXF hang with cursor only at Hutchison after upgrade \(0 S\) to \(1.18 z\) on 24/Aug:
28/8 CPU1 1st MXF
2/9 CRU2 2nd MXF
8/9 CPU2 1st MXF
9/9 CPU1 1st MXF
After bootup CPU, most likely the Queue Background partition will encounter problem of File \# change from D3X to \(33 x\) inmediately or after a while, so the background task stop and cause queue full.
Above status had been informed to Duncan Chou. Per feedback from him, similar occurrance had been reported from Lai lai as well and he is trying to investigate the possible cause of this problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/11/92 TIME: 14:47}

Has this issue been resolved? The faster Cache Memory chips appear to resolv e the heat related hangs. Upgraded CPU boards can now be sent to you thru normal Logistic channels if needed. Still having a problem from Towers. If you still want the 3 Turbo CPU boards, order them P1 through normal Logistic channels \& send a Wang Office to Donna Johns referencing the order \#. Copy Phil Murphy of Logistics \& myself on the order. Hong Kong must agree to pay the expensae for the boards. I will get the boards to Logistics \(\&\) they will send them over.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
After using OS \(1.18 z\), no problem for 65 Hrs. Report CPU1 1st MXF display cursor only, 2nd MXF OK on 27/8. 1st MXF replaced, under observation.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/27/92 TIME: 12:23
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 08/24/92 TIME: 21:45
After CS/TURBO on-line on 16/8, up to 24/8, CPU1 encounter hang 4 times and CPU2 encounter hang 3 times, each time display cursor only on all wS after RESET.
On \(25 / 8\), OS was updated to \(1.18 z\) and @2236mxr was updated too.
CPU1's CPU board is using 15ns cache. CPU2 is using 20ns cache but with an

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR additional fan under the CPO board. Under the user's cold enviroment, the heat problem should not be the concern.
Under observation.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATF: 08/18/92 TIMF: 08:56
Do you have a CPU board on order for the CPU that hung. All boards now coming out of stock should have the faster 15ns Cache Memory chips. Just to let you know there is a problem using the 22C11-Hs Mux port. I know you are not using it here but just in case this comes up in trying to fix a problem. See PTR P2/17594 for more information if needed.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 08/17/92 TIME: 00:18
Two CS/TURBO \(s\) two DS were on-line on \(16 / 8\), they were totally separated from the existing 4 CS/386.
Each CS/TURBO configed with 2 MXF and one 2275MUX and one \(22 C 80\) and one mxis
for TC purpose. OS was 1.18 and configed with 36 partition, 2 TC port \(\& 17\) WS
On each CPU. CPU borad with updated PROM 378-9509 R2xx checksum 91F8 378-9508 R2xx checksum 6664
but 20ns Cache.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
MXF with updated PROM & \(378-9511\) & R3xx & checksum B7F9 \\
& \(378-9510\) & R3xx checksum 9B7C
\end{tabular}

Atcer running for \(10 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{CPV} 1\) hung up, CPU 2 hung up due to disk hogged by CPU1. Reset WS of CPU1, show cursor only. Power OEF/ON CPU1, CPU2 running after disk dehogged. CPO1 resume to normal after reboot.
Op to now, the system is running for another 24 hrs after the hang.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 10:48
Update acknowledged.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/12/92 TIMR: 01:31}

To RED: Michael, not at the customer site, only one updated board that is running in-house to verify the heat problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/11/92 TIME: 12:40
3tatus changed from CRIT to ESC as requested. Do you have any Turbo systems atalled with the updated boards yet?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/11/92 TIME: 02:03
To R\&D: Pls change the status of this call from CRIT to FI ESC, thanks.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MTCHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TTME: 13:08}

At the sites where we sent updated CRU boards all heat related issues seem to be resolved. At 1 site we were still having some problems but they appeared to be 0/S related.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 04:01}

To R\&D: Michael, pls confirm whether any problem reported from other location after updated ECO 60545. Will further verify the heat problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/04/92 TIME: 15:25}

It appears then that you are now probably seeing similar results with the boards in testing to what we saw in our testing here. Would feel much more comfortable with multiple texminals to test. ECO 60545 upgrades the Cache Memory chips to the faster 15 nanosecond chips and has now been in place for 3 weeks. If boards now test out how do you want to precede? If your boards now pass under the same conditions we used for testing we may be able to close this issue as resolved.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 08/03/92 TIME: 02:22
To R\&D: Running the heat test in one of the CPU over the week end and today when we were back it is still up and running.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/31/92 TIME: 01:52
To RED: The 4 Turbo systems is running the diagnostics over 4 hrs with one fan (on top of I/O cards) and is still working. This is tested under single w/s per CPU due to lack of cabling.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/92 TIME: 08:16
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 07/30/92 TIME: 04:02
To RED: There are 4 turbo CPUs (1*15ns, 3 *20ns) under testing for an hour with unplug the fan on top of CPU board and is still running. Will further test it on tomorrow.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 21:10
To R\&D: Michael, We will re-test the CPU board and update result later. pls keep us informed what will be the utimate solution for this issue.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 13:21
Yes, I would suggest retesting at least 2 or 3 of the updated CPU boards with the 15 nanosec chips with just the fan over the CPU board unplugged. 1 hour with several terminals should be enough. The CPU should never get as hot with the fan running as it would after an hour with the fan off. Mike Riley who handles most of the communcation with Taiwan has indicated that Taiwan is still working on the PAL logic but they have indicated it is not a simple job.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/92 THME: 09:02
We are doing a cost study on the feasibility of adding a fan to the CPU cabinet. It will probably be another week or 2 before we have some numbers. At this time \(I\) would say the liklihood of adding a fan is small but a final decision will not be made until all information is in. We do expect that Taiwan R\&D is still looking at the PAL logic to further correct this issua.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/29/92 TMIE: 04:01
To ReD: Pls review the last update and give and advice. Should we need to retest the boards. Secondly, have you addressed to Taiwan R\&D for the PAL fix?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 19:49
Our heat test was performed with both CPO \& I/O fans unplugged.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 15:50
Just back from 2 weeks vacation as indicated on \(7 / 10\) update. ECO 60545 has been written to update the 20 nanosec Cache RAM chips in locations L1, L2, L7, L8, L13, L14,L20, \& L21 with 15 nanosec chips. This ECO seems to resolve the heat problem at the domestic sites where the problem had been occuring. In testing in the 2200 Lab we have all covers on with the CPU fan only disconnec The fan on the \(I / O\) side is still operating. It is important to test \(w\), as many terminals as possible. I have been using 8 spread across 37 ports using both new \(\&\) old style controllers. At this time we do not expect any mo. difications to be made to the CPO cabinet. This would not be cost or time effective and would really only be circumventing the problem instead of fixin \(g\) it. Do not know where Taiwan ReD currently stands on this but we need them to look at the board. We think the problem may be fixable by a change to a PAL chip. Will re-address Taiwan on this issue.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/23/92 TIME: 03:54}

TO R\&D: Pls refer to my 15/Jul update and advise status of this call.
ASSIGNED: FONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/20/92 TIME: 00:17
To R\&D: We are waiting for your feedback on this CPU warm-up problem. please update status at earliest. Regards.

ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON
DATE: 07/15/92 THME: 03:43
To R\&D: Pls confim the followings:
1. Base on the TSB HFT 9705, pls advise the latest progress of this problem and is there any schedule for the fix?
2. When trying to verify the heat problem, what is the exact procedure to test it? Should all the cover of the CPD cabinet be covered up?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/13/92 TIME: 03:44
Per Wang Office from Duncan (dated 7/7): \(\rightarrow\)

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON \\ DATE: 07/13/92 TIME: 03:44}

It is still failed when use 10 ns chip. We need to take time to modify circuit then modify cabinet. Therefore \(I\) just suggest \(U S\) to do cabinet modification ASAP. Fields can add a fan under CPU for temp. solution until modification finished.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 13:45}

Have updated 8 bad boards with the heat problem which would fail usually within 15 min \(\&\) all but 1 would not fails running the same tests with the fan off for 6-24 hours. Two domestic sites where boards were installed because of failures have not had a problem since. On vacation for 2 weeks. If need assistance in meantime contact Michael Riley via Wang Office. Back 7/27.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(\mathbb{F}\) DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 10:17}

Victor, you indicated you had 1 Turbo running 12 days with 1 terminal running running the diagnostics. Where did that board come from? Should test with multiple terminals. We have boards where 1 terminal will run while on other terminals a failure could occur. How many CPU boards do you have that will
run with fans on?
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 07/02/92 TIME: 02:39
To R\&D: Michael: Below is the message that we got from Duncan (dated July/2): Unfortunately, faster cache memory still cannot fir heat problem of Turbo CPU Right now, we have two approach to fix this problem, one is to modify our cabinet to solve heat problem and the other is tried to change our circuits logic to fix problem.
I suggest to add a fan under CPU board before heat problem have been solved. (PS Tried -15 on the board and still failed in 5 min. This week, we will continue try -10.)

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/02/92 TIME: 02:33
Following is the reply from Michael Bahia via Office:
Obviously we don't know exactly what the difference is. We think that possibly the climate may be a factor or possibly your power if it's 50 Hz . Anyway right now we are looking at a PAL chip that works with Cache as a possibility. Taiwan R\&D is working the issue. They think the PAL chip which controls timing for Cache may needed to be altered.

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 22:24}

Mike, for your reference, the detached TURBO is running Basic-2 Diag for 12 days without any error in the user computer room under the same previous environment. (With only one WS)

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 21:48
The CPU board with 15 ns cache just received failed in heat test too within 5 min.

DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 21:48
The testing environment as followed:
1) Room temp 23c
2) CPU fan unplug
3) CPU chasssis side \(\&\) top covar, CPU board covar, and I/O slot covars all covered up.
4) Fail in both case with MXI or MXI as console
5) Configed with 32 partition with only one WS attached running the 2200 Basic-2 Diagnostic
6) There are 7 controllers in the Chassis.

Note: If with fan cooling, the CPU can run overnight.
Any different in set up from your side?
ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 13:24
Have you people received the updated board? The ECO is being held up until we have verification from you that the updated board worked. We need this information imediately. We are out of boards. We must have feedback from you by tomorrow morning. Please do what ever is necessary to get the updated board heat tested with multiple terminals. If you tell us the board works the ECO will be written \(f\) we can staxt to get good boards out to the field. This is an emergency. Please help.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 06/30/92 TIMI: 01:02
CPO board just received and under testing.
ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/24/92 TIME: 14:44
Tested CPU board with updated cache memory chips mailed out last night via DHE Express, airbill \# 1514423 757. Board had been failing before update. After changing chips ran board from 4:30 Monday afternoon to 1:30 Tuasday with fan off without error. Chips used were:
```

                                    Toshiba 9136HER
                                    TC5588P-15
    ```
please provide feedback as soon as possible on receipt of board fest results with it.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/23/92 TIME: 04:04
To RED: Michael, Currently Duncan is trying to sourcing the chips and it the lead times is around 10 days via Taiwan Toshiba Ltd.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/22/92 TIME: 16:44
We have a CPU board which we have updated with the faster cache memory chips. If this boards runs overnight with the fan off we will send it to you for testing. Looks good so far. Please provide a mailing address.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 06/21/92 TIME: 20:47
The detached TURBO cpu board and two MXI board were inserted to another

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR}

DATE: 06/21/92 TIME: 20:47
spare CPU chassis with single teminal running BASIC-2 diagnostic with fans cooling under the same environmetn as previous on-line case. It has run fine for 84 hours and is still running.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/18/92 TTME: 10:38
Update acknowledge. When heat testing CPU board how many terminals are running test. It is important to run with multiple terminals. Would suggest minimum of 6 spaced over the number of terminal ports expected to be used by customer. Use more if available. Sometimes only 1 terminal will come up with an error. At this point it is probably best not to use any of the Turbos until we get the fix.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/17/92 TIME: 03:26
To RGD: Have connected the 5th CPU (i.e Turbo CPU) to their existing on this Monday 14:00 and only runs for about 48 hrs and reported hang again. The symptom is that only cursor remains in the screen of the \(17 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) which connected to Turbo. One additional fan had been added at the bottom of cabinet. This CPU card had been tested for 40 passes without fan. The computer room temperature of the site is controlled to 20C. Terminals connecting to other \(4 \mathrm{CS} / 386 \mathrm{CPU}\) is still working fine at that time. Per customer request, turbo system is to be disconnected from their live system.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/15/92 TIME: 20:49
To R6D: Michael, Per Wang Office reply from Mawsan Jau, the board had been arrived at the airport on 12 Jun. But it takes 2-3 days to clear custom. Believe he should already get this two boards. Also, there were two more new turbo systems arrived in last week and adds up to 8 systems. However, the cache memory of these two CPU boards are still using -20ns cache. Both fail 2200 diagnostic with fan unplug at passes 9 and 7 .

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 13:49
Back from Training. A CPU board that failed within 15 min was updated with new chips for heat problem \& so far looks good. We only had enough chips for 1 board so we would like to verify on a few more before totally jumping on this as a fix but so far it looks very good. Have any boards been sent to Mawzan Jau in Taiwan yet. The plan was for him to update 2 boards from you \(G\) test them. please let us know if that is happening. We expect more chips (locations LI, L2,L7,L8, L13,L14,L20,\& L21) beginning of next weeks. If fix is good may have boards available at middle to end of next week.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM
DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 04:02
To R\&D: After getting the new memory chips CY7C1A5-15 at end of this week, could you manage to test out 6 CS386 Turbo CPU boards (with new chips) ? If

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 04:02
the warm-up test \(O K\), could you manage to send these 6 PCBs directly to Bong Kong before end of next week ? Customer dead line on this is 6/19/92. Await for your acknowlegement to this ASAP !! Regards.

ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON DATE: 06/11/92 TIME: 03:54
To R\&D: The airway bill of the boards that shipped to Taiwan is 023-07107951.

Had rec'd the two boards sent from Mike Riley, however, this two boards fails under heat testing after 3 diagnostic pass.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/09/92 TIME: 04:01
To R\&D: Two RCBs had been ship out today to Taiwan: Will update you when rec'd AFB \#

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/09/92 TIMF: 03:56
W.O. rec'd dated Jun 8 from Mike Riley as below:

Stephen C. and I came up with a plan to replace the 6 bad rurbo CPU boards.
1. H.O. CSO have sent 2 tested Turbo CPOs Airbill Number 12535599252 on ADC today.
2. Ricky, I need you to send two bad Turbo CPU boards to Mawzan Jau in Taiwan
(Fang Computers LID in Taipei) W.O. Air Bill Number to me.
3. Mawzan, I need you to update these boards with the new memory chips, (Mang Part number 377-1168) and test to verify that this change will fix the problem, You requested soma bad Turbo CPU boards. Once you feel that the new memory chips fired the problem, send the two boards back to Ricky in H.K. (I hope that my memory chips comes in today so I can test this fix out tomorrow.) W.O. Air Bill Number to me when shipping.
4. Ricky, As soon as the CPU fix is confirm and you get the boards back from Taiwan, send the last two CPO boards to Mawzan to get updated. I will also try to get two CPU boards updated as backup.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAELE DATE: 06/05/92 TTME: 17:41
Received Mang Office indicating 6 bad CPU boards. A P1 currently exists for 2 boards. Because of shortage of CPO boards \(\&\) SELECT H problem, suggest try to co-ordinate shipment of final 4 boards with expected SELECT H fix as brds should not be critical until SELECT G problem resolved.
Will be in Training next week. Please contact Michael Riley if need assistance. Please contact Duncan Chou in Taiwan to arrange shipment of some of the bad CPU boards direct to his group for problem resolution \(f\) testing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/03/92 TMME: 12:01
Not every CPU is failing when hot. Your 'Problem Sumary' indicated 2 of 4 CPU's seemed to have the heat problem. Currently there is a limited number of these boards available. Spoke to Stephen Chung this morning about this problem. We need you to heat test each of the CPO boards as per TSB HWI 9705

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E \\ DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 12:01}
from 4/28/92 (see Corrective Action). All boards recently made have been hea \(t\) tested \& those that failed obviously set aside. R\&D has not identyified a resolution at this time. After heat testing the boards you will obviously know exactly how many you will need to order. It is critical not to order more than you actually really need due to the limited availabilty of the board and our needs to fill orders and P1 requests. As per Stephen request, I have spoken to Logistics and made them aware of your possible needs for this board.
Taiwan R\&D is looking for boards with the heat problem as discribed in the TSB for testing. If have asked stephen if you could possibly send at least 1 of the heat sensitive with which you have verified the problem to them. The

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 12:01}

Comment For Last Lines Of Earlier Comment Of Old Format
contact would be Duncan Chou. Will be in training next week. If need
assistance from Home Office please contact Mike Riley via Wang Office.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 02:18
To R\&D: Michael, for the CPU board request in regard to action plan, at least 6 CPU cards is needed. However, if possible, send 2 cards for backup purpose in case of any failure.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/02/92 TIME: 21:20
To R\&D: Michael, Are you going to send out the new boards to us? If yes, are those PCBs already implemented the fix for the heat problem and/or the cache problem (problem that was identified by Duncan)? Other than that, is there any other enhancement for those new boards that you will ship out?
Meanwhile, field had added a fan at the bottom of one Turbo cabinet which is mainly blowing to CPU board. Then disconnected the fans on the top and ran the diagnostic for more than an hour without any failure.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 09:32
Update acknowledged. The heat problem can be circumvented by keeping the 386 chip from getting too hot. Manufacturing has just built new boards \(\&\) will heat test all of them. Boards from Logistics should be good as all previousl \(y\) made boards have been used. We are working on a fix.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 00:32
Initial escalate to R\&D for assistance. Call had been raised to P 1 Critical as this failure will impact to customer business. Due to this reason, customer had already disconnected the Turbo from their existing cs/386 config and added terminals are all idle.

M900006979

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON}

DATF: 05/24/92 TTME: \(21: 27\)
To R\&D: Michael, pls refer to previous update, should this be related to heat problem.

ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON
DATE: 05/22/92 THE: 22:58
To R\&D: Michael, SELECT H ON is used when hangs occur.
Also, had follow the TSB HWI 9705 and tested another new
Two Turbo systems which arrive a few days ago. Got the following result:
after uplug the fans:
System 1 hang in \$PACR/\$UNPACK after 11 diagnostic pass in around 10 minutes. System 2 shows 1 error in \$PACH/\$UNPACK and hang after 14 pass in around 15 minutes.

ASSIGNED: LAUU VICTOR DATE: 05/21/92 THM: 21:54
Call requested to raise to CRITICAL.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TME: 13:30
Is SELECT \(H\) ON being used when the system hangs occur? AS per TSB SWI 9351 from 5/12/92, SELECT H is not working correctly at this time but it does not blow the \(0 / S\) in any case we have seen yet. say have bad CPU board. See TSB HFI 9705 from 4/28/92. Use the information in the "CORRECTIVE ACTION" sectio I the TSB to determine if the CPU board is good or not. PTR P2/17422 has been opened for the heat sensitivity problem. Taiwan has indicated they were hoping to have a fix shortly.

\section*{ASSIGNSD: CHUI SIMON}

DATE: 05/18/92 TIME: 20:39
CC: Michael Bahia.
Status: Await another copy of OS from Duncan to verify this case. Seeking advice from Duncan is there any recomandation/bug to use SELECT H ON statement.
Action: Will arrange testing at user site with our testing program that previous test CS386 machines for this customer.
Ordering CPU/MXF spare parts.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/17/92 TTME: 21:50
After on-line for 14 hrs , encounter hang and after press Reser, only cursor for all terminals of CS/TURBO. Other CS/386 terminal still working. After power OFF/ON CS/TURBO, ok again. Encounter 2 more occurance 4 hrs later, cursor only for all terminals of CS/TURBO, other CS/386 terminals hang up, have to power OEF/ON CS/TURBO and DS to recorex. As the system is on-line enquiry and very busyr user's operation dept can't take the responsibility of further hang. User refuse to swap CPU board for observation, and detach the CS/TURBO from system and swap back to CS/386 again.

On line with heavy disk access for 32 partitions ( 1 forground \& 31 backgroun d ). The disk is Multiplexed to 2 more CS/TURBO each with 32 partition with heavy disk access.
FREQ: The burnt-in test seldom last for 2 days.


PROBLEM STATEMENT :HENDRIRS TOM DATE: 11/05/92 TIME: 10:10
When using an software controlled scratch and save mechanism on a CS Turbo You lose program data when the program has to be gaved as an new file. scratching and saving in the same file area is working fine.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/01/94 TIME: 10:00 SC595. RESAVE bug when onlarging file corrected with Turbo Rel 1.29.00. closing call.

ASSIGNED: HENDRIRS TOM
Problem solved in beta 1.29 .

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Still awaiting confirmation of fix. please update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/19/93 TIME: 15:20
Still awaiting confirmation of fix. Please update. Sent Wang office message to Tom on Wednesday.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/28/93 TIME: 12:21
please update. Has new 0/S been installed? Any problems? Can you verify problem has been resolved?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(\mathbb{F}\)
DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 12:22
Has new 0/s been installed yet? Any feedback? Have new Turbo Maintenance rel 1.30 with additional fixes now available for beta test. please update.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 12:06}

Mailed out copy of Turbo Rel 1.29 .00 yesterday via DHL which has fix for this problem. Please keep me posted on when received, installed, and any problems

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 16:02
Have new Turbo rel 1.29 .00 which fises this problem. We are currently in pro cess of fixing several other bugs. Can send you a copy now but would prefewr to roll a few more fixes in. If want copy right away please provide mailing address.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 09/08/93 TIMS: 09:28}

This fix has been rolled into CS/386 Maint Rel 1.30 which \(I\) am currently testing in house. This fix is now being rolled into the Turbo. Should have new Turbo Rel in near future.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/30/93 TIME: 09:37}

Have a CS/386 fix for this problem in maintenance release 1.29.01. This 0/s has been installed at 2 sites for a week now with no problems. Currently testing in house 1.29 .02 which fixes a operator stack problem (M2/17416) and also includes a design change concerning SELECT NEW. SELECT NEW has been changed so that it will no longer default back to OLD on a CLEAR or LOAD RUN. All 3 of these changes will be rolled into the Turbo \(0 / S\) in the next week or 2 at which time we will begin working on the Turbo bugs.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/93 TIME: 10:51}

Have new release of 386 0/s received today which fixes this bug on the 386. Doing system testing on this new release at this time. If all goes well 386
\[
\begin{array}{crl}
\text { PROBLEM M DETA I L } \\
\text { PROBLEM NUMBER } & \text { M800020511 }
\end{array}
\]

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATK: 07/30/93 TIME: 10:51
maintenance release will be available next week. Hope to have fix rolled over to Turbo shortly thereafter.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/26/93 TTME: 12:45}

R\&D had problem reproducing while I was away. Does not fail if all comands on separate lines. Norking on fix at this time.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MTCHAEL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIML: 17:20}

This problem also exists on the 386. Will be looking at this to fix on 386 at this time. It could then be easily rolled into the next Turbo release. Hope to have new 386 release very shortly. On vacation for 2 weeks starting July 10th. If need any help during that time please send Wang Office to Gene Roy.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/05/93 TTME: 12:49
Nothing new at this tima. New ReD man in Lowell coming up to speed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL F DATE: 03/12/93 TIME: 16:35
Nothing new to report. Waiting on R\&D.
AgSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/17/92 TIME: 15:26
-.e 1 the command SAVE DCT (R\$)S\$,1 on line 40 signifies to delete all all lines beyond line 1 in the program so in your example as the first command started at line 10 , no program would be saved. Will add this to our bug list.

ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TOM DATE: 11/16/92 TIME: 10:19
The SCRA000 file is not interesting the line is just there to wipe out the old program name from the index.
The problem lies in the new created program "TEST" as i understand you also get an A01 this is because the program is totaly empty while there should be program data in it.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/11/92 TIME: 15:03}

Please see previous coment. Additionally on VLSI, TEST was saved correctly after enlarging but SCRA0000 had no text. It would load but nothing was there to LIST out. What are you expecting to see in SCRA0000?

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/11/92 TIME: 14:58}

Have tested this out on ahsI, 386, \& Turbo. Both the \(386 \&\) Turbo respond the same way. Two questions:
1. On line 40, (command SAVE DCT (R\$) \(\$ \$, 1\) ) what does the trailing 1 do? Does
it mean to start saving program beginning with line 1 ?
2. When SCRA0000 is created because of an error on line 20 , what should be in it?

PROBLEMCETAIL PROBLEM NUMBER M800020511

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/11/92 TIME: 14:58}

On the Turbo and 386 after increasing the size of the program as you said TEST was saved in the index again with an extra sector but you get A01 if you try to load. At the same time SCRA0000 is created and it contains only lines 10 through 40 as given in the original program. The program was enlarged by adding 4 REM statments at 50, 60, 70, \& 80 followed by a line of characters.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 18:09
Will try to duplicate and update with results.
ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TOM
DATE: 11/05/92 TIME: 10:10
the configuration is a CS Turbo with 22c11-HS and a DS cabinet plus MXF for the terminals.
the program used to reproduce is as follows :
10 R\$="TEST" : S\$="SCRA0000"
20 SCRATCH T R\$ : SAVE DCT () R\$: GRROR GOTO 40
30 STOP "RESAVED"
40 SCRATCH T R\$: SAVE DCT (R\$) S\$, \(1:\) SAVE DCT (1) R\$
Step 1 is to create a program called "IEST"
runnung the above program works fine till now.
Step 2 add some program lines to "TEST" till it wont fit anymore in the existing area.
running the above program correctly scratches the old area and creates a new one with the same name BUT WITHOUT THE RROGRAM DATA.
entering the last save command by hand however works O.K.


PROBLEM STATEMENT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/22/92 TIME: 15:15
Running a particular routine with the customers softwre hangs the system requiring the \(C P O\) to be rebooted. Problem seems to be related to coming in 8 out of a Global program as it fails consistently every time at a point where it re-enters the Global.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHA巴L H DATE: 01/04/94 TIME: 10:03
sC595. Problem of failing to execute multiple commands on the same line following a jump to a DEFFN comand in a global program resolved with Turbo release 1.29 .00 and above. Problem only occurred if global program in a higher partition \# than the calling partition.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/94 TIME: 10:02
PTR M2/21498 opened against problem 1 reported in previous comment: System appears to ignore \$BRFAK if following a KKYIN comand. PTR M2/21541 opened against problem 2 reported in previous comment: Hang problem occurring with MATMOVE on large sort.
Refer to these 2 new PrRs for all updates on these issues.
The problem this call was opened against has been resolved. This call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/19/93 TIME: 11:57
Talked with cust this AM. Original problems with Global resolved. Has run into several new issues with current \(0 / S\).
1. System seems to ignore a BRGAK command if it follows a KEYIN if used with the MXF. The program involved displays the time on the screen very rapidly ignoring a BREAR' 255 used to limit CPU time. No prob after HALT/STEP. Have duplicated and will open new call.
2. Running a large involved sort the system hangs during merge. Unable to duplicate w/ cust's s/w. Data file to be sorted may be too small. Customer sending larger data file.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/10/93 TIME: 16:51}

Customer successfully installed Turbo Maint Rel 1.30.01 last Saturday, 11/6. The global problem reported in this calls appears to be resolved. Fill monitor for a couple of weeks before closing.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/28/93 TIMS: 15:57
Turbo Rel 1.30.01 along with proms and documentation mailed to cust site today via Federal Express, air bill 2212029066 . Should arrive on site tomorrow AM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/28/93 TTME: 11:01
Have proms ready. Will ship today along with new Turbo Maint Rel 1.30.01 along with complete utilities. To install new \(0 / S\) strongly suggest all data currently on SCSI drivas used with Turbo SCSI Controller be backed up to another disk device or tape. Will not be able to read properly from Turbo SCSI Disk devices with new \(0 / S\) and proms and new \(0 / S\) is not compatible to old SCSI proms. Once all SCSI data is backed up to external device, replace proms on all Turbo boards with proms mailed. Can then boot off new 0/S. Once \(0 / S\) is loaded, do a LOAD RUN from \(0 / S\) diskette sent. Select DISK MANAGEMENT UTIIITIES, then SCSI COnfiguration. Recreate SCSI config. Reformat all SCSI addresses, then restore data from backups.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:10
Spoke with customer Monday, 10/18. They would like to upgade to the new Turbo Maint rel 1.30 which has fix for this problem. Must also upgade proms. Need proms for CPO, 1 HS, 1 SCSI, and 3 MXF's. Waiting for proms to be

ASSIGNED: BAFIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:10
returned from field to update this customer.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/30/93 TIMF: 17:08}

Tested Global bug with new software sent in today. No problem on either the Turbo or CS/386 releases 1.30 when booted from floppy. At @GgNPART, keyed RESET, SELECTDISKD11: LOADRUN"@GENPART".
Could not get boot screen on CS/386 where select \(0 / S\) or diags from customers software, would hang with 'LOADING: 2200 SYSTEM MENO'. When typed in @129 from Key SF \& keyed SF'O, screen indicated 0/S 1.1 L loading. When ran thru steps to duplicate problem, system hung before displaying printout on screen. Appears \(0 / S\) file is messed up. Called cust but unvavailable at time.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/30/93 TIME: 10:07}

Received diskettes yesterday containing up-to-date Alpha Color software. Retested existing software once more using Turbo Maint Rel 1.30 \& no problem. Backing up existing software to tape before copying diskettes on to hard drives.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 10:49}

Mailed diskette with copies of files "AR-ATB" \& "UT-GLB+" to customer on 9/22 Cirbill \# 862-0537-642. Customer sending in copy of software to test with new fixes. Expected to mail 9/22. Problem appears to be resolved with both Turbo \& 386 with releases \(1.29 .00 \& 1.30 .00\) respectively.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/20/93 TIME: 15:27
Received call from Steve shoesmith today. Is having problems running this same program now on both 1.1 z and 1.29 .01 . Retested in my lab with both 1.1z and 1.30 .00 and no problems. His version is slightly different but is duplicated using the exact same steps. Can also use screen instead of local printer by keying SF5 for screen instead of SF4 for Local printer. Just use return to step through all screens back to menu. With the version he is currently using the program hangs before printing out. If do a sTOP 7520 by using Halt before selecting Screen or Local for printing, the program stops at line 7520 of the Global, does a SELECT @PART" \(n\) \& a GOSUB ' 202 (1) back to the calling partition. There it execute several instructions startin. \(g\) on line \(7700 \&\) on 7710 returns to the Global at line 07520 . It hangs on the next command, SELECT @RART B8\$ which is GPART COMMON, and with RESET all you get is a cursor. Steve is sending in a copy of the software he is using which consistently fails with this problem. Sending files "AR-ATB" and "UT-G LB+" back to Steve to see if that makes a difference.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL F DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 16:09}

Retested on Turbo using address D11 due to Global bug where \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) fails to erecute subsequent commands following a GOSUB when jumping into the Global. Retested today with new fix for Global problem and program ran through. Pat

PROBEEM DETATI PROBLEM BUMBER M200017446

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL 5 DATE: 09/14/93 TINS: 16:09}

Called customer. Would like us to test \(0 / s\) on latest software before going through major process of upgrading \(0 / S\) and proms. Programmar to mail us copy of software.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/08/93 TIME: 17:17
Tested on CS/386 Rel 1.30 and no hang. Mill retest on Turbo tomorrow. May have had problem running. due to using a disk address other than D11.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/08/93 TTME: 08:50
Have begun work on Turbo problems. Need to contact customer to reproduce problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIME: 16:50}

Nothing new to report at this time. On vacation for 2 weeks starting 7/10. If need assistance during that time please contact Gene Roy via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/22/93 TINE: 11:22
Talked with programer. This should be a Turbo bug and not a 386 bug. Could not remember the reason for the problem I was getting and did not have time at moment to look into. Programmer asked me to gat back to him when we are ready to look at this bug. Will try to correct header to indicate Turbo bug.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/02/93 TTME: 17:10
continued from previous comment: then LOAD ROS "GGENPART" from customer's s/w. Same problem. P56 on line 8457.
0457 LTMITS THT8, STR (D9§ (D9), 12, 8) where \(T 8=0\), \(T\) 䓪 \(0=310\), \(D 9 \$=2020202020202020, D 9=0\)
Will need to talk with progranmer to find out what is happening here.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/02/93 TMME: 17:01
Tested on Turbo today. When tried to use 1.15, the RaIURN key would not respond. This was probably due to a prom mismatch as \(I\) have all 1.18 proms installed. When booted with 1.18 from customer's disk using 0118, would fail after entering the system password with a 256 (subscript out of range) on line 0457 pointing at the comma after STR (D9\$(D9). Booted system on my 1.18Q floppy to insure proper ucode loaded \& when QGENPART loaded, cleared program, did a SELECT DISK D11: LOAD RUN"

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/27/93 TIME: 14:29
Tested this problem today and unable to reproduce with either \(1.1 z\) or with the compiled source code brought back from Taiwan by R\&D. Left message at ALPHA COLOR.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/93 TIME: 15:44
New man in Lowell coming up to speed on \(0 / S\) to \(f i x\) bugs. Nothing new here at

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/12/93 TIME: 09:07
No fix yet. Steps are being taken to address open bug issues. No time table available at this point.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 14:23
Taiwan has software to duplicate problem. Have not issued a fix yet.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 08/05/92 TTME: 13:35
Still waiting on a fix for this problem. Any update?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/22/92 TIME: 16:01
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution. Software on DS cassette given to Mike R today.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/22/92 TIME: 15:15
COPY the customers software from the Tape provided to surfaces D11 \& D12. D11 will require a 10 meg surface. D12 according to the index is only using 10 sectors but not sure if there isn't more out there. Once loaded use the \(f\) wing steps: 1. Connect printer to Terminal \(1 \&\) READY. Boot from D11.
2. Key SF' 0 AUTO START from 1st menu
3. First time through need to change the CPU ID\# using SF'3 to edit and SF' 0 to save as shown on the bottom of the screen. Do not change name here. This will bring you back to the menu where again select SF' 0 AUMO START.
4. Screen will come up asking for date, enter 03/31/92 RETURN key. Enter time \& RETURN or just key REIURN.
5. System then asks for password. Enter systrm and key RBIURN.
6. Main Menu comes up. Key SF'1 for Accounts Receivable Menu.
7. From Accounts Recvble Menu key SE' 7 for End-of-Month Reports Menu.
8. From End-of-Month Menu key SF' 6 for Print Aged Receivables Report.
9. Will ask for 1st \# or key. Enter 1 and RBYURN.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/22/92 TIME: 15:15
Comment For Last Lines Of Earlier Comment Of Old Format
10. Will then ask for last \#. Enter 9999999 and RETURN.
11. Key REIURN 3 times. This sets up printer.
12. Key SF' 0 to PRINT. Key HALT, the SF'4 to select LOCAI 204 printer.
13. Key in STOP 7520 and RETURN. This will allow you to HANT/STEP to the hang. CONPINUE RET. HALT/STEP to hang. Occurs when 7710 returns to Global.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M800022721 } \\
& \text { P1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NANE: CUST NUNBER: & JORNSSON PAPPERS s1 00001199001 & AB, BERNDT \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & EsC & CUST CONTACT: & TORBJORN SAGNTER & (HANG) \\
\hline LINK TO PROB NO: & & COST CONT PHONE: & 46-870-586-78 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & CYPRESSV[GEN 21 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & ANDERS OLSSON & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & & CUST ADDRESS 3: & & \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & CPU & CUST CITY: & MALM & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 0118 q & CUST ST/PROV: & & \\
\hline HP MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-400N & CUST 2IP: & 21363- CUST & RDB: \(\mathbf{3 2 4 0 0}\) \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & Os & CUST COUNTRY: & & \\
\hline SH VERSION: & 0118 q & & & \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 & \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHARL E & \\
\hline ALL INFO. AVAILAE & ble: Y & ORIG NAME: & SAGNER TORBJORN & \\
\hline & & ORIG EMPL NO: & 31-00449 & \\
\hline SERIAL NUMBER: & & ORIG PRONK: & - - - & \\
\hline & & ORIG RDB: & S2400 & \\
\hline CALL TREG DATE: & 00/00/00 00:00 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 07/07/93 & \\
\hline EALLL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & & \\
\hline StATUS DATE: & 11/24/93 & DATE TO RED: & 07/30/93 & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 741 & FIKDAYS IN RED: & 117.00 & \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & THMP FIX-I & TOT PIEDAYS OREN: & 140.00 & \\
\hline Status desc: & CUSTOMER RESOLVED & D BY INSTALLING TE & EEMP FIX e 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RROBLEM STATEMRNT : SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 07/07/93 TIME: 05:33
When upgrade operating system from maint. version 1.10 to \(1.18 q\) to correct a MXF hanging problem an other problem occured. Open a file in background results that next statement in program not was executed, cupu jumped down to next program line.
CPU: CS386 TURBO 4MB, one MXF and three MXE's no HS controler is installed Customer runs KPAM software.
I can send you a trace of the program phere you exactly can se what is going wrong, please provide mo with your fax number

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 11/24/93 TIME: 09:08
SC741. Problem of not recognizing commands on the same line following a DEFFW in a Global partition with a higher partition \# than the calling partition resolved with Maint Rel 1.29.00. Customer now experiencing intermittent performance problems. See PIR M8/23719. Closing call. eiginal problem defined in this call resolved.
PROBIEM

PROBLEM NOMBER M800022721

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/19/93 TIME: 10:34
Update acknowledged. Sorry for delay in responding. Is the RESET problem duplicatable? It is likely either certain programs or a certain activity level or a combination of both are involved if this is the case. I will do some testing on this to try to reproduce in the lab. If you can provide any additional info on how \(I\) may reproduce, system activity level, what kinds of programs were in progress, \(1 / 0\) activity at time, it could be very helpful. We are thinking of creating a 1.1 Turbo Rel with all the latest fixes except the terminal hang. The terminal hang/performance issue may take a while to fix and the choice of one or the other with all the other fixes may be beneficial to some customers. Would this be of any help to you? Which problem is more troublesome? Obviously this may depend on the customer and that is why it may be helpful. We can also then see what changes were made between the \(20 / s^{\prime} s\) which may help us fix the problem. Do you want to open a 2nd call for the performance issue with RESET.

ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN
DATE: 11/18/93 TIME: 04:10
please resend call to orginator for closure. Will open a new call for perform ance problem after upgrade.

ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN
DATE: 11/15/93 TIME: 07:17
OS 1.29 has been installed, the hanging problems whith mXF are solved and the KFAM problems that occured when upgraded tol.18q are also solved. So far so good. Now they have dicovered a decrease of cpu performance and they have trace it down, the decrease of CPU performance occures when the user terminate the program whith SHIFT+RESET, it looks like the cpu is looping and the system becomes very slow, after RyIRL or just aprox half hour wait the system is back on speed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/I1/93 TTME: 15:49
Has new \(0 / S_{r}\) Rel 1.30 been instaled at this site? If so when? please update.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 10:35}

Update acknowledged. Sorry for delay. Out sick last week. Maint Rel 1.30
mailed Tuesday via DHL, air bill \# 921512 410. Looking forward to any
feedback you can provide.
ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 10/11/93 TIME: 11:40
The new os has now been tested inhouse OK! We will BETA install it at customer site next week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 10:37
There will not be a fomal release for quite a while. Have you done any testing with the new release? Fe have done several additional fixes and should have an updated release in a week or 2. Any feedback you can provide

\section*{ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 09/21/93 TIME: 03:06}

Received Beta verison of new Turbo OS Sept 17. Wait for general version before installation at site.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 15:58}

Have new release of Turbo 0/S which appears to fix this bug. Need to run some additional sytem tests. Should be available by end of week. Do you wan \(t\) a copy right away or do you want to wait until a few more fixes are rolled in. Need mailing address.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/08/93 TIME: 09:31
Currently working on Turbo \(0 / 3\). This will be addressed as soon as possible.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/25/93 TIME: 11:04
Expect to finish up on the CS/386 bugs this week and start up on the Turbo bu gs next week. This will be the top priority for fixing. Hopefully by the end of September or October with a little luck we'll have a new maintenance ralease to test.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/93 TTME: 09:07
Nothing new to reporetr at this time.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFL E DATE: 07/30/93 TIME: 17:16}

Did additional testing today and have greatly narrowed down code needed to reproduce. Using 3 partitions, 2 teminals, 100k each, program "A" is autoloaded to partition 1, program "B" to partition 3:
program "A" program "B"
1 SELECT GPART"KFAM" 10 DEFFN' @PART"KFAM"
2 STOP: GOSUB'230 20 DEFEN'230: PRINT"line 20"
30 PRINT"line 30": GOTO 50
40 PRINT"line 40"
50 PRINT"line 50": STOP
When program "A" maks the GOSUB callto the DEFFN' 230 in program "B" all commands following the DEFFN on line 20 are ignored. It appears the problem can be circumvented by moving all commands following the DEFFN' 230 in the GLOBAL to a new line. If you HALT/STEP through the GLOBAL there appears to be a problem on any line with multiple commands. Either get p36 or ignored.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/93 TIME: 09:04
Again, please send this call to RDB 8760 for resolution. Have duplicated problem with programs and documention provided by field. When 1 particular program jumps into the KFAM global program "KFAMO2/" on a GOSUB ' 230 it conrectly begins at line 2091 DEFFN' 230 . However instead of executing
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PROBLEM DETAIL
PROBLEM NOMBER M800022721

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/93 TIME: 09:04
subseguent commands on the same line as it should, the program jumps out to the next command line which is 2174. Have narrowed the calling program to a couple of COM statements followed by:
50 SELECT BPART "KFAM"
55 S2=ARART
210 STOP: GOSUB' \(230(1,1,2,1, J 5 \$, 3, n \pi, J 1 \$(9), J 1 \$(9))\)
Have also found that the problem can be circumrented by taking line 2091 of "KPAM02/" and splitting it into several single command lines, at least for the first few commands. Problem appears to involve calling a global program with a DEFFN where on the lst line of the global there are several commands.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/26/93 TIME: 12:53
please send to RDB 8760 for resolution. Just back from vacation. Have recieved tape cassette with software to reproduce but have not had chance to install yet.

ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 07/07/93 TIME: 10:02
Please transfer this call to mike Bahia at RED, he is waiting for itll!

\section*{HEADER INEO}

PROBLEM NUMBER M200017599


PROBLEM STATEMRNT : BABIA MICHASL F DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 09:55 SELECT NEW defaults to OLD after aCLEAR or LOAD RUN. Customers are spending money having all programs converted to NEW format. Because the system keeps defaulting back to OID they start building up a number of programs in OLD format if any development work or changes are being made.

\section*{RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:31}

SC595. SELECT NEF has now been corrected to remain selected once executed until a SELECT OLD command is issued from the same partition. SELECT NEM will not default back to OLD after a CLEAR or LOAD RON. Fix is includad in Maint Releases 1.30 of both the Cs/386 and Turbo. Closing call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/93 TTME: 10:59}

Have fix for this on both \(386 \&\) Turbo w/ rel \(1.30 \& 1.29 .00\) respectivaly. Both releases have gone out for beta testing. Waiting for feedback.

\section*{ASSIGNTD: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 16:15}

Now have new Turbo rel 1.29 .00 which includes this fix. Need to field test o/s before closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 09/08/93 TIME: 09:07
Have rolled this fix into new Cs/386 Maint Rel 1.30 which we are currently testing. Should go out for beta test next week. Currently rolling this fix into the Turbo.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/30/93 TIME: 09:48}

Now have new CS/386 Maint Rel 1.29 .02 which includes this change. Have been testing in house since the middle of last week. Will send out for beta test by the end of next week, the latest. This change will be rolled into the next Turbo rel which we will be working on by next week.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 16:07}

We expect to implement this change on both the 386 and Turbo although it may not be on the next release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIME: 17:00
This is also true on the 386 and should be fixed there. Will request this be implemented.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 04/05/93 TIME: 11:38 New RED man on board. This should be fised in next release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 10:45
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 09:55
Type in SELECT NER return.
Do a LIST SELECT to verify NEW is selected.
DO a CLEAR Or LOAD RUN.
Do a LIST SELECT to see OID is now selected.


PROBLEM STATEARNT :BABIA MICHAEL F DATK: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:26
In progrem or immediate mode, the \(0 / 5\) can be blown up by a PRINT command folilowed by a long list of of additions or subtractions. Jsing a single digit \(\%\) the 87 th number will consistently blow the \(0 / \mathrm{s}\). The 86 th number will sometimes blow the \(0 / s\) but when it does, usually you will see an a 01 exror, Not Enough sem. The 87 th number always seems to cause a hang. Strangely, the \(88 t h, 89 t h, s 90 t h\) and possibly some numbers beyond that return an A04 error, Operator Stack Overflow in immediate mode and fail to print a number in program mode but due return the cursor. At some point within 3 full lines of single digit additions or subtractions again the \(0 / S\) will blow usually with a hang where Reser clears the screen. This problem a' 180 exiats on Turbo but not until the 94th character.

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL F DATE: 10/21/93 TTME: 10:52
ons95. This problem has been corrected on both the cs/386 a Turbo with rel of both. Both raleases axe cursently in beta test with no serious problems reported. Closing call.

M200017416

\section*{ASBIGNED: BARIA BICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TINE: 10:57}

Problem tixed in CS/386 Maint Rel 1.30 and Turbo Maint Rel 1.30.

ASSIGRED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 09/23/93 THAE: 10:43
Fixes now available on both the cs/386 with rel 1.30 and Turbo with rel 1.29. Have sent copies of both out for beta tasting. Naiting for feedback.

ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/93 TMMS: 16:10
Now have new Turbo rel 1.29 .00 with this fix included. Waiting for beta site testing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEE E DATE: 09/08/93 TINA: 08:48
Have a new Cs/386 saint Rel 1.30 that is currently being tested in house which fixes this problem. Currently rolling same fix into Turbo.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 08/30/93 TTME: 09:42
Fix is now available for this problem on the CS /386 in Maint Rel 1.29.02. Have been testing since last waek. Will be sending out lor beta test very shortly.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATK: 07/06/93 TINA: 16:48
Expect a resolution for this problem very shortly. ReD working on fix at this time.

ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAKL E DATE: 04/02/93 TIMN: 15:41
RED currently working on this bug. Symptoms slightly different when tested within a program. Syatem somatimes will come back after several minutes.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 13:30
This also fails on the Turbo when you get to 87 tis. \(0 / S\) must then be reload ed. Tested on Turbo \(0 / \mathrm{s} 1.18\) with correct proms.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/92 TINE: 15:34
This is a duplicatable problem which takes the whole system down on an active operating system for which we have resources to correct. This call has been open for 4 months. Let's make an attempt to \(f i x\) it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MTCHAEL E
Any news on this problem?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Sending to 8332 for resolution.

ASSIGNED: BABLA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:26
To duplicate on the CS/386 key in the following conmand:
PRINK1 \(+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+\)
\(1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1\) \(+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1\)
key ReNURN and system will hang. There are \(86+1\) following the RRINT coman d.

DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:57
DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 12:16

DATE: 11/22/91 THME: 13:26

PAGE:
1 PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT

\section*{HEADERIXIO}

PROBLEM NOMBER M200017750

21 OCT 1993
11:50:41


PROBLEM STATEMENT :BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 10/26/92 TIME: 18:04
If a CORY is done from addr A to addr \(B\) and in the process the last sector at addr \(B\) is reached before the COPY is finished, an 198 will occur which is normal. However, any other partition that now tries to access the same address of disk \(B\) will hang. To clear the hang, RESET must be keyed from the partition which did the COPY. If another partition is currently hung at the address in question of disk \(B\), either you must RESET that paxtition or the partition which did the COPY must reaccess the address to again allov access. Other addresses in the same disk unti as \(B\) are not affected 6 there is no indication of a problem in tha Device Table.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:46
SC595. This problem with CORY where if an error occurs the output address could be hung unless the partition executing the command issues a RESET or accesses the same address is fixed with Turbo Maint Rel 1.30. Closing call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 11:04}

A fixs has been made for this bug in R\&D. Will be doing system testing on this release shortly, then send out for beta test.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATH: 09/08/93 TIME: 09:15
Working on Turbo bugs at this time. Hope to have fix for this bug soon.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 16:11
Nothing new on this problem at this time.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/26/93 TTME: 11:28
Additional information: 2 ways to clear problem.
1. Access the address that is hung from the terminal which caused the hang. 2. Key Reser on the terminal that caused the hang. The first partition that accesses the hung address after the RESET will clear the hang and all hung terminals will resume operation.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/26/92 TTME: 18:04
This problem is duplicatible with any disk controller, old bus or new. To duplicate use any surface with no data in the last 1000 sectors. For example using a 16 Meg surface as the drive to be copied to use the following COPY statement copying from a floppy:
CORYT/D10, (0,100) tot/D11, (65000)
An I98 error, (Illegal sector address or no platter), will occur at D11 when sector 65024 is needed as 65023 is the last sector. This is ok.
From a 2nd terminal:
LISH DCT/D11
This will hang until a RESEY is cone from the list terminal where the COPY was done. At that time you will either also have to Ressy the 2nd terminal or access D11 from the 1st terminal to enable access. This error should not lock out access from other partitions.

HEADERINEO PROBLEM NUMBER M200017601


PROBLEM STATEMENT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 10:18
The MOVE command causes the Catalog End to become equal to the Current End on the output disk. To add more files to that disk the End then needs to be MOVEd. In the past the Catalog End was not changed on MOVE.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:39 sC595. The problem with MOVE which results in setting the End Catalog to Current End on the output disk has been fixed with Turbo Maint Rel 1.30. close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E
DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 11:01
We have a fix for this bug but we need to do system integration testing on this new release.
```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 09/08/93 TTME: 09:11

```

Currently working on Turbo bugs. Hope to have fix for this problem shortly.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 16:09 No change at this time.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/05/93 TIME: 11:41
Nothing new here at this time. New R\&D man on board in Lowell.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 10:47
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 10:18
SCRATCH a disk surface setting the gND to a number greater than the size of a disk catalog tro be MOVEd.
SCRATCEDISKT/340, END \(=10000\)
Use the MOVE command to bxing the contents of an existing floppy to 340.
MOVET/D10,TOT/340,
When you list 340 you will see the Current Fnd \& Catalog End are the same.


BE THE SAME AS THS INPUT DISK.


PROBLEM STATEMENT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 11:12
If a Katakana Dakuon character (lower case left bracket ([) in Katakana char set is used after a REM \% all other commands on that line will be ignored.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 15:52 sC595. This problem is resolved with Maint Rel 1.18Q. Problem invovied the failure of the system to execute commands on the sane line that followed a REM statement with a HEX 7E or 7D character in it. The HEX 7E \& 7D axe not used normally in the \(0 S\), but the problem was reported from both Japan and Sweden:- Maint Rel I.IBQ is currently running at several sites successfully.
\[
\begin{array}{r}
\therefore 1 \\
\cdots: \\
i
\end{array}
\]

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/05/93 TIME: 11:08}

Have source code for this \(0 / S\) so it can go out on beta test if needed. Need to do more testing in-house first.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 15:17
Problem is corrected in special rel \(1.18 Q\) sent to field \& forwarded to me for verification. Have not heard from R\&D on this release.

ASSIGNED: FONG TAR LAM
DATE: 09/01/92 TIME: 19:51
To R\&D: Installation schedule in customer site is upheld because of this problem. please provide the fix schedule at earliest. Regards.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 17:11
Same problem has now been reported by Sweden in a Wang Office titled 'Bug 25' . With the Swedish charater set if a RHM\% followed by a lower case left or right bracket [] (hex 7D or 7F) precedes other commands on the same line, the following commands on that line will be ignored. Only the hex 7D or 7E have been found so far to cause the problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 17:14
P1 indicates problem brings system down, no circumvention. You want to chang e status instead to CRITical?

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/21/92 TIME: 02:00
To R\&D: Request to upgrade PTR call status to \(P 1\), as customer wants to know fix schedule at earliest. Regards.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/17/92 TIME: 02:10
To R\&D: Rlease update time frame for the fix of this problem. Customer is concerning much about this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 11:26
Sending to RDB 8332 for Resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 11:12
The following program can be used to duplicate the problem:
10 PRINT "ABC"
20 RHM of dakuon: PRINT"OK" dakoun is a lower case left bracket in
30 PRINT"XXX". Katakana
RUN Return
ABC
XOXX
OK should also print out but does not. This same problem was firsed on the 386.

PANGILABORATORIESINNC.
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PROB. RESOLUTION PROBLEM NOMBER M900007387

PROBLEM NO: M900007387
STATUS CODE: S C 741 STATUS ABBR: TEMP FIX-I DATE ENTERED: 05/04/93


VERSION
0.5: 0118

SWR:

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/04/93 TIME: 16:37
SC741. Intermittently customer would get a 248 error, illegal device specifi cation, and find in the Device Table address D32 shown as 332 . Problem was circumvented by using different \#numbers when selecting disk addresses. Was switching back and forth between 340 and D32. Cannot duplicate this problem with any regularity. Have seen it occur. Many times the address will not make a difference. \(310 \& B 10\) will work with Dll \& D10. One tima addresses in the Device Table for both \#0 \& \#1 were BIO and even after typing in SELECT \#0/D10, \#0 remained B10. However, this did not cause a problem. After rebooting was able to change first byte of address from \(B\) to \(D\) or 3 and back again. Cannot identify circumstances which result in a problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR \\ DATE: 12/07/92 TIME: 23:10}

The problem occured when select file number say \#1 to RaM disk 340, after certain disk operation, reselect the file \#1 to disk D32, then from the IISIDT status, the first bit can not be set, hence the D32 was changed to 332, that caused \(P 48\) exror and stop the background program. The program was circumvented by select RAM disk 340 and other disk platter to different file \#.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/23/92 TIME: 15:13}

Current status please. How often does this problem seem to occur? When it does occur are any disk addresses hung? How often does it occur when powering up the customer's CPU? How many CPUs have shown this problem? If there is any frequency to the problem, 1 out of 10 or even 20 times, maybe we can duplicate it by booting off the customer's software. If only occuring with 1 CPU may be some kind of hardware problem. Try CPU board.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/17/92 TIME: 12:14}

Don't think as \(I\) have stated that the address in the Device Table beginning with 3 is the problem although it may be a symptom. Most likely a problem exists related to the background task that is running. Will send a Wang Office to Duncan to see if he has any ideas. We need more information.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 09/15/92 TIME: 21:19
The problem can't be duplicated in house, but occurs intermittently at user site. The OS 1.18 z was sent from Duncan with heading as \(1.18 k\), but show \(1.18 z\) at the first line when boot up CPO. Don't know whether it is 1.18k or 1.18z.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(\mathcal{D}\) DATE: 09/11/92 TIME: 10:00}

Are you saying that whenever a disk address starts with 3 in the Device Table, that surface is no longer accessible? I am not sure this is really the problem, although it may be a syxutom. Be sure the only disk addresses in ©GENPART are \(310,320,330,8340\). No other disk or DS tape related addresses should be in eGgNPaRI. I have no idea in the world how to duplicate this problem since \(I\) am not getting any hangs other than those wa have identified concerning SELECT \(H\) and MUXing. Did this problem exist with 1.18 ? Do not have a 1.18 z . I do have 1.18 Q and 1.18 K .

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAD VICTOR \\ DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 20:33}

If the problem surface, it will stop the user's CRITICAL background program ( which is used to transmit message to subscriber's beeper). However the user will not aware of the problem until RAMDISK buffer is filled up by m incoming message and full, which is \(1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}\) later after the problem surface ( hence the subscriber's message will be delayed by \(1 / 2\) hour). This will affect the user's operation. The user pushs for solution.

SSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 09:43
Is this actually causing any problem? If not shouldn't this be a p3 priority ?
PROBLEM NUMBER M900007387

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 05/02/93 TIME: 21:18
Michael, Call can be closed at this satge as user accepts the circumention. Pls de-escalate the call back, thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/27/93 TIME: 10:45
No change in status. At this time we cannot duplicate this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/08/93 TIME: 09:53
Did some more testing with the DS config program but cannot get it to fail. Had made some minor changes to the program and now seems to work every time. Do not need to open floppy door. Tested on both the Turbo \& 3868 with 3 DS Cabinets with 3 different prom levels and no problem. This means that again this problem cannot be reproduced and therefore there is no way of know ing how to fix it without more information on what makes it occur.

ASSIGNFD: CHUI SIMON DATE: 04/06/93 TIME: 05:44
Michael, have you done the test again? Meanwhile, will try to contact customer whether they will accept the circumrent to close this call. If not, then will have your help to fix this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/26/93 TIME: 09:46
Believe I may have run into this problem this week but could not reproduce it a 2nd time. With the new R4 prom there is a utility program built into @DSCFIG that allows you to assign addesses of user defined size to the winche ster drives. Once the configuration is saved, it is stored in a data file on the disk. If the same data file name is used when rerunning the program a second time an \(I 98\) occurs consistently. You must open the floppy door and close it to get around the error or key RHBmT and rerun. One time when the I98 occured I did find address 320 in the Device Table instead of D20. Did not check the Device Table everytime but the 3 or 4 times I did the address was correct. Will go back to this and test to see if the change in address will come back with this with any kind of consistentcy, even if only 1 out of 10 times.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/12/93 TIME: 16:29}

Need more information. Cannot reproduce this problem.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 02/12/93 TIME: 10:25
Still unable to duplicate this problem. Wrote program to SELECT\#1/340 then SELECT\#1/D36 and keep on changing reading and writing to both surfaces. No problem. Can you provide any additional information which may help to duplicate this problem?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAERLE
DATE: 12/10/92 TIME: 17:11
Still cannot duplicate your problem. Will Duncan be addressing this? Is there any way to duplicate?

WANG LABORATORIESINNC.
PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
-ALLL TRKG DATE: \\
LL TRKG NO:
\end{tabular} & 00/00/00 & 00:00 & DATE ENTER PTR: RES DEPLOYED: & 05/15/92 \\
\hline StATUS DATE: & 04/27/93 & & DATE TO R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H C 495 & & WKDAYS IN RED: & 0.00 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & & TOT WKDAYS OPEN: & : 348.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
STATUS DESC: NEW PROBLEM

PROBLEM STATEMENT : IAU VICTOR DATE: 05/15/92 TIME: 01:25
PROB: Intermittently can't show the memory testing counting down screen on console when power ON CPU. Sometimes have to 1) power OFF/ON several times or 2) re-sit CPU borad or 3) after fail for more than 10 times, power down for half hours, then power UP again then OK.
H/W : CS/386-400N x2, 2275MUX x2, 22C80 x2 2200DS \(\times 2\) ( \(1.2 \mathrm{M}+140 \mathrm{M} \times 2,+150 \mathrm{M}\) Tape + R3E PROM )
S/W : CS/TURBO OS 1.16x

RESOLUTION TEXT :CHUI SIMON DATE: 04/27/93 TIME: 21:51
Hardware problem, replaced marginal MXF.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/26/93 TIME: 12:00
Call can be closed per field. Problem resolved by replacing marginal MXF boards. Cannot enter a resolution as problem comes up as locked to me.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 04/26/93 TIME: 03:18
Problem resolved after replacing marginal boards, call can be closed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/08/93 TIME: 09:57
Have not seen this problem at other sites. Was the problem resolved by replacing the marginal MXF boards?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 04/06/93 TIME: 05:29
Michael, Pls confirm whether this MXF fail on boot problem (LED remain on) had been reported from other sites. Since we had two out of eight pcbs still exist this problem. Then we may go ahead to replace these marginal boards.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/26/93 TIME: 10:05
Anything new on this problem. Have found that often if you run the boot diagnostics, then IPL, more likely to fail on boot. This was happening with 2 MXFs, an MXE, \& a MXD. Usually the MXE JED would be on. The MXE seems to work fine otherwise but may be something marginal about it. Additionally there can be problems using MXEs \& MXDs with the SCSI Controller. Could not get the MXD to work on boot from SCSI and some otherwise perfectly running MXEs will fail intermittently on boot. There seems to be some tight timing margins here. Thought this might be of some related interest to the problem. Please let me know where things stand on this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/12/93 TIME: 16:31
Status please. Never able to duplicate.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/12/93 TIME: 08:45
What is the status of this problem? Is it still failing? Has anything been done recently?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/01/92 TIME: 15:08
Have you tried swapping MXFs with 1 that never has a problem? If yes, did problem follow failing MXF? How many CPUs are involved? If possible, try failing MXF in a CPU which has not had a problem. Have replacement MXFs been ordered. If you have not already verified that the problem will follow the bad MXF from 1 CPU to another, you may need a motherboard.

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 11/19/92 TIME: 23:14}

The 2 problem MXFs have no problem as long as not power off the CPU. After power on again, it intermittently can't pass the build in test, power on off again does not help, has to power down and wait for 5 min before to power

ASSIGNED: LLAU VICTOR
again to resume normal. the MXF boards.
As 2 out of 8 NXFs have problem, consider chance of getting good one from logistic.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/12/92 TIME: 15:46}

If problem is reproducible even 1 out of 10 times we should go in and just resolve this problem. Again would suggest if still fails after replacing MXF to remove all boards except MXF and CPU and test again. If still fails be prepared to replace \(C P U\) and motherboard. Also if having problems remove all but terminal 1 from the MXF in case the remote possibility of a terminal or cable causing the problem exists.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 11/12/92 TIME: 03:22
To R\&D: CPU mothboards are at new version. Field will try swap in mXF PCBs (2 of them) to verify problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/23/92 TIME: 09:03
Have read through the call again. This problem with the MXF LED staying on too long was a problem earlier with the motherboard which was fixed with the
version of the motherboard. How many CPU's are currently exhibiting this problem? Test CPU by powering off \& on with just CPU \& MXF installed. MXF LED should go out in 3 seconds. If fails replace MXF \& CPU 1 at a time and retest. If still fails replace motherboard. If does not fail with just CPU \& MXF install other controllers 1 by 1 retesting power up with each. Please update with results.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/11/92 TIME: 15:20
This call appears to be for just a bad board. Can we close this call? If not, please explain.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/27/92 TTME: 11:08
If you have 2 MXF boards failing power up diagnostics \(I\) suggest you order replacements \& return the 2 bad ones to repair. These boards can fail to pass bit test for multiple reasons. It does not appear to me we need to have this call open. Can we close this call?

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 08/20/92 TIME: 03:48}

Due to user's CS/TURBO on-line system hang, have to power OFF/ON user's CPU. But the 1st MXF can't pass the BIT test, hence can't boot up the CPU again. Power OFF/ON for 4 times, still fail. Take out the lst MXF from the CPU chassis for 2 min for cool down or voltage discharge and then reinstall to the chassis. It pass the BIT.
This is 2nd MXF out of total 12 MXF ( with new PROM checksum B7F9 \& 9B7C ) exhibit the problem. There are 2 more MXF (have old PROM) also have the \(\rightarrow\)

PROBLEM DETAIL PROBLEM NUMBER M900006980

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR \\ DATE: 08/20/92 TIME: 03:48}
above problem.
User can't afford to wait for several miniute in order to reboot the system.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/10/92 TIME: 09:39
Are all MXFs showing this problem where they need to be off for several minutes to properly reboot or is only 1 MXF board having the problem still where it has to be off several minutes before you can successfully reboot? If all MXF boards are exhibiting this problem is Duncan aware of it? We can not reproduce this problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR \\ DATE: 08/06/92 TIME: 00:47}

It is normal warm up with 2 fans cooling.
Since the MXF board works fine as long as not to power OFF/ON the machine again after warm up, it is not to assume the MXF board is bad. Furthermore the symptom is intermittent and similar as before with only difference the frequency is lesser.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 08:52
What do you mean by heating up the board. Is this normal warm up from just turning the CPU on or are you unplugging the fan? If only 1 of 6 boards is failing with the new prom it would seem to me that 1 MXF is bad. It is very common to see a board that seems to work when it is cold but has problems as components heat up. I think the failing board should be replaced. If none \({ }^{3}\). of the boards are still failing then we should be able to close this call. We never did see this problem here.

\section*{ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 08/04/92 TIME: 21:17}

Before update the MXF PROM, the MXF BIT problem ( can't show the memory self test ) was quite obvious especially when the machine was heat up.
After update the MXF PROM to L7 R3xx checksum B7F9
L14 R3xx checksum 9B7C
the MXF BIT problem improved a lot, out from 8 MXF, there was still one MXF exhibit the problem. But after power off the machine for 10 min to let the machine cool down or voltage discharge, the MXF could up and OK as long as not to power OFF/ON again after machine warm up.
Since the symptom is same as before ie resume normal after cool down the machine for a while, the MXF board is not assumed to be faulty. And as the PROM update improves a lot, further modification of MXF PROM may fix the problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 14:57}

What is status of this problem? Has Duncan given you a fix? If yes, what was the fix, microcode, prom, \&/or \(0 / S\) ? On vacation 2 weeks. If need assistance contact Gene Roy or Michael Riley. M. Riley on vacation week of 7/20. Back 7/27.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/11/92 TIME: 03:43
Michael, There are 5 out of 6 Turbo System having this MXF BIT problem. Two of them are still at HKCSO office (refer to \(25 /\) may update). Also, this problem had been seen by Duncan in these two in-house machine. According to his opinion, it seems to be related to MXF BIT problem.
(Note: HKCSO have rec'd another two new turbo systems today, there are totally 8 Turbo system in HK (4 at user, 4 in-house). Will keep you informed whether this issue will be found in the two new CPUs.)

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 12:31
Talked with Stephen Chung this morning by telephone. He is under the impression that this problem is occurring with multiple CPUs. From reading the PTR, I am undert the impression only 1 CPU has this problem. How many CPUs are giving this problem?
To answer your questions:
1. No other region has reported this problem. We have seen similar symptoms \& they are usually just a matter of replacing the bad board, in this most likely the MXF or something cabled to it or once replaced the mutherboard. Other boards in the CPU in odder cases may have an effect.
2. Have 3 Turbos in our lab which we constantly power down \& up with no problem. Cannot reproduce.
3. No recent ECOs to the motherboard. If the motherboard is R1 it is the latest. All customer orders should have come with R1 motherboards.
4. Do not know if R\&D has supplied you with newer proms that we are not yet using. As of today you should have \(R 2\) proms on your CPU board at L50 \&

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 12:31
Comment For Last Lines Of Earlier Comment Of Old Format
L64, R3 proms on the MXF at L7 \& L14, \& R1 proms at L7 \& L14 of the 22C11-HS. In Training next week. Contact Mike Riley via Wang Office if need assistance

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 02:29
To R\&D: Did this problem happened or reported by other region?
Have you tried to duplicated this problem?
Also, is there any ECO imply to the motherboard? Will it be any difference in between yours and ours.
Field have not yet placed the order for the motherboard.
Meanwhile, will follow your update on May/20 to verify again.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/01/92 TIME: 08:33
Does problem occur with just CPU board \& 1 MXF present? If it does \& a 2nd CPU board \& MXF make no difference, replace motherboard. See update from \(\rightarrow\)

\section*{PROBLEM \\ D E T A I L}

PROBLEM NUMBER M900006980

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/01/92 TIME: 08:33
5/20.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/31/92 TIME: 22:26
Resolder motherboard, same problem. This time the second MXF's LED turn OFF before the 1st MXF's LED. Press "LOAD LOAD LOAD" at console can display the baud rate screen, but can't reset the screen by pressing RESET.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/28/92 TIME: 22:09
There seems no problem for MXE.
The problem seems apparents if the CPU was warmed up for MXF. But it was also report problem for MXF and cold CPU.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 10:53
Unable to upgrade to CRITical as problem is locked. You may be able to upgrade the status yourself. Waiting for feedback on followup to my update of \(5 / 20\).

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 01:28
Recap the inforamtion that sent on May 23:
Status: have tested in two new Turbo systems and this problem also int. happen in these two new systems. Both systems contain four controller (22C11, MXF*2, 2275MUX). When the problem occur, the LED of all the MXF in the Turbo systems will continue light up but \(22 \mathrm{Cl1}\) can be off.
Action: 1 . Will refer to recommandation from Michael Bahia to identify the problem area.
2. Try to get a MXE to see any difference.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 22:14
Call requested to raise to CRITICAL.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 13:51
MXF LED should go out in approximately 3 seconds after power on as should LEDs on all new Turbo High Speed Controllers. If not, something is wrong \& this is why fails to start diagnostics. MKE LED usually takes around 5 sec. Try the following to isolate problem:
1. Check CPU voltages. Remove all I/O controllers except MXF.
2. Power CPU OFF \& ON 10 or 12 times to see if MXF LED consistently goes out within 3 seconds \& self-test diags start on screen. If ok go to 4.
3. If fails at all, try 2nd MXF. Still fails try 2nd CPU. Still fails, replace motherboard. If using CS chassis see TSB HWT 9694 from 4/7/92.
4. Put other controllers in 1 at a time \& test power on with each multiple times to insure still working. May have 1 controller affecting MXF. If do find a controller which seems to cause failure, remove other controllers to verify.
This should enable you to isolate the problem. Be sure all I/O boards are

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 13:51
Comment For Last Lines Of Earlier Comment Of Old Format screw on one end of the controller the other end can be forced up.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 00:59
Replace power supply, same problem.
Replace MXF, same problem.
Replace CPU board, same problem.
Have to retry power OFF/ON many time to get the BIT screen.
The 2 CPU both install a MXF \& a MXE, MXF as console. Found that every time it fail, the diagnostic LED of MXF turn OFF after LED of MXE after power ON CPU. Every time it is OK, the diagnostic LED of MXF turn OFF before that of MXE after power ON CPU.
The MXE is switched as console, under observation.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/18/92 TIME: 20:33
CC: Michael Bahia. Pls advise whether there is any similar problem reported from other site?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/18/92 TIME: 20:26
Field plan to verify at customer site and replaced SPS of the suspect failure CPU unit.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 21:56
To HKCSO: Call ack'd.
ASSIGNED: WONG PANDA DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 20:23
PTR is accepted in ASC at May 18, 92.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/15/92 TIME: 01:56
After swapping CPU board, MXF, same problem. Schedule to replace power supply
. Encounter same problem for another CPU out from total of 4 CS/TURBO but OK after re-sit CPU board.
PTR is raised to isolate problem, as user can't tolerate to power OFF/ON CPU several times.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/15/92 TIME: 01:25
FREQ: Intermittent

\section*{HEADER INFO}

PROBLEM NUMBER M500017942


PROBLEM STATEMENT :SCHUSTER STEVEN DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 12:33
Three turbo systems will not IPL when a second MXE controller is present. The CPU will blank out screen when @genpart is suppose to run. MXE's have been moved around. System will IPL with one MXE.

PROBLEM NO: M500017942
STATUS CODE: H 0513 STATUS ABBR: N-REP-UD-P DATE ENTERED: 01/18/93
ORIGINAL MODEL NUMBER
SYSTEM : CS-40
HARDWARE: TURBO
SOFTHARE:

GENERIC MODEL
2200 CS CPU SYSTEM SERVICES

VERSION

\section*{O.S: 115}

SWR:
VERSION
O.S:

SWR:

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/93 TIME: 08:37
HC305. Hang problem during boot caused be using Magna disk drive. Had 3 different Magna units and all had the same symptoms. No problem with 2275 using same controller. Magna units seem to work ok if using Magna's disk controller. Close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 14:59
Customer is using non-Wang disk drives. Believe problem may be a timing issu e with the drives as problem occurs with 3 different CPU's using different boards. Additional MXE boards may require additional time to communicate with the CPU after initial load of 0/S. The additional time is causing a timeout to occur with these non-Wang drives. Tested configuration in Lab using Wang drives and no problem. Testing 2275 to be shipped to field to isolate problem.

ASSIGNED: SCHUSTER STEVEN B DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 12:33
The MXE that was set for the first was set for number two with the same sympt om. If I set an MXE for \#1 and \#3 the system will IPL but only the ws on MXE \#1 load.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SCHUSTER STEVEN B DATE: 01/14/93 TIME: 19:09}

ALL problems have been resolved lets close this call.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/14/93 TIME: 15:41
Update acknowledged. Waiting confirmation all Wang issues have been resolved

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 01/13/93 TIME: 10:59
Requested confirmation from Wayne Crosby, BM.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/93 TIME: 09:20
Please verify any Wang hardware problems have been resolved. We can then close the call with a clean conscience.

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 12/30/92 TIME: 17:23
Asked Schuster to either update or close the call. If you hear nothing in a few days, return it for closure. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/20/92 TIME: 18:07
The 2275 was received back here today and it still works too. Do we need to keep this problem open any longer since the Wang hardware seems to be functioning properly?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/17/92 TIME: 14:54
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: SCHUSTER STEVEN B DATE: 11/12/92 TIME: 16:09
Turbo systems will IPL with the 2275, but when I tried to move OS software to Magna drive 1 got 190 errs. We were able to \(1.18 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{w}\) on the Magna, but the sy stem still hung in @GENPART. The Magna will successfully IPL when connected to a Magna Controller that we took from the customers MVP.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/11/92 TIME: 14:45
Tested 2275 on both a standard 22C11 (210-9746) and a 22C11-HS for several hours running random R/Ws to both the 10M and floppy \& no errors. Unit was brought to mailroom last night and should have arrived this AM via Federal Express Air Bill 7199625 517. Turbo 0/S 1.18 with utilities is loaded on the 10M. A 1.18 boot floppy was also enclosed. Please update with status as soon as possible.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/09/92 TIME: 18:20
Did not ship 2275 today due to problem booting off floppy where system would hang with blank screen just before loading @GENPART. Problem was due to having 22C11-SCSI board installed anhd no ucode for it on 0/S. Removed SCSI board and no problem. Booted several times with 3 MXE and 1 MXD. Will ship tomorrow.
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HEADERINEO
PROBLEM NOMBER M410009844


PROBLEM STATKMENT :GREENBERG KLLLIE DATE: 07/08/92 TIME: 09:35
2200//INTERMITTENT BEAT PROBLIM CADSING A SYSTEM RANG
**NEEDS TO BE SENI TO MIKE BARIA IN PRODUCT SUPRORT**
**NEEDS TO HAVE PTR O ORENED FOR THIS CALL**
8760-RDB
****please note that the ptr wouldn't accept 2200
that's why it states \(\mathbf{v s 7 3 1 0 * * * * * ~}\)

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 01/04/93 TINE: 09:58 SC595. Per Wang Office from K. Greenberg on 12/23, no problems at customer site with updated CPU board to resolve heat related failures. Closing call
as requested.

ABSIGNHD: EABIA MICHAVI E DATE: 01/04/93 TIMS: 09:57
per Wang Office from K. Greenberg on 12/23. No problems since replacing CPU brd with updated version to fix heat related failures. Closing call as requasted by field.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/22/92 TIME: 18:59
please confirm the hang problem is rasolved with the updated CPO Board.
ASSIGNED: GREENBERG KELLIE I DATE: 12/22/92 TIML: 15:56
Mr. Bahia, Could you please close this ptr.

Thanks,
Kellia
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFLE DATE: 08/05/92 TTMN: 13:16
Customer's original board has been received. Talked with Var on monday. Is not aware of any problems at this time. ECO 60545 has been written and implemented for this problem. If there are no problems over the next 2 weaks we will move ahead \(\&\) close this call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL 5 DATE: 07/27/92 TINE: 17:09
Opdated CPU board sent out 7/8. When was it installed? Nead bad CPU board s ent back to me with completed repaix tag. By address is:

Nang Labs
1 Industrial Ave
Lowell, MA 01851
Attn: Mike Bahia
Mailstop 019-690
CE's name should then have bean entered as originator but the call should still be a Customer call with Customer name \(f\) address attached.

ASSIGNED: GREENBERG RELLIE I DATE: 07/13/92 TTME: 12:42
Mr. Varhine requested that this call be opened under his nome. Checked status 7/13/92

\section*{ASSIGNED: BARIA MTCBASL \(E\) DATE: 07/08/92 TMME: 16:24}

This call should have been opened as a Customar call not a Product Call. It is also a hardware problem (HO495) not softwarfa which now can't be changed. Corrected the model \(\#\) as shown. Need to use a 2200 model just as you enter a vs model, not just vs. An updated \(f\) tested board was mailed out via red Express. It will be there tomorrow morning, air bill 1514427975 . Board wa s heat heat tested with 8 teminals for 16 hours, no failures. Please send customer's board back to me with completed repair tag. Update call when now board is installed. Will be on vacation from \(7 / 11\) thru \(7 / 24\). If need assistance in that time please contact Michael Riley at 508-967-0524 or via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: GRFENBERG KELLIE L DATE: 07/08/92 TIME: 09:36 THIS PTR PROBLEM C410009842 WAS CORIED TO PTR RROBLEM P410009844 ON 07/08/92 10:35 BY PTR USER: GREENBERG FWL工IE L

ASSIGNED: GREFNBERG KFLLIE L DATE: 07/08/92 TIME: 09:35
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION CHANGE. OLD DESCRIPTION WAS:
2200//INTERMITYYENT 日EAT PROBLHM CAUSING A SYSTEM HANG
**NEMDS TO BE SENT TO MIKE BABIA IN PRODUCT SUPPORT**
**NEEDS TO HAVE PTR\# ORENED FOR THIS CALL**

HEADERINTO
PROBLEM NOMBER M200017422


PROBLAM STATEMENT :BAFIA MICHARLE DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 16:53
There appears to be a timing issue with Temminal \(21 \&\) probably 22 and possibl \(y\) others as yet unknown. Teminal 21 will intermittently fail with an arror. Mith each board that has displayed the error; the error has been consistent but with each board the failure is different. For example:
Brd 1 in my cube. Fails with a 256 on MATSORT. If stop fan over CPU board w ill fail in 10 min. Have run other brds over an hour without failure. Brd 2 in M Riley's cube. Fails with \(P 40\) with \$ONPACX comand. Will fail wit hin 15 minutes with fan connected. May need to heat board by unplugging fan to reproduce if environment is cool.
Brd 3. Dan Collins customex in NX. Intermittently fails on a PRINT AT command.
Brd 4. From A\&L Agriculture in Richmond. When heat tested Terminal 21 faile d with 319 right after checking \(O K\) on \(I / O\) Statement Syntax after 40 minutes. All other terminals continued to run.

OOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 01/04/93 TTME: 10:09 sC595. Heat related failures with CPU board resolved with ECO 60545. All related customex calls closed. ECO replaces the 8 SRAM chips on the 210-9576 . Close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MTCHAEL E DATE: 11/13/92 TIME: 17:15
Comment For Summary's Last Lines Of Old Product Problemfeader
Brd 1, 2, \(\& 4\) where all running the Basic-2 Instruction Exerciser when failed - Terminal 21 can be tied to a different partition \(\varepsilon\) will still fail.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 14:19
zCO 60545 released in Juiy to upgrade Cache Semory chips from 20 nanosec to 15 nanosec. Fix looks good although a faw sites who felt they had this problem have some lingering issues. Will be closing this call if no concrate evidence comes up that the heat issue is still there.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TTME: 17:03}

Alpha Color is still experiencing intermittent hang but less frequently \(\mathcal{E}\) does not appear due to heat. Problem in most cases seems to start when a background partition involved with bar code reading somehow looses an addrass resulting in a 148 . Shortly thereafter the system usually freezes. Maiting to test with 1.18 . Unable to reproduce the 848 problem in lab running custom er program over weekend.
2nd site, Fortress Manufacturing has been running error free since 7/1. Updated boards also sent to Construction Data in NJ, C41/9785, a Adrea Rubin marketing in NYC, PMR P41/9844. These boards are not installed yet.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 13:46
Have had an updated board installed at Alpha Color (C5/17018) for a week now without a hang. Sending out 2nd updated board today to Fortress Manufacturng (C5/16971). A 3rd board was sent to Hong Kong but have received no feedback. Situation has become super critical as apparently there are no good boards available and there are 4 pls open with a 5 th on the way. Pushing Hong Rong for response.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/14/92 TTME: 13:14
Provided another board from Equity in Virginia Beach that was heat sonsitive. Board ran for 3 hours without failure but when disconnected fan failed within 15 min. Texm 25 failed 1st w/ a 34 on MATsmarch. The ontire system hung during pass 3 of the CPU Instruction Test.

\section*{ASSIGNLD: BARIA MICHAELE DATE: 04/03/92 TMAE: 15:42}

Several boards have now been given to RED which reproduce this problem. The last board came from Bunn Coffee in kew York. There may be a relationship to the failures with the sxD board. Terminal 21 c 23 are on my xXD 6 are alway s 1st to fail although other terminals on MXF \(\&\) MXE also eventually fail. Need board back to do testing with MXD moved so that it supports different to rminal numbers.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 17:27
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 16:53
Used board 1 or 2. Have hardware to support 21 temminal at least. Use board 1 or 2 as mentioned in SUMARY. Unplug fan over CPJ board while running Basic-2 Instruction Exerciser on terminal 21. All tested with terminal 20 c 226 on board tested terminal 22 failed with same error that 21 had. Appears to be some timing sensitive issue where term 21 is the weak link. If a certain signal is not \(100 \%\) temminal 21 seems to be the first place to see a problem. There may be other terminals which could display a similar fate as only 6 terminals are being used to test my Turbo. Terminals which don't appear to be a problem include 1 through 17, \& 25. The problem has shown up with both using an MXF or an MXE/MXD with terminal 21.
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& \text { LL TRKG NO: }
\end{aligned}
\] & 06/26/92 10:00 & \begin{tabular}{l}
NETWORKED: \\
RES DEPLOYED:
\end{tabular} & N & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 06/26/92 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
STATUS DATE: \\
STATUS CODE: \\
STATUS ABBREV: \\
STATUS DESC:
\end{tabular}} & 07/10/92 & DATE TO RED: & 019920629 & \\
\hline & H O 750 & HKDAYS IN RED: & 78.28 & \\
\hline & TESTING & TOT HKDAYS OPEN: & 80.58 & \\
\hline & TESTING & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :DILIBERTO FRANK DATE: 06/26/92 TIMR: 09:52 NEF INSTALL, CPU BD OVERHEATING AND HANGS SYS. IAARRY HAVENS SCE IN CONTACT WITH MIKE BAHIA. PTR OPENED AS PER MIKE'S DIRECTION
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
RESOLUTION MODEL NUMBER & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ GENERIC MODEL } & VERSION \\
SYSTEM : CS386-400N & 2200 CS CPU & O.S: \\
HARDFARE: UJ-6060 & MEMORY 2200 CPU & \\
SOFTHARE: & & \\
PART NO. & PART NO. REVISION &
\end{tabular}

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/19/92 TIME: 17:32
HC160. No system hangs in ovex a month. Problem basically resolved with lated CPU boards with faster Cache Mem chips pex mCO 60545. Sending call back to field to be closed as requested.

ASSIGNED: SMITH DAVID \(P\) DATE: 10/19/92 TIME: 15:20
MIKE YOU CAN RETURN THIS PTR TO REGION FOR CLOSURE THERE HAVE BEEN NO MORE FAILURES

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/92 TIME: 12:50
Update acknowledged. Please keep me posted. Thanks.
ASSIGNED: DILIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 10/16/92 TIME: 10:49
The customer was receiving infomation from their software vendor. Several misleading facts form rev levels of the new turbo bds being wrong and what versions of operating system should be on the turbo system. As per our conversations the bds are correct and the op sys is at correct level. This info was passed onto the cust when \(I\) was on site. Larry put in place a track ing system that would track system hangs. Since that date no additional probl ems relating to sys hanging were reported.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/25/92 TIME: 10:52}

THey were complaining of intemittent hangs. Have those problems gone away? If so, how was the problem resolved?

ASSIGNED: DIIIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 09/25/92 TIME: 08:40
no additional problems relating to cpu upgrade.
if no problems reported by end of next week, close ptr. Will open PTR if a problem arises.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/92 TIME: 14:05}

Any news on status of this account? Has customer been documenting problem? please update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 09:05
Talked with CE \& BM on site last Wed or Thurs, the 2nd or 3rd. Both systems have experienced some intermittent problems but we do not really have any details. Need customer to document problems to find out what is going on. Talked to CE about what should be done in case of a hang \(\&\) what info to try \(\&\) get. Problem could be o/s related, envirommental, or possibly hardware. Need to find out what is happening at time of failure.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/3I/92 TIME: 17:19}

Upgrade acknowledged. Have not heard from CE yet.
ASSIGNED: DIIIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 08/31/92 TIME: 15:58
BOTH CRU'S URGRADED. CUSTOMER STILL EXPERIENCING INT SYSTEM HANGS. SCE
LARRY HAVENS WORKING ISSUE. WITH MIKE BAHIA.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/92 TIME: 11:43
Has 2nd CPU been updated with new CPU board. What is current customer status

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 09:31
Update acknowledged on 2nd CPU board.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/11/92 TIME: 14:51
Still have not received defective board for which replacement was sent. Left message at office for BM to call.

ASSIGNED: DILIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 08/11/92 TIME: 10:07
2nd CPU UPGRADED WITH BD. please keep call open to monitor.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/92 TIME: 09:15
The 2nd updated board can be obtained through a PI through standard logistic channels. Boards are in the process of being upgraded at this time for the heat fix. My address is:

Wang Laboratories
1 Industrial Ave
Lowell, MA 01851
Attn: Mike Bahia
Mailstop 019-690
ASSIGNED: DILIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 16:09
NEED INHO TO RETURN CPU BD BACK TO YOU.
ASSIGNED: DIIIBERTO FRANK \(ผ\) DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 16:07
TURBO WITH UPGRADED BD WORKING WITH NO REPORTED PROBLEMS. 2 TURBOS ON SITE MACHINE FITOUT UPGRADE FXPERIENCING HEAT REIATED PROBLEMS, NEED ANOTHER UPGRADED CPU DB.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 08:48
Called BM. Updated CPU board installed last Wednesday, 7/22. There have been no problems reported as of this time. BM to make arrangements to send the heat sensitive CPU board back to me with completed repair tag.

ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 16:18
When was updated CPU board installed, \(7 / 12\) ? Have not recieved old board back from you yet. Please attach completed repair tag. Do you have address and mailstop for returning?

ASSIGNED: DILIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 07/24/92 TIME: 15:18
NEM CPU BD INSTALIED, SYSTEM HAS NOT EXPERIENCED ANY PROBLEMS SINCE
NEM BD INSTALLED.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 14:21
On vacation for 2 weeks. Back 7/27. If need assistance in meantime contact Michael Riley via Wang Office. Please see previous comment.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/08/92 TIME: 17:10
Update acknowledged. Ts 2nd CPU insta? inc! Tf yeo ir it failing?
ASSIGNED: DILIBARTO FRANK W DATE: 07/08/92 TIME: 15:31
RECEIVED BD, CUST WILL NOT GIVE US SYS TIME UNTIL FRI AFTERNOON, LARRY FILI INSTALL BD AND RETURN CPU CARD TO YOU. MOTHER BD WITH SOLDER BRIDGES WAS REPLACED AND RETURNED FOR REPAIR. IF THIS BD FIXES PROBLEM CUST HAS 2ND TURBO THAT FILLL REQUIRE URDATED BD.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/07/92 TIME: 17:16}

Updated board tested with 8 teminals with fan disconnected for 7 hours, no failures. Same board was failing in 5 minutes. Called Jack Coscia filling in for BM out on road. Updated board mailed out via Fed Express overnite, air bill 1514427 652. Hould like to get installed this week. Need customer 's board mailed back to me with completed repair tag. On vacation starting 7 /11. Back 7/27.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 14:38
Unable to link as Product PTR incorrectly opened as software problem. Will put you in line for updated board.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 14:30
Call accepted. Linking to P2/17422.
ASSIGNED: DILIBERTO FRANK W DATE: 06/26/92 TIME: 10:14
FORFARD TO RDB 8760, MIKE BAHIA HAITING FOR PTR


\section*{PROBLEM SUMMARY :LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/25/92 TIME: 22:19}

PROB: 2 CS/TURBO multiplexed to 2 DS, with 1 foreground running @PSTAT, and several background partition running the testing program in C9-7008. from both CS/TURBO.
If the number of background partition config on each TURBO running the testing programme is small, say 5, execution of the testing from each TURBO concurrently will increase the total disk I/O per minute ( judged from the sound generated from DS and the increment counter in the testing programme )
If the number of partitions running the testing programme increase for each TURBO, say 30 , the total disk \(I / O\) per minute do not increase accordingly as expected.
It seemed that the total disk \(I / O\) is saturated. But compared with the average disk access time of 27 ms , it should not be the case. It seemed that the total disk I/O is bounded by some unkown overhead.

HEADER INFO CUSTOMER PROBLEM NUMBER C900007013

H/W: CS/386-800N x2, MXF x2, 2275MUX x2, \(22 C 80 \times 2\) 2200DS x2 ( \(1.2 \mathrm{M}+140 \mathrm{M} \times 2\) +150M tape, R-3E PROM)
S/W: CS/TURBO OS \(1.16 x\)


PROBLEM NO: C900007013
STATUS CODE: H O 331 STATUS ABBR: INVESTIGAT DATE ENTERED: 10/15/92
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ORIGINAL & MODEL NUMBER & GENERIC MODEL & & VERSION \\
\hline SYSTEM & CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPU & 0.3: & 0116 X \\
\hline HARDWARE: & CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPU & & \\
\hline SORTMARE: & & & SWR: & \\
\hline RESOLUTION & 1 MODEL NUMBER & GENERIC MODEL & & VERSION \\
\hline SYSTEM : & CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPU & 0.3: & 0116 X \\
\hline HARDHARE: & CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPU & & \\
\hline SOPTHARE: & & & SWR: & \\
\hline PART NO. & & PART NO. REVISION & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/15/92 TIME: 12:31
HC318. Pexformance issues when muxing ok with maint rel 1.18 if not using SELECT H ON. The SELECT H ON performance issue is documented in PTRs C (/6982 and P2/17457. Sending call back to field for closing as agreed to by field.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 10/14/92 TIME: 06:11
To RED: Michael, call can be de-escalated as field agree to closed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/11/92 TIME: 16:03
Disk performance with 1.18 seems improved as noted in PTR C9/6982. However, major bug exists with /SELECT H as documented in PTR P2/17451. Should test this again after that bug is resolved as SELECT H is unusable at this time.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 15:04
Have received nothing from R\&D yet on this problem. On vacation for 2 weeks starting tomorrow. Back 7/27. If need assistance in meantime contact Michael Riley via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/03/92 TIME: 13:07
Update acknowledged. Will be in Training next week. If need assistance plea se send Wang Office to Michael Riley.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 06/02/92 TIME: 05:58
Further investigation, sumarize as follow:
Total DISK I/O
Environment
PU with 30 partition each running disk \(1 / O\)
1 CPU with 30 partition running disk I/O

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 06/01/92 TIME: 22:25
With SELECT H OFF \& \$OPEN/\$CLOSE, the total disk I/O back to around 35 I/O per second compared with 36.5 I/O per second without \$OREN/\$CLOSE.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/01/92 TIME: 14:49
This problem appears to be the same as C9/7008. SELECT H is not working properly. If you run your test with SELECT H OFF does the I/O seem to perfor m as you would expect?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 06/01/92 TIME: 09:23
This sounds similar to the problem reported in C9/6982 \& Product PTR P2/17457 . Will test out to get a better understanding.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/31/92 TIME: 23:28
The timing is approximately the same for DATASAVE.
ASSIGNGD: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/28/92 TIME: 22:52
Mike, as we have no CS/386 in our office, would you found out is the total i disk I/O per second consistent on CS/386?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 21:36
Initial escalate to R\&D for advice.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 03:31
Further investigation found that
1) If the procram is morified with only Read access to only one disk platter without \$OPEN platter, with 30 partition in each TURBO multiplexd running the program, there are average total 36.5 disk \(I / O\) per sec.
2) If the above procedure is further modified with a \$OREN platter before Read access and a \$CLOSE platter command after disk read access, the total disk I/O drop to 17.38 I/O per seconds.

ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 02:50
PTR is accepted in ASC at May 26, 92.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/25/92 TIME: 22:19
Same as above by changing the number of partition running the testing program and changing the number of CPUs. Take note of the total number of counter for all partition per minute.

```

PROBLEM SUMMARY :OYANA RYOUKI DAIE: 06/29/92 TIME: 23:41
TO ASC: Initial escalation to ASC by wANG/CTC.
I92 Error frequently happens in CS/386 Turbo with using 2200DS.
Customer Configration: CS/386 Turbo with OS 1.15 and 1.1.
22C11 (210-9746 E0)
DRU (210-8826-A EO, PROM 8500R3)
2200DS

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{ll} 
PROBLAM NO: & C900007169 \\
STATUS CODE: & H 0750
\end{tabular} & gTATUS ABBR: TMESTING & DATE ENTERAD: & 10/02/92 \\
\hline ORIGIMAL MODEL NUMBER & GUNERIC MODEL & & VERSION \\
\hline SYSILEM : CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPJ & 0.3: & 0115 \\
\hline HARDWARE: CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPJ & & \\
\hline SOTMWARE: & & SWR: & \\
\hline RESOLUTION MODEL HUNBER & GENERIC MODEL & & Version \\
\hline SYBTMM : CS/386-800N & 2200 Cs 386 CPU & 0.8: & 0115 \\
\hline HARDFARE: CS/386-800N & 2200 CS 386 CPU & & \\
\hline SOETMARE: & & SWR: & \\
\hline PART NO. & PART MO. REVISION & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RESOLUTION TEXTY :BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/02/92 TIME: 15:08 BC522. Intermittent I92 using the 9746 board in Turbo with Ds not reproducib 1e. Believe problem exist with DS being used.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 10/01/92 TIME: 03:55
Michael, pls de-escalate the call back. Problem also cannot be duplicated in HKCSO.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 08:43
Has someone replaced the DS board or tried a different DS cabinet? Cannot reproduce this problem.

ASSIGNED: CEUI SIMON DATE: 09/01/92 TTME: 02:00
To R\&D: Michael, pls de-escalate the call back as CTC using the short cable to circumvent this problem. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAKL E DATE: 08/18/92 TIME: 10:01
This problem at this time appears to be most likely in the \(D s\) as this has been the common factor. Most likely DS board. Maiting for field to troubleshoot DS.

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 21:30
TO ASC: The person in charge at CTC is taking vacation.
```

    ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/12/92 TTME: 20:32
    Japan: Oyama, Pls advsie status. Does CHC swap any DS's controller to test
                it again?
    ```
    ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAELE DATE: 08/10/92 TIME: 10:29
please try another DS board as we cannot reproduce this problem.
    ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/06/92 TIME: 03:39
To R\&D: Per call conference, CTC have only 1 DS and the PROM version of DS is
        R3.
    ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 08/04/92 TIME: 16:34
How many DS Cabinets have you used in testing for this problem? What is the
prom revision level in the DS? If have been using the same DS Cabinet with 3
    different Turbo CPUs the problem may be with the DS even if no problems
before the upgrade. Make sure you are using a revision R3 DS prom. If using
    an R3 prom but only 1 DS, replace the DS board.
    ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 08/03/92 TTME: 21:54
TO ASC: All the Cs386/Turbo are at CTC. If the problem is fixed, they will ship them to customer. They uses the 210-9578 (Motherboard) with the Cs-D/N. Also problem occured at all platters.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/31/92 TIME: 02:45
To Japan: Oyama, are the equipment all in CTC or at user site? Have you read the 29/7 04:23 update from ReD and verify for that?
ASSIGNED: CEUI SIMON

DATE: 07/31/92 TTMF: 02:45

\section*{Also, have CIC Fexified all the platters?}
ASSIGNHD: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/31/92 THNE: 01:57
TO RGD/ASC: CTC has threa CS/386 turbo in Japan. All turbo have aame problem.
```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/92 TMAR: 11:58

```
Ran continuous LIST to disk through the 9746 Dual Controllar all night using the longer 220-0364 I/O cable. No problems. How many systems has this problem been seen with?

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/92 TTME: 14:57
Original test of IISTing DS aurfaces with 9746 Conroller was done with the shorter 220-0365 cable. Rerunning tast now with longer 220-0364 Cable. Will run overnight from 2 terminals. Has now been running 5 minutes.

ASSIENED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/28/92 TIML: 19:27
TO ASC: YES, short cable (P/N 220-0365 \(2.5 M\) ) is used between \(22 C 11\) to DS-cabinet, no problem occurs.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MTCHAEL E DATE: 07/28/92 TTME: 13:23
Ran continual LIST of disk all night from 2 terminals using the 9746 Dual Controller. No problems. Most likely have CPU board problem affecting old bus or possibly problem with motherboard. If using a 210-9582 motherboard insure R7 is insulated with tape from chassis. See TSB 日iNT 9694. Suggest removing all \(1 / 0\) controllers except 9746 \& texminal controllar to help isolate problem.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/28/92 TIME: 05:00
To Japan: Does the short cable fix the problem? pls confirm. Is it possible to use the short cable as a solution?

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/28/92 TIME: 01:40
TO ASC/ReD: They checked two Cs386/Turbo, both CS cause problem. But if short cable ( \(/\) /N 220-0365 2.5M) is used batween \(22 C 11\) to DS cabinet, no problem occurs. Only problem occuars when long cable (P/A 220-0364 3.73M) is used between 22C11 to Ds cabinet.
The short cable was delivered if DS-cabinet was ordered in the past, but long cable is derivered with DS-cabinet now.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/27/92 TMER: 17:32
This should work. Suspect may have problem with old bus with Turbo. Most likely CPU board or possibly motherboard. Will run a continuous LIST to disk with the 210-9746 overnight to verify no problem. Will update on results tomosrow.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON

DATE: 07/23/92

TIME: 04:06

Initial escalate to ReD for technical assistance.
Field had already tried both cables in 2275 Mux and they can be worked properly. Pls advise whether this is a known problem in 22C11-HS.
```

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/23/92 TIME: 01:24
TO ASC: They checked both cables.
LONG CABLE P/N 220-0364 3.73M (12')
SHORT CABLE P/N 220-0365 2.5M (8')

```
    ASSIGNED: CHOI SIMON DATE: 07/22/92 TIME: 23:19
To Japan: Oyama, does the 2275Mux test with different long and short cables?
            Pls confixm.
    ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/15/92 TIME: 01:15
TO ASC: They tested I92 problem on 2275MOX, no problem occurs.
        The problem occurs only on 22c11.
        Pls. fix it on \(22 C 11\).
    ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/12/92 TIME: 22:49
To Japan: Oyama, Recap what we have discussed via call conference. Hould
    recommand to use other type of controller (2275MUX) to
    substitue the 22C11-HS (210-9746) to pin-point the problem
    area. Pls try and let me know the result before further
    action should be taken.
    ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/08/92 TIME: 19:36
TO ASC: They only used \(22 C 11\), not use \(22 \mathrm{Cl1}\), They already replace 22 Cl .
    ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/07/92 TIME: 20:59
To Japan: Oyama, did they try to replace the 22c11Hs with 2275Mux in Turbo?
    ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/07/92 TIME: 02:04
TO ASC: 1) The problem happens on the platters randomiy.
    2) To duplicate this problem is to use "IISIDCT" command or
    to use "Peripheral Diag. for 2200".
    3) They replaced \(22 C 11\) controllex already.
    If DS is attached on the Cs386, the proble does not occur.
    4) The voltage on Cs386/Turbo and DS are as follows:
                CS386/Turbo DS
    AC Voltage 118.3v 118.3v
    \(\mathrm{DC}+5 \quad+5.03 \quad+5.01\)
        \(-5 \quad-5.04 \quad\) N/A
        \(+12+12.13 \quad+12.04\)
        -12 -12.04 -12.06
5) The problem happens with OS 1.1 and 1.15 .

PROBIEM DETAIL CUSTONRR PROBLAM NUMBER C900007169

\section*{ASSIGNLD: OYAMA RYOUEI DATE: 07/07/92 TTME: 02:04}
5) The problem happens on the platters randomly.

ASSIGNID: CRUI SIMOS
DATL: 07/05/92 TIMN: 23:11
To Japan: Oyam, Pls provide the followings:
1. Does the problem occur on a specific platter,i.e what is I92 pointed to?
2. Can you provide the duplication procedures?
3. Is there any hardware changes?
4. Whan did this problem first happen? Is it happened after OS upgrade?

Suggestion:
1. Replace the 22c11日s with 2275stux to isolate the problem araa.
2. If problem always occur in a single disk surface or drive within the DS, try to reformat it.
3. Cheok the voltages of CPU and DPU.

ASSIGAED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 07/02/92 TIME: 02:45
TO ASC: CTC people checked cable between \(22 C 11\) to DS cabinet.
If 3M cable is used, problem happens once per 50 timas.
And if longer than \(3 M\) cable is used, problem happens 5 per 10 timas.
AssIGRID: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 06/30/92 TTMS: 05:06
To Japan: Call ack'd. Will investigate.


RROBLEM SUMMARY : JAU VTCTOR DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 21:00
PROR: Aftex connecting the CS/TURBO to the existing three CS/386, all texminal of all a CRUs response time drop down from the noxmal a sec to more than 12 sec. Usex suspects the DISK R/K access time of CS/TURBO is much greater than \(C S / 386\), ox any OTHER reason, hence make all disk access from 3 CS/386 queue up and delayed.
H/พ: CS/386-800N \(\times 1 ., \operatorname{CS} / 386-20 \times 3\), 2275MUX \(\times 2\), 22C80 \(\times 6\) \(2200 \mathrm{DS} \times 2\) (1.2M + 1.40M \(\times 2\) + 150M tape + R3E PROM)
S/W: CS/TURBO OS 1.16x, CS/386 OS 1.1z.

RESOLUTION TEXT : DABTA MXCHAEL E DATE: 09/24/92 TIME: 08:36
HC634. Pexformance probl.em at this site appears to have been caused by too many partitions requixing the same disk. Rearrange softraxe so that it was more evenly distributed between drives and problem has gone away.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUT STMON}

DATE: 09/23/92 TIME: 21:43
To R\&D: Michael, pls de-escalate the call back for closure, thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/21/92 TIME: 08:38
Since this problem appeaxs to be resolved can we close this call?

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 09/17/92 TIME: 23:37
The user's slow pexfoxmance was due to increasing loading of the system.
The previous application heavy loaded one particular platter by all wSs, hence the response time slowed down as the system loading increased. After shared the djak plattex loading to 4 individual CPU's RAM disk, the WS's \(^{\prime}\) response time improves a lot with an average response time of \(2 s\) and maximum of 6 s .
User is aware of the coexist problem of SELECT H ON \& H OFF, and axe now using only SELPCT 4 OFE, \((\) as SELECT \(H\) ON will improve average response time, but however worse in maximum response time).

ASSIGNED: BAKIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/11/92 TTME: 15:51
Should probably keep this call open until SEJECT H is working properly so we can retest. Ferformance seems to be more even but still problems iff disk i.s being accessed by a non-hogging program or command. See PTR R2/17591.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MXCHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TTME: 11:55}

Rexfoxmance with sEIECT H seems to be better with 1.18. However, there is still a problem where if 2 partitions are heavily accessing the same DS and 1 is doing a SOREN \& \$CLOSE with SELECX \(H\) ON and the 2nd is not, the partitio \(n\) using the fOPEN will hang until the 2nd paxtition pauses or ends it's access. See PTR P2/17451.

ASSIGNED: BAKIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/92 TTME: 14:29
Isn't the use of the device hog instead of platter hog creating a performance problen as indicated eaxlier? Where do we stand with the customex on this issue? Are they waiting for us to corxect the plattex hog problem? Have you any feedback from Duncan on this issue?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/27/92 TTME: 20:59
CS/TURBO haven't on-line yet. But adding one more CS/386 to their existing 4 CS/386 system. Their 5 CS/386 connected with 48 wS, axe using hog device.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEI E DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 16:59
Just back from vacation. Did response time slow down during peak periods with the Tuxbo using a device hog as opposed to the cs/386 doing a plattex hog? Ts this an issue?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 07/12/92 TTME: 20:41
To R\&D: Curxently they are using the Hog device insstead of Hog plattex. this call, to Pl ESC. Els change the call status for that. Curxently field is still trying to help them to fine tune the system.

ASSIGNED: BAHJA MTCHAELE DATE: 06/03/92 TTME: 13:04
Update acknowledged. In training next week. If need to contact someone Pring my abscense please Wang Office Michael Riley.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/03/92 TXME: 04:00}

To RED: Call status have been changed to Cxitical at our end.
Fex Hang cffice memo that you sent to Stephen, knowing that this problem will. wait for the fix from Duncan. In regaxd to c9-7013, the puxpose is provided more data for R\&D to further j.dentify the SELECT H ON problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MJCHAEL E DATE: 05/26/92 TXME: 13:01
Cannot update status to CRXTical as call is locked \& I am not the current owner. You can probably do this for yourself.

ASSIGNED: CHUT SIMON DATE: 05/22/92 TIME: 22:41
To ReD: Mi.chael, pls change this call status to R1 Critical.
The reason behind is that all two Turbo systems and \(20 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) had been delivery to custoraer and cannot be used due to two majox problems. Secondly, the loading was alxeady upto the limit of CS386 and the connectivi.ty of \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{S}\), so they urge to have moxe \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{S}\) to handle the phone caj.l. First major problem i.s related to this case. As the slow pexformance will directly impact to their business. Also, they will not accept to modify theix program (SELECT H ON) as it is just stable in their existing working environment (4*Cs386 case in C9-5029). second problem is related to C9-6979 CRU intexmittently hang.

DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 22:52
Call req̣uested to rasie to CRITICAL

ASSIGNED: EAHIA MJCHAEL E DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 15:40
Did some testing today with SEIECT \(H\) in a multiplexed environment with a Turbo \& 2395 ripls cabled to 1 DS. Did not use the HS Mur port. Was able to recreate exxatic performance and uneven performance depending on the numbex of texminals xunning the test from each system. Will open a product pTR on this problem and report it to Taiwan.

ASSIGNED: LAY VICTOR DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 21:48
At the time the CS/TURBO was attached, both CS/386 \& CS/TURBO were using SELECT H ON, but CS/TURBO did not use 22C11-HS, with only 2xMXF, 2x22C80 2×2228․

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFI E DATE: 05/20/92 TIMR: 15:05
What has the status of SrdxCT H on each system, the cs/386a \& the Turbos. SELECT II has problem on Tuxbo so if using on Turbo this could be problem. Problem is mentioned in TSB SWT 9351 dated 5/12/92. PTR R2/17451 has been opened on SELECT \(H\) with Turbo. Problem has been escalated to Taiwan.
If SELECT H is on for CS/386 \& off for Turbo this will also cause slow domn because Turbo would be hogging entire DS instead of just 1 surface.
If SmLmCT H was ON \& is now OFE for all CPUs this would also account for slow down. Same as above.
Please update on status of sELECT \(H\) before \(s\) while Turbo CPU's were attached.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/18/92 TIME: 21:46
CC: Michael Bahjia.
Status: Solicate adrice from Duncan as below:
Any possibility that will cause this problem.
Any ways to diagnosis this problem.
Action: will twy to test it at site. Think we can only allow to connect two turbo systems at site to verify the performance as customex already taken out the turbo CPU fro theix live system due to hang problem. So may be unable to reproduce the problem.

ASSIGNPD: CHUT STMON DATE: 05/17/92 TIMA: 22:57
To HKCSO: Cajll acle'd.

ASSIGNED: YEUNE ADA
DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 21:56
PTR is accepted in ASC at May 18, 92.

ASSIGNEI: INY VICTOR DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 21:27
Jach CS/3R6 is configured. with 16 partition and 12 texminals.
The CS/386-800N is configured with 32 partition and 12 texminals.
As user hes detached the CS/TURBO from the system due to problem PTR Cg-6979,
```

ASSTGNED: J.AU VTCTOR DATE: 05/17/92 TIME: 21:27

```
and no machine in office, cen't take exact reference data for both cs/386 and CS/TURB? .

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLKM NUMBER: RRIORITY & ```
C900007166
P1
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST NAME: \\
CUST NUMBER:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CTC } \\
& \text { HJ } 90000000000
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline PROBLEM TYRE: & J.NTR & CUST CONTACT: & M. OKADA \\
\hline LINR TO PROB NO: & NOT LINNRED & CUST CONT RHONE: & 01-813-770-9748 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 25 KOWA BLDG. \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & 3-8-7 CHIYODA-KU \\
\hline SXSTEM MODEL NO: & CS/386-800N & CUET ADDRESS 3: & токхо 102 \\
\hline GEN SXST MODEL : & 2200 CS 386 CRU & CUST CITX: & JAPAN \\
\hline O. S. Version: & 0115 & CUST ST/RROV: & \\
\hline HW MODFT. NUMBER: & & CUST ZIR : & CUST RDB: H9919 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & os & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW VErsijun : & 0115 & & \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & bahia michael e \\
\hline PART NUMAER: & & ORIG NAME: & OKADA MARIKO \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG RMPL NO: & HJ-99402 \\
\hline SERIAL NUMAER: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline & & ORIG RDB: & H9919 \\
\hline CALIJ TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 00:00 & NETWORKCD : & N \\
\hline TLL TRKG NO: & & RES DERLOXED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SXM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 06/28/92 \\
\hline Status date: & 06/28/92 & DATE TO RED: & 019920706 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & \(s \bigcirc 495\) & WREDAYS IN R\&D: & 51.82 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & TOT WKDAYS OPEN: & 57.20 \\
\hline STATUS DESC: & NEW PROBLEM & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RROBLEM SUMMARX : ORADA MARIKO DATE: 06/28/92 TIME: 23:31
System: CS386 TURBO, O/S 1.15 \& 1.1 (1.07)
Problem: Same as C900004686
The initial escalation to ASC is by CTC/wCL.
Rgds.
Maxiko

RESOLUTJON TEXT : EABTA MJCHAEL E DATE: 09/27/92 TIME: 10:22
SC595. Fajilure to execute instructions on the same line following a REM\% her 7D or 7r resolved with maintenance rel 1.180.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/11/92 TTME: 11:08}

Unlinking call for puxpose of transferxing back to field for closing as reque sted.

\section*{ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAMM DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 21:51}

To RAD: Test result of Tuxbo OS 1.18 Q from Japan is positive also. Please transfer call back for closure. Regards.

ASSTGNED: BAHJA MICHAELE DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 10:14
The RuM\% problem is resolved with the \(1.1890 / \mathrm{s}\) you sent to me. Have not done any extensive testing on this \(0 / S\) as RaD has not given me any word on i.t.

ASSIGNED: WONG TARK J.AM DATE: 09/07/92 TIME: 00:33
Turbo OS 1.18Q (from Taiwan R\&D) sent to Mike Bahia for verification.
ASSTGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 09/01/92 TIM : 20:4A
To ReD: Machine installation schedule for customex is upheld because of this problem. Japan wants to know the fix schedule on this problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHJA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/27/92 TIME: 13:10}

Tested thjs bug against 1.18 r (label \(1.18 k\) on Wang Office) and problem is not fixed. Still waiting fox R\&D.

ASSIGNED: CRUI STMON
DATE: 08/25/92 TIME: 02:26
Michael., Have rec'd OS vexsion 1.18k from Duncan, would like to forward this OS to you via wo to see whether it can solve this "RBM" issue or not as we don't have the KATAKANA w/s to test it. pls verify it at your earliest as the machine delivery is being held up till the problem resolved.

ASSIGNED: BAH工A MTCHAEL E DATE: 08/18/92 TIME: 10:50
R\&D has moved to a new location within their building which has cost us some time. We are still expecting this fix in the next release. R\&D has a list of a dozen or so bugs to fix of which this is one. We are still hoping to get a new \(0 / S\) shortly but it will depend on how difficult each of these problems is. We then need to test to insure no major problems. Eaxliest possibility would be the end of next week if we receive by end of this week, but agaix we xeally can't tell exactly how long it will take.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 08/13/92 TTME: 23:33
To RED: Elease update whethex fix will be available next week. Regards.

ASSTGNED: OKADA MARTKO
DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 19:25
To Mike:
Thank you for the info.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 19:25
Jooking forward to getting it.
Rgds .
Mariko

ASSIGNED: BAKIA MICHAFL E DATE: 07/29/92 TIME: 18:03
Fe are hoping this problem is fixed with the next \(0 / S\) release which we expect in the next week or 2. The problem has also been reported by Sweden.
```

ASSTGNED: ORADA MARIKO
DATE: 07/28/92 TIME: 01:04

```

To: Mike \& HK
Thanle you for the update.
Rgds.
Mariko

ASSTGNED: BAHJA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 18:02
will try to get you an answex this week on how long.

ASSIGNED: ORADA MARIKO DATE: 07/16/92 TIME: 01:30
To Hong Rong:
This problem has become very critical issue. Could we know how long it will to fixx this by R\&D?
Rgds.
Maxiko

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 12:29
Rxoblea PTR R2/17460 opened to resolve this issue. Linking to R2/17460.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 12:12
problem duplicated. Fox future calls please provide full information for SUMMARX \& DUPLICATION. If REM \% followed by a Katakana Dakuon all subsequent commands on that same line axe ignored.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK L.AM DATE: 06/30/92 TIME: 04:16
To RED: Rscalated for assistance.

ASSIGNED: ORADA MARTKO DATE: 06/28/92 TTME: 23:31
Same as C900001686


PRODUCT PROBLHM NUMBER P200017456
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E200017456 } \\
& \text { E3 }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: & 0 \\
\hline PROBLEM TXPE: & PROB & PRODUCT PROBLIGMS: & 0 \\
\hline PRE-RELEASE PROB: & & LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \\
\hline SYSTMM MODEL NO: & CS/386-400N & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BARIA MICHAGL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 Cs 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 0116 & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HiH MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SM VERSION: & 0116 & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & \\
\hline Status date: & 09/10/92 16:03 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 05/20/92 \\
\hline Status Code: & S C 595 & DATE TO RED: & 019920520 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & PERM EIX & HKDYS IN RED: & 80.26 \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 80.26 \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & gERMANEAT EIX - & GENERAL RELEASE & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 16:36
Address 405 does not suppress linefeeds as it does on the CS/386 or vLSI CPUs

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/06/92 TTME: 17:34
This problem is corrected with Maint Rel 1.18. this call can be closed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 16:48
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 16:36
Run the following program:
10 SELECT PRINT 405
20 PRINT "ABCD":ERINT "ABCDEFGH"
30 GOTO 20
RUN return
On the Turbo each print comand will appear on a new line. On the cs/386 or
visI the same program will place all printouts on the same line.

RESOUUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 16:03
SC595. Incorrect spacing problem with address 405 resolved with 0/S 1.18 .
Closing call.


PROBLINM SUNMARY :MIFELIN RONALD D DATE: 06/12/92 TTME: 13:00 CPU FAILS AT ORERATING THMPERATURE (OVGRHEATS).

RESOLOTION TEXT :BABIA MICEAEL E DATE: 08/18/92 TIME: 08:35
HC160. Intemittent hangs due to heat resolved with ECO 60545. No problem aince installing updated board.

PAGE: CUSTOMER COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT 08:36:55 PROBLEM DETAIL CUSTOMER PROBLEM NUMBER C500016971

ASSIGNED: MIFFLIN RONAID D DATE: 08/17/92 TIME: 16:09
Customer is running with no problems. System is running even after running s ystem in hot environment. Thanks for the assist. Please send PTR back for closure.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 09:02
ECO 60545 has been written \& signed off to document this fix. If customer is still running without any problems we should be able to close this call. What is the customer's current status?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 14:30
On vacation for 2 weeks. Back 7/27. If need assistance in meantime please send Wang Office to Michael Riley. ECO in works for incorporated fix in updated board sent out.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/07/92 TIME: 17:12
Updated board shipped out Fed Express Tues, 6/30. Installed 7/1. Did get a p56 shortly there after on 1 terminal but did not affect system \(\varepsilon\) terminal wa \(s\) still functional. Talked with CE \& customer today \& still running error free. CPU is properly closed up. Last week AC went out \& room temperature \(x\) eached 90 \& no problems. Customex seems very pleased so far.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/22/92 TTME: 17:56
We have updated 2 boards with the faster cache memory chips \& the fix looks good so far. Hope to have board for you by end of week if no problems.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/18/92 TIME: 10:07
Call accepted. Waiting for chips to arrive to update problem boards to verify fix. Chips expected today.

ASSIGNED: MIFRLIN RONALD D DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 13:09
MIKE BAHIA IN WANG H.O. HAS BEEN FORKING WITH THE LOCAL CE - GEORGE GRIFFIN TO TRY AND RESOLVE THIS PROBLEM. ORENING PTR TO REQUEST REPLACEMENT CPU CARD THAT HAS NEW CHIPS AND HEAT SINKS.

ASSIGNED: MIFRLIN RONALD D
DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 13:00


\section*{PROBLAM SUMMARY : LAU VICTOR \\ DATF: 06/19/92 TIME: 03:45}

PROB: When pressing "Rssix" key consecutively and "rapidiy" at any workstatio connected to MxF controllex, the workstation will hang and has cursor only. All other workstation on the same mXF or other MXI will also hang . If a workstation is \$OPEN a disk drive at that moment, the disk drive will hang and not released to other wS or another multiplexed CPUs.
H/W: CS/386-800N \(x 2\), 2275MOX x2, 22C80 x2, MxT \(x 4\)
S/F: CS/386 TURBO OS 1.17
Note: The hang can then be resolved by power off the wS or press "LOAD LOAD LOAD" and then "?"

RESOLUTION TEXT :BABIA MICHAEL F DATE: 08/10/92 TTMIR: 10:22 Problem where repeatedly keying RESET could hang system resolved with new pmode for MXF. Unable to reproduce with maint rel 1.18 \& latest proms, R3x.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 09:39
Tested this problem again with Maintenance Rel 1.18 with the now proms \(\&\) unable to reproduce. If you are satisfied this problem is resolved we will send this call back to you for closing. Is this agreaable?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAGL E DATE: 07/06/92 TIME: 11:47
Opdate acknowladged. Want to keop call open until have chance to test new microcode myself. Have just recaived.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATS: 06/30/92 TIME: 20:09
The fix is @2236mx file in OS.
ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHARLE DATE: 06/29/92 TIME: 09:05
Was that new microcode file for the \(0 / \mathrm{s}\) ( 82236 MXF ) or new proms? If new proms what was the revision?

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 06/24/92 TIME: 03:47
The problem was solved with an updite version of 2236 mXr fram Duncan. No problem with OS 1.15 .
Both OS 1.16x OS 1.17 have the "RESET" problem.
Call can be closed. Tks!
ASSIGNED: BABIA MLCHAEL E DATE: 06/22/92 TTME: 17:49
Have 2 MXFs in my system with an mXIf cannot get to fail. Did not answer 1 of my questions. Does it fail if nothing alse is happening on system? All other partitions idle. Also, what proms are on the CPU board \(\varepsilon\) bXIr that are being used?

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/21/92 TIMS: 23:29
The config is TURBO with 2 MXI. It can be easily dup by pressing resery "VERY VERY VERY" rapidly. Beside it can be resumed by power OrF, "LOAD LOAD LOAD" \& "? ", it can also be resumed by unplug terminal cable for a while. No problem by Reset on terminal on mesw, however if there are mXF \(\&\) mXE, RESET terminal on MXP will also hang terminals on MXE.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/19/92 TIME: 18:02
Onable to duplicate problem. Need more information. If you are using test proms from Taiwan this may be reason.
please test problem with 1 mxF installed \(\&\) only 1 disk controller. Remove all other I/O boards.
1. Can problem be duplicated with all partitions not running and just holding down RESET?
2. What proms are being used on the CPV board \(s\) the MXF?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/19/92 TIMS: 18:02
Tested here on \(1.10 \leqslant 1.17\). Tested with very little activity on other texmin als \(\&\) with heary activity on other terminal a could not get to fail. Held or continually pressed Resery for over a minute.

> ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/19/92 TIME: 04:51

Initial escalate to RGD for assistance. Problem had been informed to Taiwan R\&D. Pls push a quick fix for this issua.
```

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 06/19/92 TIBR: 04:47

```

To HKCSO: Call is accepted as P1 ESCalation in ASC.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 06/19/92 TIME: 03:56
Call requested to raise to CRITICAL.
User will not allow TURBO online before all problem fixad.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 06/19/92 TINR: 03:27
Solid


PROBLEM SUMMARY : LAU VICTOR
DATE: 05/13/92 TIME: 04:57
PROB: When generate with more than 32 terminal, it show partition 32 is not attaching any terminal with status \(00-\mathrm{F}\) in the @PSTAT. The 32 teminal do not show "READY BASIC \(n\) untile press RESET. If there are several
background partition, the 32th terminal may assign to wrong partition.
H/W: CS/386-400N x2, 2275MUX x2, 22C80 x2 2200DS \(x 2\) (1.2M +140M x2 +150M tape + R3E PROM )
S/W: CS/TURBO OS 1.16 x

RESOLUTION TEXI' :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/26/92 TIME: 11:10
\&595. Problem with partition 32 not coming up with RBADY until keying RFSFT aused by old version of @GENPART. Updated to latest 0GENPART and tested OR. Close call/field.

\title{
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON \\ DATE: 05/22/92 TIME: 03:54
}

The eGENPART from OS 1.00 can duplicate the problem.
After change to QGENPART from Os 1.15 ( copy sent to AsC, dated 3/11/92 )
solved the problem.
Call can closed, Mks!
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 23:31
The ©GENPART program dated at \(7 / 20 / 91\) By PLS (mod by RKB)

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/21/92 TIME: 09:40}

The indication of 00-H in PSTAT indicates the port is not responding properly to the CRU. This can be reproduced by creating a configuration of 64 termina \(1 s \& 64\) partitions without having the 4 mxF boards to sopport them. In this case every non-existing port will show up as 00-W. For example: if only had 1 MXF board but created 64 partitions \& teminals, all partitions from 17 to 64 would show \(00-\) W. Other possible causes would be:
2. Bad MXF port not responding correctly to CPU.
3. Old copy of @GENPART. Be sure to use the latest copy of eGENPART. The last update was done \(3 / 11 / 92\) and can be verified on line 5 of @GENPART. Some old versions did not count the ports correctly \(\&\) the last port assigned a terminal could come up with the problem you have described. The newest @GENPART is on the latest Turbo 0/S, 1.1 or 1.15. See TSB SWI 9351 from 5/12/92, page 2, if you need to order the 0/s.
4. Possibly a miswired terminal cable or 1 plugged in backwards may cause this problem. Swap cables with working cable.
5. Problem with terminal. Swap the terminal.

Has this problem occurred with more than 1 CPU?
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/20/92 TIME: 22:02
The MXF RROM is R3, CPU PROM is R2
The ePSTAT program with program size 16 sector and 5 sector free. Create date 07/09/91 by PLS (TBO).

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/14/92 TTME: 15:55
Cannot duplicate your problem. Tested with 1.15 \& 1.16.
For hardware had CS-D Turbo with 2 MXF, 1 MXD, 1 Triple Controller, 19746
Dual Controller, \& the CS-D DPO board.
CPU board has R2 prom, MXF R3 prom.
In @GENPART created a simple configuration with 37 terminals, 37 partitions,
100K memory per partition, with addresses 310, 320, 330, 340, 215, 216, \& 217
in the device table.
Set up similar configuration with 2 MXF boards, 1 MXE or MXD, \& 1 disk controllex, and create 36 terminals \& 36 partitions, 100K memory for every partition and see if this fails. Otherwise need to know exact configuration in QGENPART \& prom rev levels to see if that is factor in problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 05/14/92 TIME: 03:20}

Initial escalate to ReD for advice. Problem was verified in-house with os 1.15 \& \(1.16 x\).

ASSIGNED: HONG PANDA
TO HKCSO: Call ack'd.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
As above
FREO: Solid

PROBLEM NUMBER: P200017421 NUMBER OF RELATED

PRIORITY P1
PROBLEM TYPE: ALRT
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS/386-1600N
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS 386 CPU
O.S. VERSION: 0107

HW MODEL NUMBER: TURBO
SW MODEL NUMBER:
SW VERSION:
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
STATUS DATE: 03/20/92 07:04
STATUS CODE: H C 643 DATE TO R\&D: 00000000

STATUS ABBREV: RP SU FAIL WKDYS IN R\&D:
STATUS CLOSE LVL:ALL TOT WKDYS OPEN:
STATUS DESC : REPLACE SUSPECTED FAILURE

CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0
PRODUCT PROBLEMS: 0
LINKED TO PROBLEM:
ORIGINATOR NAME: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: O0-04238
ORIGINATOR PHONE:
ORIGINATOR RDB: 8760

RDB ASSIGNED: 8332
PERSON ASSIGNED:
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { DATE ENTER PTR: } & 02 / 13 / 92 \\ \text { DATE TO R\&D: } & 000000000\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { DATE ENTER PTR: } & 02 / 13 / 92 \\ \text { DATE TO R\&D: } & 000000000\end{array}\)

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92
TIME: 16:42
VLSI CPU board will not work in a CS/386-N/D Turbo Motherboard. Cannot get Mount System Platter. Tested in 2 different chassis with several tested VLSI CPU boards.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 03/19/92 TIME: 12:24
I have tested and they work with Turbo CPU....
Vhst

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 17:26
Sending to 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 16:42
Install VLSI CPU board in a CS-N/D with a Turbo motherboard \& w/ a MXE or MXD to support terminal 1. Power On.

Cannot boot w/ MXD for term 1. hangs w/eithga "LOADinG" flashing or blank - scrben bgfore CBoot manu displaygd.
circumvention: \(K_{\text {et }}\) SF' CMVP
- NO BOOT PROBLEM FROM my I.Iz DISK
- copled CBOOT from me l.lz to failing NW Mutval \(\gamma\) boots ok.
- LOADED CPSTAT FROM DIl no PRODLSM

SHOWED ERR 77 ON PARTITION \(1+3 \%\) \% 3 OFPING STIL RUNNING - LOAD MAIN MENO FROM FLUPPY \(Y\) NO PRDB
- LOADED CBOOT from flopprer no problom.
- RDDED 1.12 TO LINE \(9020^{\text {oreogist }}\) \&ESAVSO STiLl RUNNING OK.
- REOOOTED I NOE PROBLSM

Conclusion: most likgly marginal read problsm w/ CBOOT? Not wkely as hao PREVIOUSLL ROMOVED \% FROM LINES 9000 y \(900 S\), RESAVED, \(\sigma\) STILL FAILED.
Somsthing in CBoot shbhtly differont.
- hang on boot from \(2^{\text {ND }}\) NML dISR BEfORO CGBDOT mSNO
\& \(R_{\text {esolution }}\)
Lengra of Data linos róstracigo with the MXD
The displayed length of line 9020 for thig O/S rolease is restricted in this LASE to 24 characters. If othor linös aró made shorter this line LAN BE LONGER.
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}16 & 9 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16\end{array}\)
1

2

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}11 & 53 & 2 F & 33 & 38 & 36 & 20 & 40 & 75 & 66 & 74 & 69 & 75 & 73 & 65 & 92 & 20 \\ 75 & 6 C & 74 & 69 & 75 & 73 & 65 & 12 & 20 & 42 & 41 & 53 & 49 & 43 & 20 & 32\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}12 & 42 & 41 & 53 & 49 & 43 & 20 & 32 & 20 & 52 & 65 & 6 & 20 & 31 & 28 & 32 \\ 20 & 31 & 26 & 31 & 78 & 22 & 26 & 22 & 50 & 22 & 00 & 00 & 00 & f F & 90 & 40\end{array}\)

14 \begin{tabular}{llllllllllllll}
22 & 20 & 22 & 26 & 22 & 42 & 22 & 08 & 00 & 00 & if \\
\hline 90 & 90 & 90 & 50 & 97 & 22 & 40 \\
\hline 14 & 22 & 40 & 44 & 47 & 31 & 22 & 26 & 22 & 44 & 69 & 61 & 67 & 68 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(15 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}44 & 47 & 31 & 22 & 22 & 22 & 44 & 69 & 61 & 69 & 66 & 6 f & 73 & 74 & 69 & 63 \\ 64 & 78 & 74 & 69 & 63 & 73 & 22 & 26 & 22 & 50 & 22 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 5 D & 00\end{array}\)
\(16 \begin{array}{ccccccccccc}193 & 22 & 2 c & 22 & 50 & 22 & 00 & 00 & 00 & f 0 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00\end{array}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cmivp" "CS|386 Muctusea BASIC.2 Rel 1.2?","p" Fail } \\
& \text { cMVP"," MuLT, user BASIC. } 21.12 \text { ", "P" }
\end{aligned}
\]

II BIGGER HANGS ON MXD
DELETGD REL. 1 BIGGGR HANGS
DELGTED CSI. 4 BibGER HAMGS
ADPGD CS/ + REL. DELETGP MULTIUSEL. 2 BIGGER WORKED.
RODED I LIAAR. 3 B, GGOL Wr LKGA.

24 is max sizb

Functions As Designed
if Then else
CAN HAVE NOTHING IA BETWEEN
FAILS IF REM IS PLACED
Except
1. LING \# BETWEEN END DO \(\alpha\) ELSE DO
2. Do Group
3. Statement

REM is a command, not a statement
: run9000
results \(K=1\)

: PRINT 'results \(\mathrm{K}=1^{\circ}\)
: ENDED
: : sis 3000.
\(3000 \mathrm{k}=1\)
: IF K=2THEN DO
: PRINT 'results \(K=\imath^{\circ}\)
: ENDDO
: REH *** remark statement ***
9010 ELSE 00
PRINT 'results \(K=1\) '

: ENDDO
:run g000
I have release \(1.1 z\)

FROM: DEL Horton
Carrel CO.
\(9655 \mathrm{~S} .78^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Av}\).
Hickory Hills IL.
60457
(708) \(599-5200\)


PROBLEM STATEMENT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/14/93 TIME: 12:49
If a program saved in NEF format using 'mrap' mode contains a DEFFN' statemnt
for a SF key is loaded into memory but not executed, keying that SF' key could blow the O/S. Problem does not occur if in OLD format or if 'wrap' is not specified in save statement.
To duplicate key in the following program:
10 DEFFN'10: E9=1: RETURN

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 11/24/93 TIME: 10:30
SC595. Problem where if a program saved in NEM format in wrap mode contained a DEFFN for a SF key, loading that program and hitting that \(S F\) key before executing the program could blow the \(0 / S\) is resolved with Maint Rel 1.29 .01 \& higher. Customer currently running 1.30 release.

PROBLEM NUMBER M200020092

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/24/93 TIME: 10:40}

Customer has had CS/386 Maint Rel 1.30 .00 installed for approx 2 weeks w/out problems. The screen problem that was mentioned after loading 1.29.01 happened twice cannot be reproduced at this time. Call is being closed. New call will be opened for the screen problem should it reoccur.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/19/93 TTME: 12:30}

Sent Wang Office to field \(11 / 17\) to see if rel 1.30 .00 installed yet. Have not received reply. Have no details on screen problem reported with 1.29 .01 which we are also waiting for.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 10/28/93 TIME: 16:01
Ce delivered Maint Rel 1.30 for the CS/386 to the site today. The regular system administrator, Steve Marney, was out on vacation. \(0 / S\) will not be installed until he returns. No one else there knew details on screen problem

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/25/93 TTME: 08:57}

Received Wang Office from CE last week. Will be installing Maint Rel 1.30 this week. Maint rel 1.30 also sent to John Howser of the RSC last Friday.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:54}

John Howser of the RSC has talked with customer this week and problem appears to be fixed. Customer would like to test 1.30. Can we close this call? New 0/S 1.29.01 in approx 2 months \& this problem appears resolved.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 11:07}

Talked to CE a few days ago. Will check with customer again before closing call. Have asked them if they would like to test 386 rel 1.30.00. Waiting for word back from CE.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 15:52
Left message for \(C E\) to call me. Would like to verify customer still running error free and also to find out if would like to test out new rel 1.30.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/30/93 TIME: 09:24
CE John Pardoe called in. Cust has been on new \(0 / s\) for a week and no problems. This bug appears to be fixed. If no problems in the next week will close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/24/93 TIME: 17:00
Maint Rel 1.29.01 for the CS/386 installed 8/23. No problems to date.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/93 TIME: 17:05
Mailed maintenance rel 1.29 .01 to John Pardoe of the Baltimore office as will be on vacation next week and had not heard back from RSC on where to send.


\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/93 TIME: 17:05}

Mailed via fed Xpress 2 dayr air bill \# 8620517552 . please keep updated on when received, installed, and on test results. If need assistance while \(I\) am away please contact Gene Roy.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/93 TIME: 10:45
Have new release which I am testing today which fixes this bug. If all goes well, will send out to customer next week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/26/93 TIME: 11:20
R\&D believes has fix for this problem. Will be testing this week.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIME: 17:06
Trying to wrap up 386 bugs at this time. Hope to have fix shortly. Providing copy of call to ReD for fix.


PROBLEM STATEMENT : HONSER JOEN P DATE: 06/24/93 TIME: 10:53 2200 386CS-5 PROB: PHER PROG USRS THE COAMAND "MAT SEARCH" IS O UTPUTHITNG TO A PRINTER THE PRINTER WILL STOP IN THE MIDDLE OF TH E PRINIOUT AND TERMINAL WILL NOT HALT/STEP. THE TERM. HAS TO BE RESET. THIS PROBLEM IS SURPOSEDLY DUPLICATED FHEN PRINTING FROM DATA 3500 NP VER. 2.3 USING THE GENERIC PRINIER DRIVER, PROGRAM 'FTP 4852 (IINE NUMBER 34 10). THE PROBLEM HAPPEN HHEN THE "MAT SEARCH" CONMAND IS USED ON A SUBSTRING OF A VARIABLE OR ARRAY. THE LENGTH OF THS SUBSTRING HAS TO BE 62 BYTES IN L ENGTH. IE THE LENGTH IS CHANGED TO 61 OR 63 THE PROBLEM FPILI NOT OCCUR.. 2200 os \(=1.12\)
CUSTONER PROVIDED SAMPLE: (CREATE DOC AS SHOMN)



RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 10:25
Hang problem to printer appears to be due to a problam that occurs if the mistem is pointing to a variable stack address ending in FF. When this scurred the system would incorrectly check the printer address to see if it was under a platter hog which a printer address does not support. This would cause the hang. This problem appears to be resolved with CS/386 pre-release 1.29.01 installed at the site over a month ago.

\section*{ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN \(P\) \\ DATE: 10/22/93 \\ TIME: 07:53}

Please send ptr back to close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 10:22
Update acknowledged. Do you want to close this call since this problem appears to be resolved. A new call should be opened for the display problem.

ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOEN \(P\) DATE: 10/20/93 TIME: 16:17
***** COMMENT FROM CTS 06/25/93 00:04:33 *****
M410011192 ALTERED BY HOWSER JOHN P 6/24/93 11:04
called customer and requested duplication material requested by
Mike Bahia. He will provide as soon as he can...
***** COMMISNT EROM CTS 10/20/93 16:08:57 *****
TALKED WITH STEVE, HR REPORIS THE PROBLEM HAS BEEN RESOLVED WITH THE 1.29.01 VERSION, BUT ANOTHER PROBLEM IS PRESENTED. . HE DESCRIBES THIS AS IE HE LISTS A SECTION OF CODE IN A PRO GRAM IT DOES NOT DISRLAY PROPERLY ON THE SCREEN. HE IS
***** COMMENT EROM CTS 10/20/93 16:23:02 *****
FAXING ME A SAMPLE OF THE SCREEN... HE STATES IT ONLY OCCUR \(S\) ON OCCASIONALLY AND IN CERTAIN PROGRAMS. >??? YES HE IS INTERESTED IN INSTALLING THE 1.30 VERSION..

ASSIGNHD: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 12:02
Talked with CE a few days ago. CE to check with customer to insure no proble \(m\) and ask about installing 386 rel 1.30 .

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 15:54
Left message for CE to call. Want to verify customer still running error free \& to find out if would like to use new rel 1.30.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/30/93 TIME: 09:27}

CE called in. No problems with new \(0 / S\) as of today. This bug appears to be resolved. Will give it 1 more week and if ok will close call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/24/93 TIME: 16:58}

Maint Rel 1.29.01 was installed 8/23. No problems encountered.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/93 TIME: 09:04
RSC has call into John Pardoe for status.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/93 TIME: 09:03
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/93 TIME: 17:00
Sent copy of Cs/386 Maint Rel 1.29 .01 to Balt office, attention John Pardoe who I talk to as had not heard back from RSC and on vacation next week. 0/s sent with Test Site Agreement via Fed fxpress 2 day, air bill 8620517552.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MTCHAEL E DATE: 08/05/93 TTME: 17:00}
please keep updated on when receired, installad, and tested. If need assistance while I am away next weak please contact Gene Roy via office or phone.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/02/93 TTME: 08:37
John, where do you want me to send the new 0/S, to you, the customer, or both of you. Please provide mailing address/es. On vacation next week for just that week just so you will know.


ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHS \(P\) DATE: 07/30/93 TINE: 10:40
***** COMMGNT FROM CTS 07/30/93 05:37:32 *****
M410011192 ALTERED BY BAHIA MICHAEL E 7/26/93 11:07
Back from vacation. Do you have program to reproduce?
***** COMMENT FROM CTS 07/30/93 10:42:40 *****
NO I DO HOT RAVE ANY CODS TO REPRODUCK TER PROBLEM. I I DID NOT ENOO YOU NEEDED ANY. THE LAST UPDATE BEFORE YOU RENT ON VACATION WAS THAT YOU GAVE A FIX FOR THE PROB (BUT DID NOT RNOH IT IT RAS EXACTLY THE SAME BUT YOU INDICATED YOU MOULD ***** COMMENT EROM CTS 07/30/93 10:44:22 ***** POSSIBLY HAVE SONIS S/M FOR EKI A FEEK OR SO AFTER YOUR REIURN ... I CAN APPROACH THE CUSTOARR AND REQUEST IF YOU NEED IT THOUGH...

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 07/26/93 TIME: 11:04
Back from vacation. Do you have program to reproduce?
ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN \(P\) DATE: 07/16/93 TIME: 07:57
Awaiting Mike Bahia's raturn from vacation....
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 16:36
He have fix for this problem as we discovered it. Since we have not received

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TTME: 16:36}
any specific code from you to determine if the problem described is one in the same, we do not know for sure if it will fix your problem. We still want to roll a couple more fixes into this and still need to do some more extensi ve testing before sending out for beta release. On vacation for 2 weeks. Hope to have an \(0 / S\) to send you the end of the week \(I\) come back or hopefully the week after. If have any concerns in meantime please send Office message to Gene Roy.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIME: 17:16
Would like test program to duplicate if possible. Believe problem related to PTR M41/10576 as relates to the variable stack but would like program to dupe to be sure. Working on 386 fixes right now and expect new release very shortly. On vacation for 2 weeks starting 7/10. If get additional info during that time please forward it to my manager, Gene Roy, and indicate 2200 bug and PTR \#.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/25/93 TIME: 10:58}

Would still like duplication program from customer to verify my assumption that the 2 problems are related to the variable stack. See previous comment if not read already.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATF: 06/25/93 TTME: 10:57}

Have been playing around with this as it struck me that this problem could be related to the first problem reported by this customer. Have been able to duplicate a printer hang using the same variable conditions causing the problem in PTR M41/10576. I believe what is happening is if the variable stack ends at address FF and the system ends up pointing at that address via the MATSEARCH, the FF somehow is intexpreted as some type of printer hog resulting in a hang. Duplicated the problem with the following program:
10 DTM H\$ (2) 2, L\$2, N8
20 DIM L9\$ (248) 1
30 MATSEARCHSTR (L9\$ (), ,32), <>HEX (00 00)TO L\$
40 SELECT PRINT 204
50 HEXPRINT L\$
60 STOP "ERND OF PROGRAM"
This program hangs on the HEXPRINT command. Does not fail to the CRT, probably because the CRT is exclusive to the partition \(\&\) cannot be hogged.

\section*{ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN P DATE: 06/24/93 TIME: 11:04}
called customer and requested duplication material requested by Mike Bahia. He will provide as soon as he can...

HEADERINTO PROBLEM NUMBER MA10010576


PROBLEM STATEMENT : HOHSER JOHN P DATE: 12/04/92 TIME: 09:45
2200 CS-5/ NOA NANG PROGRAM EREEEING, COMPATIBILITY PROBLEM
**SHE HAS BEEN IN TOUCH WITE SOMEONE IN HO, SHE NEEDS TO GET
A PTR ORENED
CE CONTACTED H.O. MIKE BAHIA AND DISCUSSED PROBLEM. .. THE CUSTOMER IS SENDIN G PROGRAMS TO HIM FOR TESTING
what: customer application program freazing
when: unknown... ce did not describe if intermittent or imediate.
where: running on a 2200cs
what extent: always

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/25/93 TIME: 08:49
SC595. This problem where if the system is pointing to address of FF in the variable stack when accessing 340 a hang could occur is resolved in saint Rel 429.01 tested on site since August.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/25/93 TIME: 08:54}

Sending call back to field to be closed. Problem resolved with Maint rel 1.29.01.

ASSIGNFD: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIMF: 12:12
This problem appears to be resolved with Turbo pre-release 1.29 .01 installed in August. RSC talked with customer this week and this problem appears to be resolved. Would like to close call if agreeable. Cust wants to test 1.30 which was mailed to the CE last month.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHARL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 12:00}

Talked to CE a few days ago. Was going to check with customer 1 more time to insure OK \& ask about testing 386 rel 1.30 .00 . Have not heard back yet.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL \(E\) DATE: 09/14/93 TTME: 16:13}

Left message for CE to call me. Want to verify customer still running error free. Also want to find out if cust will test new 386 rel 1.30 for us which includes a couple of additional fixes.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/30/93 TIMF: 09:29
C® called in. No problems with new \(0 / S\) as of today. Will monitor 1 more week. This issue appears to be resolved.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(\mathbb{S}\) DATE: 08/24/93 TIME: 16:56 John Pardoe called in. \(0 / s\) has been installed and no problems encountered.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/20/93 TTME: 10:23
Copy of Cs/386 Beta Rel 1.29 .01 mailed out to RSC attention John Howser this AM via Fed Xpress airbill \# 862-0524-123. Called Baltimore office and left message for John Pardoe to call me concerning status of beta test of new \(0 / \mathrm{s}\).

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/18/93 TTME: 08:54
Update acknowledged. \(0 / \mathrm{s}\) will be mailed out to you this week.

ASSIGNED: HONSER JOHN P DATE: 08/16/93 TIME: 16:17
***** COMMANT FROM CTS 08/16/93 16:22:02 *****
I HAVE NOT HEARD... I CALLED LEFT MESSAGE FOR JOHN PARDOE
TO CALI OR SEND W/O ON STATUS... PLEASE SEND S/W TO
WANG LABS, 2300 LAKE PARK DR. , SMYRNA, GA. 30080... THANKS

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/16/93 TIME: 10:07
John, I need your mailing address to send the new maintenance release. Has the new \(0 / S\) been installed yet? Any feedback from customer?

ASSIGNHD: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/06/93 TIME: 17:55
John, please provide me with your mail to address and I will send you a copy

PROBLEMCETAII PROBLEM NOMBER M410010576
```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/06/93 TIME: 17:55

```
of this new CS/386 release when I get back.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/93 TIME: 15:55
Need mail to address for new O/S. Left message at RSC for John Howser. Called Baltimore office and spoke with John Pardoe. Mailing Cs/386 Pre-rel to him. On vacation next week. If need assistance in my abscense contact Gene Roy via office or phone.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/93 TIME: 10:54}

Have new release received today which fixes all 3 problems reported by Balt Sign. Running system tests at this time. If all goes well hope to have new release available next week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 16:29
We have an \(0 / S\) that fixes this problem. Need to do system testing. On vacation for 2 weeks. Back July 26. There are a couple of more fixes we hop e to implement. Hope to have \(0 / S\) ready to send out end of week \(I\) return for beta test. If have any concerns while I am away, please contact Gene Roy via Wang Office.

SIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIME: 17:09
Horking on fix to problem at this time. Hope to have release to test shortly . On vacation for 2 weeks starting 7/10. If need help in meantime please contact Gene Roy via wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 06/18/93 TIME: 17:38
The problem only occurs with address 340. If the program ends up looking at an \(F F\) address on a DATAIOAD command it automatically checks to see if any other partition may be hogging the same address. In the case of address 340, platter hogging is not supported, the code drops out of the loop but inadvertently retains the \(F F\) which the partition interprets as the address being hogged resulting in a hang. Expect to have fix shortly. Please see previous comments.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 06/16/93 TIME: 13:20
We have further narrowed down problem. When the variable stack ends on a divisible of \(256 \&\) a pointer ends up at the last byte (FF) (via the MAT SGARCH in this case) a hang occurs during disk I/O due to possibly a pointer problem or timing issue resulting from this condition we believe. Program has been trimmed to the following:
10 DTM H\$ (2) 2, I\$2, N8
20 DIM L9 (248) 1
30 MATSEARCR (L9\$(), 32), <>(00 00)TO L\$
40 DATALOADBMF/340, (0) E\$ ()
50 STOP

PROBLEMCETAIL PROBLRM NOMBER MA10010576

\section*{ASSIGNED: BABIA MICBAEL E DATE: 06/16/93 TTME: 13:20}

Again please open new PTR for the MATSEARCB/PRINT problem with 62 characters. Will need to get program from customer because we cannot duplicate. Please try to get this done as soon as possible as we may hope to have these fixes done in the next few weeks.

ASSIGNED: BABIA MICBAEL E DATE: 06/14/93 TIME: 15:06
PTR M2/20092 opened against SAVE problem with 'wrap' mode in NEW format 1st reported in this call. Please see previous coment for additional new inform ation.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/14/93 TIME: 11:21
He have isolated the problem reported in this call to 5 lines. Line 10 COM ,.,.,.iN8 (original COM statement. If any vartiable is deleted, problem may not be reproducible.)
70 COM L9\$ (2300) 2
100 MATSEARCHSTR (L9\$(), ,32), \(>\) GEX (00 00) TO L\$ STEP2
110 DATALOADBAT1/340, (0)06\$()
120 COMClesarl9 ()
Lines 70-120 are new line numbers and the lines have been simplified where possible. If any of these lines is altered the problem is affected and may not reoccur. All variables defined on line 10 are still in place though not shown.
Have been able to reproduce problem involving SF key defined in NEM format \(\varepsilon\) saved in Hrap mode. Will open up separate PTR for this. Cannot dupe prob w/ MATSRARCH w/ 62 character string. Please gat prog to dupe \(\&\) open new PTR.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICBAEL E DATE: 06/08/93 TIME: 11:07
John, please open separate calls for these 2 other issues. Now would be a good time while we are in the process of fixing the 386 bugs. Once we fix the current bugs on the 386 , we will be quite involved with the Turbo bugs \(E\) it could be quite awhile before any new 386 bugs rere fised. From your descriptions of the 2 problems, it would help tremendously if I could get s/w from the customer to duplicate the problems, otherwise it will be very difficult to duplicate and therefore fix.

ASSIGNED: HOFSER JOEN \(P\) DATE: 06/04/93 TIME: 08:20
***** COMANNT FROM CTS 06/04/93 08:17:17 *****
SUBSTRING HAS TO BE 62 BYTES IN LENGTH. IF THE LENGTH IS CA ANGED TO 61 OR 63 THE PROBLEM WILL NOT OCCUR."
THIS INHO PROVIDED BY THE CUSTOMER.... ALSO, ANOTHER PROB
HAS CROPRED UE AND IS DESCRIBED AS EOLLOHS: QUOTE " A PROB ***** COMAIENT FROM CTS 06/04/93 08:19:02 *****
IS ENCOUNTERED HHEN A PROGRAM IS LOADED INTO MEMORY WITH THE FOLLOWING LINE -- 10 DEFFN' XX (XX= 0 - 31) :E9=1: RETURN. IF THE PROGRAM WAS SAVED IN NEP (386) FORMAT ALONG WITH THE

WANGIIABORATORIESIINC.
PAGE: 6

PROBLEMCDEAIL PROBLEM NUMBER M410010576
ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN \(P\) DATE: 06/04/93 TIME: 08:20
<W〉 PARAMETER AND THE DEFINED SF' KEY IS KEYED BEFORE THE ***** COMMENT FROM CTS 06/04/93 08:20:29 ***** PROGRAM IS RUN, THE CPU WILL HANG... THIS PROBEM WILI NT OC CUR IF THE FILE IS SAVED IN NEW (386) FORMAT WITHOUT THE <W> PARAMETER. THE SYSTHM FIILL CORRECTLY FLAG A P55 ERROR INSTE AD (UNDEEINED VARIABLE)

ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN P DATE: 06/04/93 TIME: 08:20
***** COMMINT FROM CTS 06/04/93 08:11:08 *****
MIKE, I RECEIVED SOME ADDITIONAL INEORMATION ON THE PRINTER HANG PROBLEM WHEM PRINTING A 62 CHARACIER LINE: IF WE NEED TO OREN ANOTHER PTR ON THIS ISSUE LET ME KNON. THR PROB: IS IDENTIFIED AS FOLLONS: PRINYER LOCK-UP PROBLMM "A PROBLEM ***** COMMIHNT FROM CTS 06/04/93 08:12:50 *****
IS ENCOUNTERRED WHEN A PROGRAM THAT USES THE COMMAND --MAT SE ARCH-D IS OUTPUTHING TO A PRINHER. THE PRINIER WILL STOP IN
THE MIDDLE OF THE PRINTOUT AND THE TGRMINAL WILL NOT HALT/S TEP. THE TERMINAL HAS TO BE RESET. THIS PROBLEM ALSO ***** COMMIRNT FROM CTS 06/04/93 08:14:28 *****
OCCURS FHEE PRINTING FROM DATA 3500 WP VER. 2.3 USING THE GE ITC PRINTER DRIVER, PROG 'WP 4485 2' (LINE NUMBER 3410). IME PROBLGM HAPPENS WHEN THE MAT SHARCH COMMAND IS USED ON A SUBSTRING OF A VARIABLE OR ARRAY. THE LENGTH OF THE

ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN P DATE: 06/03/93 TIME: 09:30 Keep me posted>>>> Thanks..

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFL E DATE: 06/02/93 TIME: 15:48
Currently working on the 386 bugs. Nothing yet.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/27/93 TIME: 13:13
Now at the debugging stage. Hopefully will have a handle on this shortly.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/14/93 TIME: 16:27
Nothing new yet to report.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 04/27/93 TIME: 10:35
No change since last update.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/05/93 TIME: 11:48 Nothing new at this time.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/26/93 TIME: 08:51 No news at this time. New RED man coming up to speed. be fired. He have a new person coming up to speed on the \(0 / 3\) to resolve this so it could be couple of months before a fix is available but we are moving forward to resolve the problem.

ASSIGNED: EOFSER JOER \(P\) DATE: 02/16/93 FIMS: 10:02
***** COAMENT FROM CTS 02/16/93 10:05:27 *****
CALLED CUSTOMER BACK AND HE REQUESTED THAT MIKE CALL BIM DIR ECTLY ARD HE NILL SHOF HIM HEERE THE VARIABLE IS LOCATED OVE R THE PHONE.... I THEN CALLED MIRE AND LEFT DVX TO THIS AFTECT...
```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICBAEL E DATE: 02/02/93 TIME: 11:34

```
My exror. N8 variable was last variable on line 11 of "SD TEMP1". Did
additional testing yesterday. Found did not naed to load initial program to
cause hang. If directly loaded "SD SORT1" which is the program that hangs
added line: 1 COM s8

Other nuneric variables will also cause the hang and once the hang occurs you must clear the partition and reload the program to have any chance of gettin. \(g\) the program to run again. Sorry, about the mixup on the 88 variable.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/27/93 TIME: 08:38
Opdate acknowledged. Thanks John.
ASSIGNED: HONSER JOHN \(P\) DATE: 01/26/93 TTME: 10:23
***** COBARNT FROM CTS 01/26/93 10:25:25 *****
CALLED CUSTOMER AND ASKED ETM ABOUT THE N8 VARIABLE... BR
HILI RESEARCH AND CALL ME BACR HITH THE DETAILS. ANAITIEG CUSTOMER CALL BACK.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHASL E DATF: 01/25/93 TTME: 16:06
Have duplicated the problem. Have 1 question. Note included indicated if variable N8 was removed from line 11 the program would not fail. 18 was suppose to be a common variable not used with this program. Unfortunately N8 does not exist. Can you find out which variable the customer really meant. Line 11 has a number of variables on it and that information may make it easier to resolve.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/25/93 TIME: 08:37
Diskette has been received. Will try to test today or tomorrow.

PROBLEMCDTAIL PROBLEM NUMBER M410010576
```

    ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN P DATE: 01/21/93 TIME: 14:09
    ***** COMMENT FROM CTS 01/21/93 14:11:23 *****
FORWARDED CUSTOMER APPLICATION TO H.O. ATTN MIKR BAHIA..
OPERATION INSTRUCTIONS INCLUDED.....

```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/21/93 TIME: 12:13
The printer problem just to let you know looks like a printer problem. Normally we just send to the printer if a ready condition is given. See the previous comment for my mailing address to send the software.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/21/93 TIME: 12:05
Yes, send the application to me. Hopefully it includes instructions on exactly what steps to take or keys to hit to duplicate the problem. My mailing address is: Wang Labs

1 Industrial Ave
Lowell, MA 01851
Attn: Mike Bahia
M/S 019-690

ASSIGNED: HOFSER JOHN P DATE: 01/19/93 TIME: 14:27
***** COMMENT FROM CTS 01/19/93 14:24:42 *****
E, THE CUSTOMER DESCRIBED THE PRINTER PROBLEM AS WHEN HE PRINTS A LINE OF 62 CHARACTERS OR GREATER INTERMITTENTILY THE PRINTER WILL HANG.... IT DOES NOT ALWAYS OCCUR... THIS PROBLEM IS SECONDARY AND WE REALLY YANT TO CONCENTRATE ON ***** COMMENT FROM CTS 01/19/93 14:26:10 *****
SYSTEM HANG IN HIS APPLICATION. I READ THE PTR YOU DIRECTED
ME TO AND I AGREE THIS SOUNDS THE SAME... THE CUSTOMER FINA
LLY SENT HIS APPLICATION TO ME, MAY I SEND IT TO YOU FOR FUR THER ANALYSIS AND POSSIBLE PROBLEM DUPLICATION (I HAVE NOT ***** COMMENT FROM CTS 01/19/93 14:27:07 *****
GOT THE EQUIPMENT HERE) ALSO, THIS MAY ASSIST IN THE RESOLU TION OF THE OTHER PTR (M200017446) LET ME RNON....

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/14/93 TTME: 15:38
Update acknowledged. Unclear what you are trying to say on the printer problem. Are you indicating if a line is longer than 62 characters it causes their printer/s to hang? That would not seem to make sense. Please clarify . Don't understand what you mean with 62 character > line.
The 2nd problem may be related to a problem already reported, M2/17446. We could not break down this problem but we did find a hang would occur with 1 customer's software when going back and forth from a global partition to the local partition.

ASSIGNED: HONSER JOHN P DATE: 01/13/93 TIME: 11:02
***** COMMENT FROM CTS 01/13/93 11:02:21 ***** \(\rightarrow\)

NAMGILABORATORIESIINC． PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING COMPLETE DEFAIL REPORT

PROBLEM DETAIL PROBLEM HUMBER MA10010576

PAGE： 9
25 OCT 1993
09：07：59
ASSIGNED：HOASER JOHN \(P\) DATE：01／13／93 TTMS：11：02

THE MOST CURRENT VERSION OT \(3 / W\) AND SAY8 BE REQUIRES THT FIXES PRESENT IM 1.17 BUT HAS TEESE OTEER PROBLEMS THAT CAUS
 CATION THAT WILL GENERATE PROB．带2 TO MR．．．．I DO HOT HAVE ＊＊＊＊＊COMARENT FROM CTS 01／13／93 11：03：23＊＊＊＊＊
A 2200－386CS TO TEST SO I MILI PROBABLY FOREARD TO R．O．OA T HE ABOVE PTR．．．．HILL SEND THIS URDATE TO MIKE BABIA．．．．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN P DATE: 01/13/93 TIME: 11:02 } \\
& \text { ***** COMPIENT FROM CTS 01/13/93 10:58:13 ***** } \\
& \text { STEVE (SYSTEM PROGRAMAER) CAITHED ABD DESCRIBTD TWO PROBLEMS }
\end{aligned}
\]
ING A 62 CRAR OR GREATER LIME，（NON HANG PRINTERS NOT USING
PRINTER DRIVER）2）THE CPU HANGS WIEN USING A COBAMON
＊＊＊＊＊COMNENTI ERCM CTS 01／13／93 11：00：17＊＊＊＊＊
VARIABLE ACCESSING RAM DISK 340，IN ANY OF HIS APRLICATION
PROGRAMS THAT PERFORM THIS FURCTION．THESR SYMPTOMS OCCUR
ON 2200 OS VER \(1.1 \pm\) BUT MEITHER OCCUR ON 08 1．1T．（BUT 1．1T
bas a PROBLEM WITE RGNUMBER）THE CUSTOMER WANTS TO BE ON
＊＊＊＊＊COMAENT FROM CTS 01／13／93 11：02：20＊＊＊＊＊
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION CHANGE．OID DESCRIPTION WAS：
2200 CS－5／NON HRNG PROGRAM FREERING，COMPATIBILITY PROBLEM
＊＊SHE HAS BEEN IM TOUCH WITH SOMEONE IN HO，SHE BEEDS TO GET
A PTR 带 OPENED

\section*{ASSIGNED：BARIA MICHAEL E DATE：01／04／93 TIMS：10：17}

Has anyone talked to this customer yat to find out what the problem is？
please update．

ASSIGNED：BABIA MICHAEL F DATE：12／21／92 TMN：09：18
No，nothing has been recieved yet．Re should also then verify if they have mailed anything yet．Pill be out next week．If you need to reach call ma at the office，508－656－0256，and I will leave a numer on my DVX whare \(I\) can be reachad．Happy Holidays．

ASSIGNED：HOHSER JORN \(P\) DATE：12／18／92 TIME：15：25
＊＊＊＊＊COMBIENT EROM CTS 12／18／92 15：24：39＊＊＊＊＊
MIRE，I＇M SORRY FOR THE PROBLEM THIS CALL CAUSED YOU．．．．THE ONLY REASON I ORENED IT THIS WAY IS THAT DEBRA ADVISED ME THAT SHE BAD DISCUSSED THE ISSUE WITH YOU AND YOU WERE ALREA DY APAARE OF THE PROBLEM AND THAT YOU REQUESTED SEE OREN A ＊＊＊＊＊COMASNT PROM CTS 12／18／92 15：25：50＊＊＊＊＊ PTR．．．．OBVIOUSLY THIS IS NOT THE CASE．．．I WILL CONTACT DEB RA AND GET MORE INEORMATION FROM HER OR THE CUSTONISR．．．IK THE MEANTIMS HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANYTHING EROM THE CUSTOMER？？？

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/08/92 TIME: 17:31
Before opening a call the field should take it upon themselves to get the details of that problem to properly open the PTR. If Debra does not have the expertise on the system to get a handle on the problem, then someone else more comfortable in that area should whether it be the District, Region, or RSC. If no one feels capable of making the call, the field should arrange a conference call. I don't want to circumvent the escalation procedure so that people in the field are taken out of the loop on 2200 calls. The same procedure that applies to all other calls applies to 2200. Let's get someone on the phone to the customer who can get a handle on the problem to get it properly documented. I hope you can see the problem that this can cause if we no longer have the field involved in 2200 calls. If every customer deals directly with me the field will lose any chance to improve it's knowledge or expertise which is dwindling fast enough already.
```

ASSIGNED: HONSER JOHN P DATE: 12/07/92 TIME: 14:16
***** COMMINNT FROM CTS 12/07/92 12:49:16 *****
PAGED CE..... HOME OREICE REPLIED TO THE PTR STATING THAT
THEY NKED MORE INEO... 1) 2200 O.S. VERSION 2) DETAILED INFO
ON THE S/W PACKAGE/S FAILING 3) WHAT IS THE SYMPTOM 4)
GHAT, WHEN, WHERE AND TO WHAT EXTEENT?????
*** COMMENT FROM CTS 12/07/92 14:14:40 *****
CALLED DEGRA BACK REQUESTING THE ABOVE INFORMATION... SHE
DORS NOT HAVE THE INFO ONLY THAT THE OS IS 1.1%... SHE
EXPLAINED THAT THE CUSTOMER IS SENDING THE APPLICATION AND
A COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF ITS ORERATION AND THE DUPLICATION
***** COMMENT FROM CTS 12/07/92 14:15:51 *****
PROCESS WITH SYMPTOM TO MIKE BAHIA..... MIKE IF UPON RECEIP
T OF THE CUSTOMER MATERIAL YOU REQUIRE MORE INEORMATION PLEA
SE LETM ME KNOW AND I WILI ADDRESS WITH DEBRA AGAIN....

```

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/04/92 TTME: 17:18}

Can we get some specific information in this call. Believe the \(0 / \mathrm{s}\) is \(1.1 z\). I think the field needs to be involved. To say there is a problem, here it is, is not enough. If you need my help that is fine, but the field needs to do their job. Define the problem.

ASSIGNED: HOWSER JOHN \(P\) DATE: 12/04/92 TIME: 09:43
problem escalated to the Home Office for problem determination at the request of Mike Bahia.... Customer sending application programs to Mike for testing.



PROBLEM STATEMENT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 09:55 SELECT NEW defaults to OLD after aCLBAR or LOAD RUN. Customers are spending money having all programs convexted to NEP format. Because the system keeps defaulting back to OLD they start building up a number of programs in Ond format if any development work or changes are being made.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 11:31
SC595. SELECT NEM has now been corrected to remain selected once executed until a SELECT OLD command is issued from the same partition. SELECH NEW will not default back to OLD after a CLEAR or LOAD RUN. Fix is included in Maint Releases 1.30 of both the \(C s / 386\) and Turbo. Closing call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHASL E DATE: 09/23/93 TTMIE 10:59}

Have fix for this on both 386 \& Turbo w/ rel \(1.30 \& 1.29 .00\) respectively. Both releases have gone out for beta testing. Maiting for feedback.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/93 TTME: 16:15
Now have new Turbo rel 1.29 .00 which includes this fix. Nead to field test o/s before closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFL E DATE: 09/08/93 TIME: 09:07
Have rolled this fix into new Cs/386 Maint Rel 1.30 which we are currently testing. Should go out for beta test next waek. Currently rolling this fix into the Turbo.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL F DATE: 08/30/93 TTME: 09:48}

Now have new CS/386 Maint Rel 1.29 .02 which includes this change. Have been testing in house since the middle of last week. Will send out for beta test by the end of next week, the latest. This change will be rolled into the next Turbo rel which we will be working on by next weak.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/93 TIME: 16:07
We expect to implement this change on both the 386 and Turbo although it may not be on the next release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/93 TTME: 17:00
This is also true on the 386 and should be fixed there. Will request this be implemented.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/05/93 TINE: 11:38 New Red man on board. This should be fixed in next release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TIME: 10:45
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/12/92 TTME: 09:55
Type in SELECT NEP return.
Do a LIST SELECT to verify NEW is selected.
DO a CLEAR OI LOAD RUN.
Do a LIST SELECT to see OLD is now selected.


PROBLEM STATEMENT : BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:26
In program or immediate mode, the \(0 / 5\) can be blown up by a PRINT comand followad by a long list of of additions or subtractions. Using a single digit \#, the \(87 t h\) number will consistently blow the \(0 / \mathrm{S}\). The 86 th number will somatimes blow the \(0 / S\) but rhen it does, usually you will see an A01 exror, Not Enough Mem. The 87 th number always seems to cause a hang. Strangely, the \(88 t h, 89 t h, \varepsilon 90 t h\) and possibly some numbers beyond that return an A04 error, Operator Stack Orerflow in immediate mode and fail to print a number in program mode but due return the cursor. At some point pithin 3 full lines of single digit additions or subtractions again the 0/s will blow usually with a hang where RESET clears the screen. This problem a 180 exists on Turbo but not until the \(94 t h\) character.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICAAEL E DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 10:52
sa595. This problem has been corrected on both the cs/386 Gurbo with rel
10 of both. Both releases are currently in beta test with no serious problems reported. Closing call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFLE DATE: 10/21/93 TIME: 10:57}

Problem fixed in Cs/386 Maint Rel 1.30 and Turbo Maint Rel 1.30.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/23/93 TIME: 10:43
Fixes now available on both the Cs/386 with rel 1.30 and Turbo with rel 1.29. Have sent copies of both out for beta testing. Waiting for feedback.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/93 TIME: 16:10
Now have new Turbo rel 1.29 .00 with this fix included. Waiting for beta site testing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/08/93 TIME: 08:48
Have a new Cs/386 Maint Rel 1.30 that is currently being tested in house which fixes this problem. Currently rolling same fix into Turbo.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/30/93 TIME: 09:42
Fix is now available for this problem on the CS /386 in Maint Rel 1.29.02. Have been testing since last week. Will be sending out for beta test very shortly.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/06/93 TIME: 16:48}

Expect a resolution for this problem very shortly. ReD working on fix at this time.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATH: 04/02/93 TIME: 15:41
ReD currently working on this bug. Symptoms slightly different when tested within a program. System sometimes will come back after several minutes.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/92 TIME: 13:30
This also fails on the Turbo when you get to \(87+1 s\). \(0 / 5\) must then be reload ed. Tested on Turbo \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.18\) with correct proms.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/92 TIME: 15:34}

This is a duplicatable problem which takes the whole system down on an active operating system for which we have resources to correct. This call has been open for 4 months. Let's make an attempt to fix it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 12:16
Any news on this problem?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:57
Sending to 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:26
To duplicate on the CS/386 key in the following command:
RRINT \(1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+\)
\(1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1\) \(+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1\)
key RæYURN and system will hang. There are 86 tis following the RRINI coman d.


PRODUCT COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT
17 SER 1992
13:25:31
HEADER INEO
PRODUCT PROBLEM NUMBER R900006126
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: & P900006126 & NUMBER OF RELATED & \\
\hline RRIORITY & E. 1 & CUSTOMER RROBLEMS : & 0 \\
\hline PROBJEM TYRE: & EROB & PRODUCT RROBLEMS: & 0 \\
\hline PRE-REIEEASE RROB: & & LTNKEED TO PROBLEM: & \\
\hline SXSTEM MODEL. NO: & CS/386-10D & ORIGINATOR NAME: & TOOTH NEIL \\
\hline GEN SXST MODEX: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYRER NO: & A1-50132 \\
\hline O.S. vprsion: & 01.15 & ORTGINATOR PHONE: & - - \\
\hline HW MODFJ, NUMBER: & CS/386-10D & ORIGINATOR RDE: & A6568 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10D & & \\
\hline SW VERSIJON: & 01 1.T & & \\
\hline PART NTMMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NIMM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & \\
\hline StATUS DATE: & 09/17/92 13:23 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 11/19/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & \(s \mathrm{C} 741\) & DATE TO RED: & 0199111.20 \\
\hline STATUS ABPREV: & TEMP FIX-I & HKDXS IN R\&D: & 215.19 \\
\hline Status Close luve: & ALt & TOT WKDXS OREN: & 215.88 \\
\hline status drisc & CUSTOMER RESOLVE & D BY INSTAKLING TEMP & FIX © \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :TOOTH NEIL DATE: 11/19/91 TIME: 20:00
The GIO statments discribed in how to dup will hang the system. All they do is check the status of the printer \& if it not ready put this up on the opexators screen. For the \(S /\) h house to change all thexe progs would requixe mutch roxk. These GIOs work on other 22003.

0/s rev level is 01.1t.
```

RESOLUTION TEKT :BAHTA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/17/92 TIME: 13:23
SC74.l. Froblem resolved at site with workaxound. Have also provided a 2nd
alternati.ve solution per update on 9/14.

```

ASSIGNED: RESHTSHIAN CARMEN DATE: 09/15/92 TLME: 23:40
We agree to close in Australia - I cannot see axy othex LINKED calls. I will wait till Priday 18 th Sept' 92 and if thexe are no othex comments \(x\) will close this call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/92 TIME: 17:07}

Have a program that will work correctly to test printex ready on the 386 . It
is as follows:
10 D.सM C9\$(16)
20 C9\$="215"
30 IT ON/215, 40: ERROR GOTO 100
40. \$OREN 100, /215: STH OFF/215, 100: \$GIORHADPRINTERSTATUS/215, (0100 02011212

ERTNT"READX": GOTO 200
\(100 \mathrm{E}=-2\) : ERTNT"NOT READY": GOTO 200
200 GOTO 10
This should provide a working solution to the issue. Would like to close this call at this time if agreeable?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MXCHAEL E DATE: 05/1A/92 TTME: 17:03
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: GUOSTER LEONLE DATE: 05/07/92 TTME: 03:09
As per PTR reprioxitisation cxitexia this call i.s a category \(D\). (we have workaround in place).

ASSIGNED: BAHTA MXCHAEL E DATE: 04/22/92 TTME: 16:00 Recopying call for R\&D. Need fix for this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAGIA MICHABL 8 DATE: 04/03/92 TIME: 16:47
Call is 4 months old. Still waiting for fix. Rlease help!!
ASSIGNED: BAKJA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 17:09
Thanks. Nothing new yet. On vacation for 2 weeks staxting 2/17. If need ass istance during that time please send Wang office to Milee Riley.

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIJ DATE: 02/09/92 TJME: 18:27
no problem here with that.
ASSTGNED: BAHIA MTCHAEL E: DATE: 02/07/92 TTM : 16:31
Would like to loeef this open to get this issue resolved. Is that a problem. This is another reason to open calls from the field as customer calls.
Because a customer name is attached they get more attention and can be indivi dually closed while the parent product call remains open for resolution.

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NETL DATE: 02/05/92 TXME: 19:29
We have gixcumbented the problem by useing an \(\$ x F O F F\) command and the cust is happy with this. I guess the \$GIO is going to have to be fixed some time probley next release so \(I\) can't see keeping this call open. If you send it a back to me I will close this.

\section*{ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIL \\ DATE: 12/16/91 TIME: 00:32}

Nice going, this is the only problem we know off todate with \$GIOrs.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/12/91 TIME: 16:07}

Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution. Will hand delivex program to duplicate \(p\) roblem. On VLSI CRU's the test program will only give the 'RRINTER NOT READY ' message jif the printex is not READY. On a CS/386 the 'RRINXER NOT READY' message pxints with the pxinter in either condition.
Field: please indicate if there are problems beyond just getting the 'NOT READY' message with the printer READY aS OTHERWISE THIS IS THE ONLI PROBLEM T HAT WIIJ. BE FIKED.

ASSIGNED: BARIA MICHAELE DATE: 12/12/91 TTME: 16:00
Duplicated your problem. On a vasI CPU the pxintex only gives the NOT READY message if the printer is not ready. On the CS/386, the PRINTRR NOT READX me ssage is displayed both if the printex is ready ox isn't. Thexe is no hang.
Hill escalate problem for a fix.
ASSTGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 12/11/91 TTME: 19:A1
Will try to test this tomorxow on a vLsI CPU to see if it works thexe. If it doesn't work correctly there either will need more info. If worlcs of then
have reproduced the problem as it always prints "PRINTER NOT READX" on the \(s 86\) but does not hang. Sorxy about delay. Extremely busy with release of Turbo but should know tomorrow if problem can be reproduced.

ASSIGNED: GLOSTER LEONIE DATE: 12/11/91 TIME: 00:52
Can we please have a status update on this call. Branch cso Managex informs that customex is getting extremely anxious - have you had any luck in re-producing this prob?? Regards, Leonie Glostex, Sth Reg Support Centre Mgr.

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIT DATE: 12/06/91 TTME: 00:37
talked to the people again, found out it does not hang the system it just keeps putting the status "printex not ready" up on the screen weather it is ready or not. Also got more of the failing part of the program out of the s/w house. "Getting info out of them is like pulling teeth." May have some bearing on the problem. Here it is.
```

-8540 FOR U=1 TO 5 : U1=1.

```
: SETECT E2
: COSUB '117("ERTNTER NOT AVAILABLE")
: \(18=23\)
: gosur 8290
: NEXTY U
: Smbect E
: \(\mathrm{U} 1=1\)
: GOTO 8310
-8542 DATA LOAD DA TP V9+19+\#TERM) UAS, VO
: IF UAS=" " AND VO=OTHEN 8540
: gosub r108
: GOSUA "100 (UAS, \(-24,1,1,3,0,1,1\) )
: V0=U
START AGAIN ON OTHER HALE OR PAGE. (UR)

ASSIGNED：BAHJA MICHAEL E DATE：12／05／91 TIME：18：28
Unable to duplicate your problem with the 2235．Tested today．Need moxe inf ormation．Results matched those found with the pM010．

\section*{ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE：12／04／91 TIME：19：11}

Can try it with the 2235 tomoxrow．Any printex built fox 2200 that begins with 22rx（21w／63／73）wiJ．l not require dxivers as they are directly compatibl e．The pm0rs printers xequixe dxivexs because they do not have specific 2200 interfinces．Di．d these GIOs ever work with the printex being used？Some printers may not work with these GIO．From what you gave me it appeared the pM010 used didn＇t respond properly but it did not cause any failuxe．In both cases it ran the same way but would take much longex if the printex was not s elected．

ASSTGNED：TOOTH NETS
DATE：12／03／91 TIME：20：5A
Can you＇iest this with a 2235 none dxiver loadable pxintex？ With this new system do we require print drivers loaded fox all printexs？

ASSTGNEN：RAHIA MICHAEL E DATE：12／02／91 TIME：18：52
Unable to duplicate youx pxoblem on \(1 . l t\) with information provided．Used GIO statements as given．Eliminated the GOSUB and used a pM010 printex with the epmolov2 driver installed．Rlease provide more info．If printer is SELECTED programs completes in about a second．If DESELECTED it takes 15 seconds to complete．

\section*{ASSIGNED：TOOTA NEXL DATE：11／28／91 TTME：18：11}

Any thing happening with this．Give me a sign．
```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/20/91 TIME: 19:02

```

Will t．xy to duplicate this problem with the information given．\(x\) believe this should woxk but am not cextain．Will talk to R\＆D and try to duplicate．
```

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NETL DATE: 11/19/91 TTME: 20:01

```

THE PTR PROBLEM C 900006125 WAS CORIED TO PTR PROBLEM P900006126
ON 1．1／20／01 © 11：00 BY PTR USER：TOOTH NETL
```

ASSIGNEN: TOOTH NEIL
DATE：11／19／91 TIME：20：00

```
－854A MAT REDTM US（4）64
：UZS＝BEX（0001）
：リS（）＝U3ScSTR（ \(\mathbf{U S}(1,1)\)
：SETO\＃15，（HEX（1212A0n0），U3\＄）US（）AAATHIS IS ONE OF THmMAA
：FOR U1＝3000TO 0STER－1．
：NEXT \(\mathbf{~ w h}\)
－8545 リЗS＝HE：（00RT）

：TF STR（U3 \(3,8,1\) ）\(=1 \mathrm{HEX}(10)\) THEN 8560
：リ3s＝\(=\)
：яото 153
－8560 SELECT E2
：GOSUR＇117（＂PRTNTER NOT READY＂）
： \(1 \mathrm{HA}=23\)
：gosub 8200
：SET．ECT \({ }^{2}\)
：EOTO B5A1
＊＊MORE＊＊
－
At＊This is the part of the program that fails＊＊＊
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { KA.0291s } \\
& 00.00 .00
\end{aligned}
\]} & WANGILABORATORIESIINC. & PAGE : 1 \\
\hline & PROBLM TRACKING AND REPORTING & 28 JUL 1992 \\
\hline & CUSTOMER COEPLETE DETAIL REPORT & 11:01:38 \\
\hline & HEA DER I EFTO & \\
\hline 1 & CUSTOMLR PROBLEM FUMBER C500016932 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NOMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C500016932 } \\
& \text { P1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST NAME: \\
CUST NUMBER:
\end{tabular} & NORTHEAST MEDICAL MANAGEMENT 0000003243474 \\
\hline PROBLTM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & IRIS REDASKI ) \\
\hline LINK TO PROB NO: & NOT LINEMD & CUST CONT PRONE: & -214-644-0660 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 8131 LBJ EWY STE 765 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & 2200-cs-386 & COST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL : & 2200 CS 386 CPD & CUST CITY: & DALLAS \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 0100 & CUST ST/PROV: & TX \\
\hline HIN MODEL NUMBER: & 2200-Cs-386 & CUST EIP: & 75251-0000 CUST RDB: 3521 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{SW VERSION:} \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNID: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & GOLDMAN ALAN R \\
\hline PART NOM REV: & & ORIG EMPL NO: & 00-41428 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SERIAL NUMBER:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Y03302} & ORIG PRONE: & - - - \\
\hline & & ORIG RDB: & 3520 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{FARI TRKG DATE:
L TRKG NO:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{00/00/00 00:00} & NETHORKED: & \(\mathbf{N}\) \\
\hline & & RES DEPLOYED: & BCE \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:} & DATE ENTHER PTR: & 06/08/92 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 06/19/92 & DATE TO RED: & 019920609 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0750 & MLSAYS IN RED: & 34.73 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TESTING & TOT WIDAYS OPEN: & 35.32 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMEARY :GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/08/92 TTME: 14:36 CS/386-10N SYSTHM BAS HAD I92 ERRORS ONGOING FOR SEVERAL MONYHS. ALSO, BAVE EXPERIENCED TTERKINAL HARGS. DPU AND DISK CONTROLLER HAVE BEEN REPLACED SEVERA I TTMES AS HAVE SEVERAL OTHER CONPONENTS. CUSTOMER SITUATION DICTATES THAT A SYSTEM REPLACEMENT BE PROCESSED SHOULD CSO BE UNABLE TO RESOLVE THIS SITUATIO N DURING THE NEXT 24-48HRS. CONTACT WAME FOR HOME OFFICE IS THE BRANCH MANAGE R, LFROY BARER AT 214-851-7777 OR PAGER AT 214-909-1398. SPECIIIC CONTIGURATI ON DETAILS FILL BE PROVIDHD BY LWROY IN THIS CALL. THIS RLAN IS APPROVED BY T HE REG DIRECTOR, JIM MESSER.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BABIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/28/92 THME: 11:00
HC789. Intermittent 192 problem resolved by removing extra addresses for same disk unit from Device Table. Problem caused by kaying Resirt when heavy dhak access occurring. Because a RrSET is a s/w function which needs to go . to each address in the device table, too much time was being taken by the RESET due to multiple entries for the same address.

DATE: 07/28/92 TIME: 09:52
please return the call to 3520 and thanks for your support.

\section*{ASSIGNHD: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 07/27/92 TIMF: 16:23}

Just back from vacation. If still agreeable will return call for closing since all Wang issues appear to be resolved \& problem has not re-occurred since correcting address issue with device table.

\section*{ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 07/27/92 TIME: 15:37}

Workstation repaired and new power supply installed. There appear no remainin \(g\) Wang hw issues. It would seem all that is left are data corrections and sw enhancements by the VAR (Dick Nobles). Conference call scheduled for Wed to inform customer of this status.

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 07/23/92 TIME: 12:07
Two additional terminals added along with another 1 meg memory. BM has reques ted two 50 foot ws cables from Wang Express. The original 2 meg memory board did not powerup but we had another onhand.

\section*{ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 07/21/92 TIME: 17:51}

Loaning customer 2 workstations/cables and 1 meg memory to get caught up on database corrections. Sceduled for installation tonight.

\section*{ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN \(R\) DATE: 07/20/92 TIME: 12:44}

System returned to Lowell last week. No further I92 errors. Remaining issues are between VAR and customer. Please return call to 3520 and thanks!

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/92 TIME: 14:27
Going on vacation for 2 weeks. Back 7/27. If need assistance in meantime send Fang Office to Michael Riley. If still no errors when return suggest we close call if agreeable.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHARL E DATE: 06/24/92 TIME: 15:34
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: WILLITS STEPHEN E DATE: 06/24/92 TIME: 11:50
CUSTOMER HAS RECEIVED SRARE EQUIPMENT ON 6-22-92. SYSTHM HAS FOT DISELAYED ANOTHER I-92 ERROR SINCE TMPRORER DISK ADDRESS ANTRIES IN QGENPARTI HERE CORRECTED ON 6-15-92. SPARE EQUIP TO BE MOVED TO WANG OFFICE BY 6-26 IF NOT NEEDED ON SITE. THANKS, STEVE FILLITS BM

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/19/92 TIME: 18:12
Downgraded to ESC per Alan Goldman. Cheryl Jennings called me last night indicating exchange equipment would ship today. Order was packed at 4PM but had not shipped yet. Dennis Daigle should be able to confimm shipment status Monday. Cheryl is out Monday \& Tuesday.

RROBLEM DETAII CUSTOMER RROBLIEM NUMBER C500016932

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(\mathbf{F}\)
DATE: 06/18/92 TIME: 11:30
Mike Bahia is not doing the shipping. Cheryl Jennings is handling the order for the exhange equipment. Used hardware was not available. Called Cheryl this AM. Current ESD was July 1. Asked Cheryl in field's behalf to expedite order. Need shipped ASAP. Have asked Cheryl to call me if there are any problems. Will update with new ship date when available.

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/17/92 TIME: 15:25
PUT PLAN TOGETHER FITH SW VENDOR TO CLEANUP DATAFILES AND RBMOVE DUPLICATE RE CORDS. WILL RETURN CALL TO REGION WHEN MIKE BAHIA SHIPS CONTINGENCY REPLACEME NT HW. THANKS FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

ASSIGNHD: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/16/92 TIME: 14:12
WE HAVE DISCOVERED THE CUSTOMER HAD IMPROPER DISK ADDRESS HNITRIES IN @GENRART WHICH ARE KNOWN TO CAUSE SPURRIOUS I92 ERRORS. OF COURSE, WE CANNOT CONEIRM THIS IS THEIR PROBLEM SINCE IT IS INTERMITTENT AND NOT TRACEABLE. HOWEVER, WE HILL MONITOR THE SITUATION AND FORK WITH THE VAR TO CLEANUP DATAFILES WHICH IS THE REAL CONCERN TO THE CUSTOMER AS INTEGRITY OF DATA IS POOR (DUE TO I92 ERRORS) .

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/15/92 TIME: 19:00
K, DISREGARD PREVIOUS UPDATE. WE HAVE NOT IDENTIFIED SOURCE OF THE I92 ERR OKS WHICH CAUSE DATA CORRUPTION. WE HAVE REQUESTED A SYSTEM REPLACEMENT VIA I INDA FIELDING/MIKE BAHIA AND NEED IT SHIPPED ASAP. WE ARE WORKING WITH THE VA R TO WRITE SOME ROUTINES TO RKMOVE DURLICATE RECORDS FROM CUSTOMERS FILES AND MINIMIZE THE IMPACT OF THE I92 ERRORS. RUNNING MIKES READ/HRITE TEST ROUTINE TONITE.

\section*{ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 22:39}

Jack, seems the hw has been stabilzed and remaining issues relate to sw which are to be addressed by a VAR. Please raturn call to 3520 for monitor. Thanks to Riley and Bahia for phone assistance.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATF: 06/12/92 TIME: 14:00}

Talked with Dennis Redwine today. He has been working problem at site. Customer was intermittently getting 192 errors to a DS. It appears after the error occurred they would just restart the same job thus creating double entries for those records that may have been updated before the 192 occurred on the first run. The double records were due to improper operator procedure following the error. When the error occurred steps needed to be taken to insure files were updated properly. Operator should have talked to programme \(x\) to find out what steps were needed to insure no problem. Continuing I92 was our problem. Dennis replaced the CPU board, Dual Controller, disk cable, DS board, internal DS cables, \(\&\) a Finc drive earlier this week. So far no problems. I92 with this hardware would usually be the Controller, DS board, or cabling between. Dual Controller should be a 210-9746. The 210-7342 Dual

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MTCHAELE DATE: 06/12/92 TTMS: 14:00}

Controllex had a problem which made it prone to I92, I90, and hangs under heavy access with a DS or Phoenix.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/92 TTME: 13:19
Why wasn't this call escalated month's ago if the problem has beon ongoing. This is very disappointing. Need more details on problem. Just back from Training. Please do not let these customars hang out there with on-going problems. Ne want to help. If a customar has a problem, there is no reason why we shouldn't be able to isolate that problem and if it is a Wang problem resolve it. Left message at office for Branch Manager to call me.

ASSIGNED: BAKER LIRROY A DATE: 06/12/92 TIME: 12:17
The CR has changed out the DPU bd. in the DS cabinet, duel controller, internal cables (A\&B), Duel controllar in CS, CPU card and external cable between the CS \(\varepsilon\) DS cabinats. The system appears to be stable at this time, however, we have been unable to load the correct operation system and are waiting on the customers analysis to modify application software before we will know if the problems have been resolved.

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/08/92 TIME: 15:02
ESCALATING PER CSO POLICY REGARDING EXCHANGES.

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/08/92 TIME: 15:01
THIS IS A CONTINGENCY PLAN SHOULD HE BE UNABLE TO REPAIR THE SYSTGM TO THE CU STOMERS SATISFACTION IN THE NEXT 24-48 HRS. RHCENT IMTO INDICATES THEIR OS MA Y BE SUSPECT. CE IS TO RELOAD OS AND RH-EXAMINE HM TOMORROW. MIKE RILEY IS SE SDING A NEM OS VIA FHDEX AND OFFICE (BOTH).

ASSIGNED: GOLDMAN ALAN R DATE: 06/08/92 TIME: 14:55
HQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT PAPERHORK IS BEIMG COMPLFTHD BY LAROY BAKHR.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C900006267 } \\
& \text { P2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: & SGESCO A1 00412130001 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
LINK TO PROB NO:
\end{tabular}} & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & BOB DORSETT \\
\hline & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & 07-617-251-7441 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 1334 IPSWICH ROAD \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SYSTEM MODEL NO: GEN SYST MODEL:} & 2200-CS-386 & CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline & 2200 CS 386 CPU & CUST CITY: & ROCKLEA \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & & CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
HH MODEL NUMBER: \\
SW MODEL NUMBER: \\
SW VERSION:
\end{tabular}} & CS/386-40D & CUST ZIP: & QLD - 410 CUST RDB: A6552 \\
\hline & & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{PART NUMBER:} & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline & & ORIG NAME: & DORSETT BOB \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PART NUM REV:
SERIAL NU:'BER:} & & ORIG EMPL NO: & A1-40297 \\
\hline & & ORIG PHONE: ORIG RDB: & A6538 \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: L TRKG NO: & 00/00/00 00:00 & NETWORKED: RES DEPLOYED: & N DTSE \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 12/15/91 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 12/15/91 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019911223 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0331 & WKDAYS IN R\&D: & 76.84 \\
\hline STATUS AZSREV: & INVESTIGAT & TOT WKDAYS OPEN: & 82.21 \\
\hline STATUS DESC: & INVESTIGATING & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PROBLEM SUMMARY :DORSETT BOB DATE: 12/15/91 TIME: 23:00} CS-386 CLOCK LOSES TIME OVERNIGHT

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/09/92 TIME: 08:51 HC318. Inaccurate time problem resolved by replacing clock chip with new RTC• chip (377-1137) with built-in battery and adding jumper wire from L20 pin 1 to L20 pin 24 and L28 pin 20. An ECO is not planned at this time as it is not cost justifiable.

PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING

ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB DATE: 04/08/92 TIME: 21:23
PLEASE RETURN CALL AS IT IS STILL LOCKED THKS BOB.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/92 TIME: 16:24
Unlinking call for purpose of closing as requested by field.
ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB DATE: 03/31/92 TIME: 23:05
ok have got that. will order the chip to do the upgrade. Might as well close the call and i will reopen it if I have further problems.. Thanks Bob D

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/09/92 TIME: 18:59
The new all in 1 clock/battery chip is part number 377-1137. This chip is currently used on the new 2200 CS/386 Turbo CPU Board. Replacement instructi ons:
1. Remove old clock chip at location \(\mathbf{L 2 0}\) of the daughterboard.
2. Unsolder and remove chip bed used at location L20.
3. Solder in new clock/battery chip (377-1137).
4. Add jumper wire from L20 pin 1 to L 20 pin 24.
5. Add jumper from L20 pin 1 to \(L 28\) pin 20.

ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB
DATE: 03/08/92 TIME: 20:09
could you please supply me of the details of how to modify the board. There is no saying we can even get the component needed but if I have details management might have to make a decision. Thanks Bob

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 03/03/92 TIME: 17:04
At this time it is unlikely an FCO would be approved because of the cost. Only 3 sites have complained of problems out of problem 2000 installs. Have a board for you that I am currently testing. Alternatively I could also give you the information needed to unofficially upgrade a board with a new clock chip. The procedure will require removing the current clock chip, unsolderin \(g\) the chip bed it was installed in, soldering in the new clock/battery chip, and adding 2 jumpers. Please let me know which way to proceed?

ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB
DATE: 02/25/92 TIME: 19:32
The answer of the logistics manager is that swapping boards would be a proble \(m\). His suggestion is could we get a FCO raised that could be inplemented in a ustralia Bob

ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB
DATE: 02/16/92 TIME: 20:15
Michael please delay sending this. There could be some problems with shippin g boards to/from australia. I am contacting the australian logistics manager so he can give the go-ahead

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 17:05 Have upgraded CS/386 daughterboard with new Real Time Clock chip with battery

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 17:05
built in. Testing at this time. This should resolve your clock problem. After testing board will send it to you with information on how to replace the clock on another board should it be necessary to replace this board. A good board must be returned to me as a replacement. Please provide mailing address. Will be on vacation from \(2 / 17\) through \(2 / 28\). If need assistance dur ing this time please send Wang Office to Mike Riley.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 11:08 Ignore linking comments, already linked. Please see 1st comment for this \(t\) date.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 11:05
Cannot link call as I am not the owner. Please see previous update.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 11:03
Hope to have board ready next week. Can you supply a mailing address? Linking to product call P2/16998 opened on this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/02/92 TIME: 16:28
After we make up a board with the new clock chip we will need a replacement b fd sent back to us. If all is agreeable we will move forward on updating a poard for you.

ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB DATE: 12/29/91 TIME: 18:39
cant get a hold of the software house at the moment but \(\mathbf{i}\) believe that this \(w\) ill be acceptable.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/23/91 TIME: 11:48
There is a known problem with the clock on thew 386 board loosing \(3-5\) minutes a week. We also have a new clock chip with a built-in battery which is being used on the new 2200 Turbo CPU which can be soldered on the 386 board to correct the problem. An ECO has not been written because there has only been
1 other call on this problem. Does this problem relate to just 1 system? How accurate does the customer require the clock to be? The new clock chip will probably be accurate to within a minute or less per week. Is this acceptible?

ASSIGNED: DORSETT BOB DATE: 12/15/91 TIME: 23:00
MEASURABLE CUMULATIVE TIME LOSS, WHEN LINKED TO A BUNDY TIME CLOCK SYSTEM CAUSES PROGRAMS TO ERROR. SYSTEM IS UP 100\% OF DAY, IT IS JUST LEFT TO RUN.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200017401 } \\
& \text { P2 } \\
& \text { ALRT }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
0 \\
: & 0
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1T & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 1T & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8332 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/06/92 11:52 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 10/17/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 741 & DATE TO R\&D: & 000000000 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TEMP FIX-I & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & CUSTOMER RESOLVE & BY INSTALLING TEMP & FIX @ S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/17/91 TIME: 09:08
The RENUMBER command is not working properly in some instances. Appears under certain unique conditions some program cross references cannot be found using the LIST\# command. When this happens, the RENUMBER command does not change the line addresses that it could not find with the LIST\#. In the particular case that we have duplicated, the problem is triggered by having a DATA . 5 at line 1225. If this command is moved to another line the problem disappears. If DATA is changed to REM the problem disappears. If the period is removed the problem disappears. The number after the period is not significant.
It appears that there are other possibilities that cause similar problems as the customer has other programs that will not RENUMBER properly which do not have a DATA . 5 command at line 1225. This problem does not occur with Maint Rel 1.1C.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 04/06/92
TIME: 11:41
Will fix in releace 2.00
\[
\text { FIXED in } 1.1_{2} .
\]

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
This problem has been resolved.

DATE: 04/03/92 TIME: 17:20
Please send back for closing.
DATE: 03/20/92 TIME: 18:49
Talked with VAR who was sent this fix. This problem is resolved but there is another problem with this released that they have not yet been able to o isolate. This new but as of yet unidentified problem did not exist with rel 1.1t. The customer is currently using 1.1t to run with but \(1.1 z\) to do RENUMBER. This call can be closed. Problem corrected with 1.1z.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 13:13
Talked with \(S / W\) vendor. Still has not tested on site. Waiting for confirmat ion of fix from site to close.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/08/91 TIME: 16:48
This problem is appears to be corrected with maintenance rel 1.1z. Sending Maint Rel \(1.1 z\) to customer site for testing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/17/91 TIME: 09:13
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/17/91 TIME: 08:36
LOAD a program such as @MRTIAN or @GENPART.
Execute a LOAD\# command and count the number of screens the cross referenced line numbers occupy.
Insert the following command at line 1225.
1225 DATA . 5 return
Execute the LOAD\# command \& count the number of screens the cross referenced line numbers occupy. It is signicantly less.
Have program that has been shortened to demonstrate problem if needed.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200017381 } \\
& \text { P3 } \\
& \text { INFW }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1T & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 0111 & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8332 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/06/92 11:52 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 09/06/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 741 & DATE TO R\&D: & 000000000 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TEMP FIX-I & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & CUSTOMER RESOLVED & D BY INSTALLING TEMP & FIX @ S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/06/91 TIME: 15:23 LIST' command incorrectly identifies multiple line numbers for some GOSUB commands listing line numbers where another GOSUB command is found. On the program provided there are REM commands following the GOSUB commands on each of these lines. This is true on most of the GOSUB lines but only these 3 show the problem. Making a change to the REM statement can change the problem but it doesn't seem to consistently follow a specific character in th e REM. All the characters in the REMs are Katakana.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 03/19/92
TIME: 11:28
This is fixed in latest O.S. Release...

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Please send call back to RDB 8760 so it can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E This problem is resolved. Please send back for closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/23/91 TIME: 15:01
Maint Rel 1.1 y has corrected this problem where LIST' does not correctly identify all GOSUB line references. However the 2 customers who were sent \(1.1 y\) are both experiencing hangs intermittently. This call can be closed. A new call will be opened for the hang problem if need be.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 11:23
This problem appears to be resolved with Maint Rel 1.1y. Sending 1.1y to field for testing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 10:10 Customer waiting for fix. When can we get a time frame?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 09/06/91 TIME: 15:55
Sending to 8332 for rewsolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/06/91 TIME: 15:23
Use the "TISEKI" program provided with PTR P2/17376, the Katakana RENUMBER problem. LOAD DCT"TISEKI" then CLEARP1,1599:CLEARP 1631,1840 LIST'
???? DEFFN' 76 - 1600
???? DEFFN' 78
- 160016051610
???? DEFFN' 84
- 16001605

For DEFFN' 78 line numbers \(1600 \& 1605\) should not be listed. Only GOSUB' 76 can be found on line 1600. Only GOSUB' 84 can be found on 1605. DEFFN' 84 incorrectly lists line number 1600. Again the only GOSUB on that line is GOSUB' 76. Making a change to the REM statements that follow the GOSUB commands on each of these lines can affect the problem.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY PROBLEM TYPE: PRE-RELEASE PROB: & P200017376 P1 PROB & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
0
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1T & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & -7 - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 0111 & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8332 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & RILEY J MICHAEL \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/06/92 11:52 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 08/22/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 741 & DATE TO R\&D: & 000000000 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TEMP FIX-I & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & \\
\hline STATUS DESC & CUSTOMER RESOLV & BY INSTALLING TEMP & FIX @ S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/22/91 . TIME: 13:07 RENUMBER command fails to correctly change line numbers used with GOTO statements under certain conditions. The program provided to duplicate the problem uses Katakana characters which may or may not be a factor.
The program provided has 199 lines of code with a high number of GOTO statements. Have only identified 3 GOTO statements within the program that fail. The 3 GOTO statements are found on lines 1530, 1550, and 1570 and all point to line 1630. The problem consistently will occur as the program curre ntly stands. Each of the 3 lines is preceded by a line with a GOSUB command followed by a REM statement which contains Katakana characters. Removal of almost any character or command from any of the 3 lines, 1520, 1540, or 1560 will cause the GOTO to come up with the correct line number after RENUMBER. ****When the failure occurs the line \# following each of the 3 GOTOs is always the new line number of the line where the correct command ended up. For Example: Before RENUMBER, GOTO command gives address 100. Address 100 becomes 200 after RENUMBER. The line that was 200 is now 300 after RENUMBER and that is where the GOTO points, 300.

WANG LABORATORIES INC.
PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING PRODUCT COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/92 TIME: 17:13
Please send this call back for closing!!!!!!!!
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 13:01
Please send call back for closing. This problem has been resolved.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/23/91 TIME: 15:05
The Katakana RENUMBER problem appears to be fixed with Maint Rel 1.1y.
However, since installing 1.1y, both customers receiving it have been experie ncing intermittent hangs. This call can be closed. anew call will be opened
for the hang problem if necessary.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 11:16
Problem appears to be corrected with Maint Rel 1.1y. Sending 1.1y out to field for testing today.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 10:01
Still waiting for fix on this problem. Customer is waiting. When can we expect fix?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/22/91 TIME: 13:46
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 08/22/91 TIME: 13:07
A 5 1/4" diskette has been provided with the program "TISEKI" on it. Suggest using a 2536DW with Katakana character set.
LOADDCT"TISEKI"
LIST 1500,1630
note: GOTOs on lines 1530, 1550, \& 1570. point to line 1630, PRINT" "
RENUMBER
LIST 1620,1790
note: these are the exact same lines as above after renumbering
Will now see that the GOTO commands which are now on lines 1630, 1650, 1670 point to address 1940. The PRINT command to which the GOTOs should point is on line 1790.
note: the command on line 1940 now being indicated by the GOTOs was
line 1790 before the RENUMBER which is now the address of the PRINT command.

\section*{HEADER INFO}

PRODUCT PROBLEM NUMBER P200017270
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200017270 } \\
& \text { P2 } \\
& \text { PROB }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & C5386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1M & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & -- - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 1M & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8332 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & RILEY J MICHAEL \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/06/92 12:23 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 05/30/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 741 & DATE TO R\&D: & 000000000 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TEMP FIX-I & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & CUSTOMER RESOLVED & D BY INSTALLING TEMP & FIX @ S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:40
A TC address can be hogged by 1 partition, but a 2nd partition can issue a \$CLOSE \& terminate the hog. A hog condition should only be clearable with a \$CLOSE from the partition that initiated the hog.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:40
From 1st Workstation run following program:
10 SELECT \#1 01C
20 \$OPEN \#1
30 KEYIN A\$
On 2nd Workstation do a LIST DT to verify address hogged and then key in:
\$CLOSE /O1C
Do another LISTDT \& the address can now be seen as unhogged.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/92 TIME: 16:56
Please send this call back for closing so that we don't have to keep looking at it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/16/92 TIME: 18:35
Field has verified problem resolved with Maint Rel 1.1y. Cust call has been unlinked. This call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 11:13
Problem appears fixed with Maint Rel 1.1y. Sending 1.1y to field today.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 09:56
Still waiting on fix for this problem. When can we expect a fix?
ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 08/09/91 TIME: 10:38
Fix will be in Rev. 1.2 of the CS386 0.S. ...
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:21
Any word on when we can expect to see a fix?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 18:09
This problem also exists on VLSI CPUs with the MXE port. Need to know if thi s is by design or just a problem that has gone unnoticed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 11:36
Field has tested this problem against maint rel 1.1s and still has a problem. If using standard TC addresses such as 01C or 01D problem is corrected, but
not if using a MXE TC port. To duplicate problem:
Boot system with MXE board. When setting up @GENPART assign only 3 terminals
\& 3 partitions leaving the 4th port of the MXE unused.
Run the following program from 1st terminal:
10 SELECT TC A04
20 \$OPEN /AO4
30 KEYIN A\$
On 2nd terminal:
LISTDT
\$CLOSE /A04
verify address A 04 is hogged. Then:
LISTDT can now see address A04 is unhogged.
Only the terminal that hogs the address should be able to clear it.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:18
Maintenance rel 1.1 s fixes this problem and was sent via Wang Office this AM to the field.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 11:21
Tested this problem against 1.1Q. With 1.10 cannot hog 01C with SELECT H ON or OFF. Also can only hog disk with SELECT H ON. SELECT H OFF is not
working.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 15:00
Sending call to RDB 8332 for resolution.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY PROBLEM TYPE: PRE-RELEASE PROB: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200017139 } \\
& \text { P2 } \\
& \text { PROB }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1A & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 1A & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8332 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & RILEY J MICHAEL \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/06/92 12:23 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 03/29/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 741 & DATE TO R\&D: & 000000000 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TEMP FIX-I & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & \\
\hline STATUS DESC & CUSTOMER RESOLVE & BY INSTALLING TEMP & FIX @ S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/29/91 TIME: 09:59 Intermittently if 2 different print drivers are actively being used at the same time and use different hex codes for example to turn on and off 'expande d print', the 0/S somehow gets the turn on or off code from the wrong driver. In the customer call initially resulting in this call, the customer was using the PM017V3 driver and a custom driver made for a NEC Pinwriter. The PM017V3 driver uses a hex 1B 5701 to turn on expanded print \& 1B 5700 to turn it off. The NEC Pinwriter driver used 1C 4502 to turn on expanded print and 1C 4500 to turn it off. With all 0/Ss through 1.1C the code to turn off expand ed print was also sent at the end of every line with these drivers. If both drivers were in use at the same time, intermittently the 1C 45 would be sent to the PM017 printer instead of the 1B 57. As this was not a recognized sequ ence, the 1 C was ignored as an unrecognized character \& the 45 would be printed resulting in a capital \(E\) on the end of a line. With the latest 1.2 \(3860 / S\), the code to turn off expanded print is no longer sent at the end of every line. But, by repeatedly turning expanded print on and off with 2 different drivers using the same code sequences, 1b 57 \& 1C 45, at the same time, intermittently an E will be generated on the PM017 immediately before or after the start of expanded print. If before print is not expanded \& if after print remains in expanded. Any partition can be used and it doesn't seem to matter if the printers are run from the system or terminal.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/92 TIME: 16:53
This problem has been resolved for 6 months. Please send it back for closing

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIME: 12:49 Please close call. Problem is resolved.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/30/91 TIME: 12:36
Please close this call or send it back for closing.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/16/91 TIME: 11:20
Problem resolved with Maint Rel 1.1s. This call can be closed. Please send call back for closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/12/91 TIME: 11:39
Talked with customer yesterday. "E" problem has not reoccurred since installing maintenance release 1.1s. Will be unlinking call to allow this ca II to be closed.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:19}

Maint Rel 1.1 s installed on site about 3 weeks ago \& looks good so far. If no problems in the next few weeks we will be closing this call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 18:03
Maint rel 1.1s has been delivered to the cust but not installed yet. It should fix this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:17
This problem appears to be fixed with maintenance rel 1.1s. In process of making arrangements to have fix installed on site.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 15:54}

Duplicated problem in 8th floor lab with \(0 / S 1.12 x\) as described in this call
for Taiwan R\&D. Taiwan R\&D to modify 0/S to capture E problem with ICE.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:39
has this problem been corrected in the latest 386 maint rel?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/29/91 TIME: 12:32
Sending to R\&D for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 03/29/91 TIME: 12:25
How to Duplicate continued. On terminal 2 run following program:
10 SELECT PRINT 204(80)
20 DIM A\$(5), B\$(8)
30 A\$() = "COMPANY X, ABC STREET, ANYTOWN, STATE"
40 B\$() = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ 1234567890 !@\#\$\%"
50 PRINT HEX(OE);A\$()
60 PRINT HEX(OF)
70 PRINT B\$();
\(80 Y=Y+1: \quad \mid F Y<3\) THEN 70

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E \(90 \mathrm{Y}=0\) : PRINT HEX(OD)
100 GOTO 50
Run both programs at the same time. Within a few pages on the PM017 a capital E in expanded print will be seen after the zip code in the expanded print line. We did not see it with this program, but you may also see an E in front of WANG on the expanded print line and the line in all small letters instead of expanded print.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/29/91 TIME: 09:59
To duplicate the problem we did find you must print from an array as opposed to a simple PRINT "ABCD" command. Using 2 @PMO17V3 drivers, and converting only the code to turn expanded print on and off to 1C 4502 and 1C 4500 on 1 we duplicated the problem using the latest \(1.20 / \mathrm{S}\) which has the expanded print code removed from the end of the line. Running the following program intermittently an E is printed on the end of the expanded print line within a few pages. The system was configured w/ 4100 Meg terminals/partitions. To dupe on term 1 run the following program w/ the @PM017V3 driver \& PM017:
10 SELECT PRINT 216 (132)
20 DIM A\$(5), B\$(8)
30 A\$() = "WANG LABS, 1 INDUSTRIAL AVE< LOWELL, MASSACHUSSETTS 01851"
'B\$() = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUWWXYZ 1234567890 !@\#\%"
Su PRINT HEX(OE);A\$()
60 PRINT HEX(OF)
70 PRINT B\$();
\(80 \quad Y=Y+1\) : IF \(Y<15\) THEN 70
\(90 Y=0\) : PRINT HEX(OD)
100 GOTO 50
Modify the @PM017V3 driver so that 1C 4502 turns on expanded print \& 1C 45 00 turns it off and install it for address 204 on terminal 2. On term 2 run:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY PROBLEM TYPE: PRE-RELEASE PROB: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200016998 } \\
& \text { P2 } \\
& \text { ESC }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
0
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 0108 & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS386 & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{SW VERSION:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{PART NUMBER: PART NUM REV:}} & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8332 \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & RILEY J MICHAEL \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/06/92 12:23 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 02/13/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H C 792 & DATE TO R\&D: & 000000000 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NOT ECONOM & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{STATUS CLOSE LVL:ALL} & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & \\
\hline STATUS DESC & NOT ECONOMICALLY & JUSTIFIED & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/91 TIME: 11:00 System clock is not accurate. At system site originating call time runs 2 to 3 minutes slow a week. In testing in house, lost 15 seconds in 48 hours. Reset \& reran with program from 6th floor lab and from Friday at 5PM until Tuesday morning, 3 1/2 days lost over 10 minutes. Two sites concerned with problem are police stations and time is critical as it is used in documenting all incoming calls to the station. The system is up at all times. Problem also duplicated in District office \& 6th floor lab.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 04/06/92
Modify CS386 CPU clock for this account..

TIME: 12:06

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/24/91 TIME: 11:23}

Now have 2nd call opened by Australia on clock problem. See PTR C9/6237. Field for original call would also like to get 2nd CPU brd upgraded for on-si te emergency backup so there call can be closed. So at this time we need 2 boards, the backup \& at least 1 more for Australia. When can we get the boar ds?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/08/91 TIME: 16:50
Field needs decision on ECO. Has backup board been made yet?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 11:08 Clock is running very well. Over last few weeks only lost 9 seconds. Need to pursue ECO. Also need to have 2nd board for backup.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 09:47
Updated CS/386 with new battery clock installed at customer site back in September. Seems to be workings. Have not had update from field in week \& 1/2.

ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 08/09/91 TIME: 10:34
Getting CS386 CPU soon, will modify with new clock unit and test a customer location....

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:16
When will testing be done on new clock chip?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 18:02 Still waiting for fix. When can we exopect something?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 15:37
Update acknowledged. When might we have a fix available?
ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 10:19
This requires a Hardware fix... Working on it... JMR
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:36
Need fix for this problem. The particular \(S / W\) vendor involved has several po lice stations concerned about this problem. Expecting a 2nd PTR to be opened shortly for same prob.

ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 04/05/91 TIME: 09:53
Taiwan is working on a fix to the RTC circut...JMR

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Have heard back from customer. minute a week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/22/91 TIME: 11:46

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/22/91 TIME: 11:46
Please provide a forcasted date for fix.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/91 TIME: 11:12
Sending to R\&D for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/91 TIME: 11:00 Run a clock program against an accurate clock.

HEADER INEO
CUSTOMER PROBLGM NUMBER C900006277

```

PROBLEM SUMMARY :OKADA MARIRO DATE: 12/17/91 TIMN: 20:53
Ra: C900005238 \& C900005627
System config: Cs386, 0/s 1.1y
Problem: CPU hangs intermittently
The initial escalation to ASC is by CTC/MCL/
Rgds.
Mariko
RESOLUTION TEXT :BARIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/07/92 TTME: 17:07
HC643. CPU hang problem corrected by replacing the 386 CPU board. Returning call to field to be closed as requested.

```

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 04/07/92 TIME: 03:37
To R\&D: Michael, please transfer call back for closure. Regards.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 04/02/92 TIME: 20:45
TO Mike \& HK:
The system has been running OK for 5 weeks after CPU board replaced. CTC agreed that the problem was residing on the hardware and accepted to close this call. Thank you for your help and pl. de-escalate this call to close. Rgds.
Mariko

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/11/92 TIME: 18:52
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 03/10/92 TIMF: 20:51
TO HK:
It's been 2 weeks. So far good.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 03/09/92 TIME: 02:08
To Japan: Mariko, how is the problem status after replacing CPU board \& check cables ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/03/92 TIME: 16:53
Update acknowledged. If \(1.1 z\) does not correct would still suggest going back to the last \(0 / S\) that worked without hangs for just 1 week to verify problem is \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) related. This would be fastest way to isolate problem to \(\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}\) or \(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{w}\).

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 02/21/92 TIME: 03:08
TO Peter \& HO:
1. The customer is not using 'Hog Platter'
2. CTC is now replacing CPU board \& checking cables. If problem remains after this, they agree to upgrade to 1.1z a.s.a.p.
Rgds.
Mariko

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 02/16/92 TIME: 22:56
To Japan: Mariko, does customer use "Hog Platter"? OS 1.1z has the fix on OS dead lock on platter open under some "Select H ON" conditions.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 02/16/92 TIME: 21:50
To Miochael:
Verified with customer that they are still experiencing the hang onece every two days.
Rgds.
mariko

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 15:40}

It sounds like the hang problem does not concern the customer which seems very odd to me. How often does it hang?
All these operating systems work basically the same. If a problem is found it is corrected but sometimes in fixing one thing something else gets damaged - There is no SRN for 1.1 as it is only a maintenance release. Will resend you the Release notes for 1.1 z . It lists all the bugs fired since the last general release, 1.1 , and indicates which \(0 / s\) the fix came in. You can the \(s\) ee all the fises made since \(1.0 b\) since they are all in order. Assuming the hang is an \(0 / S\) bug which \(I\) am not sure wa know for certain, it obviously resulted in fixing something else. On vacation from 2/17 through 2/28. If need assistance send Wang Office to Mike Riley.
```

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 02/09/92 TIME: 21:27

```
To Michael:
    =y to reply you so late.
\(I\) 've talked to the vendor regarding the \(0 / \mathrm{s}\) downgrade. Regarding them that
the customer doesn't want to downgrade the \(0 / S\) because \(1.1 y\) fixed the GOSUB \&
RENUMBER problem and also they are satisfied with it. They are wondering how
\(1.1 z\) works that's why they havn't done the upgrade. Is it possible to obtain
the SRN of 1.1z? Also 1.OB which is the former \(0 / \mathrm{s}\) to \(1.1 y\) and they have
used for 2 years without intermittent hang problem.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/92 TIME: 12:39

Need details on how to duplicate problem to proceed with fix. Could we go back to the last \(0 / S\) that did not have this problem for a short period just to insure this is a new problem that was caused in fixing 1 of the last few \(p\) problems.

\section*{ASSIGNED: FONG TAK LAM DATE: 01/24/92 TIME: 04:32}

To Japan: Mariko, please verify whether it is terminal/cable problem by swapping the terminal connections between the two texminal controller.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 01/15/92 TIME: 21:53
To Michael:
They've swapped the 1 st \(\&\) 2nd board already and the problem remained then replaced the 2nd one with new one. On the 2nd board, they can't specifiy the workstation which hangs.

\section*{ASSIGNED: ORADA MARIKO}

DATE: 01/15/92 TIME: 21:53

\section*{Rgds.}

Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
This could be a problem with the 2nd MES board, a terminal connected to that board, or an environmental problem at 1 of the terminals. Replace the 2nd Mxe again if the problem keeps occuring but change the 1at Mx: to be the 2nd board if it has never failed and make the new axs the 1st board. If the problem seems to be generated from a particular terminal on the 2nd mXE, if possible swap the terminal cable with a port on the 1st sus. This should help isolate the problem.

\section*{ASSIGNED: ORADA MARIRO \\ DATH: 01/07/92 TIME: 22:23}

To Michael
The followings are the answer for your question on 12/25/91.
1. No application, operation, user can be specified for this problem
2. The problem is happening always on the \(w / s\) on the second interface. They have two 2236 ME and this problem only occurs on the w/s connected to the second interface. The board is replaced but problem stays.
3. If hang occurs 6 RESET is pressed, the screen goes blank except cursor. Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE DATE: 01/02/92 TIME: 16:07
Maint Rel \(1.1 z\) has been brought to the mailroom. It should be received \(y\) early next week. Need to provide details on problem as previously requested to go farther.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 12/27/91 TIME: 00:39
To Japan: Please answer the questions in Michael's 12/25 update.
ASSIGNED: ORADA MARIKO DATE: 12/26/91 TIME: 22:12
To: Michael
Update akn'd.
The mailing address for \(1.1 z\) will be sent via Wang Office.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/24/91 TTME: 10:30
Need more specifics on problem. When system hangs, we need to know what user \(s\) were doing what \(\&\) if the problem seemed to be related to 1 specific user, When the hang occurs, what happens if you key Reser? We do have a later \(0 / \mathrm{S}\), \(1.1 z\) I could send you but \(I\) have know idea if it will resolve your problem s ince we do not know exactly what your problem is. If you want the new 0/s provide me with a mailing address.

```

ASSIGNED: PONG TAK LAM DATE: 12/20/91 TIME: 01:55

```

To H.O. : Problem frequency is around 1 to 3 times a day. Problem started to happen 3 weeks ago after \(0 S\) was updated to \(1.1 y\) to fix problem in C9-5238 \& C9-5627. Need to power off/on CPU to recover. Regards.

ASSIGNED: FONG TAK LAM DATE: 12/18/91 TIME: 22:16
To Japan: Call ack'd. Will investigate. (Simon Chui)
ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 12/17/91 TIME: 22:24
To: CSO/Japan, PTR is accepted in ASC at Dec 18, 91.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 12/17/91 TIMI : 20:53
Running \(0 / S 1.1 Y\) and CPO intermittently hangs.


\section*{PROBLEM DETAII} CUSTOMER PROBLEM NUMBER C800014506

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 03/16/92 TIME: 17:31}

Thanks willem. Unlinking call for purpose of returning to field for closing. Problem resolved with new \(0 / S\).
```

    ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEMM DATE: 03/13/92 TIME: 05:21
    ```

Thanks for your input on this one. You can sebd call back. I've verified with Field and problem seems to be solved. Appol. for delay.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/03/92 TIME: 16:07
please update. Has fix been verified on site????
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 02/13/92 TIME: 15:59
please respond. Has fix been tested on site?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAGL E DATE: 01/31/92 TIMF: 09:56
Please update. Has fix been verified on site? Would like to get this call closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 01/03/92 TIME: 09:16
Please update call. Has \(0 / S\) been installed on site \(\&\) has customer verified \(t\) the problem is corrected?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHABL E DATE: 12/12/91 TIME: 10:10
Has new \(0 / S\) been installed on site? Have all problems been resolved?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/06/91 TIME: 12:07
Let's keep this open until you verify the fix in case there is a problem with the \(0 / s\) sent. Want to make sure the fix is confirmed in case there was something a little different in the way \(I\) was testing.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SIOEP WILLEM DATE: 11/05/91 TIME: 03:31}

Have received the release through wo. Have seen in the readme file that the problem is fixed. Will download it to 2200 format and test it ASAP.
Meanwile, thanks for the follow up and you may close this call.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/30/91 TIME: 11:17
Maint Rel 1.1y sent to you via Wang Office this AM. Please update when recei ved.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 09:22
Have a fix for your problem. New maintenance release \(1.1 y\) corrects. Will send \(1.1 y\) to you via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 08:04
Still waiting on fix. R\&D is here now \& we may be able to get this resolved shortly.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Awaiting fix from Taiwan R\&D.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 08/01/91 TIME: 14:54
Request has been made to Taiwan R\&D to fix this problem.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 16:51
This problem also exists on the vLsI. Right now we are researching our exist ing documentation to detexmine if there was a reason for this to work this way. We do not think there is but we want to make sure so that people upgrad ing to the 386 do not have unnecessary problems. On vacation for next 2 weeks. If have any imediate concerns or problems please contact Mike Riley by Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/91 TIME: 16:22
Expect to have fiss for this problem this week. Will keep you posted.
ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TOM DATE: 07/08/91 TIME: 09:40
Mike,
I tested the problem the way you described.
gorry for the inconvenience asuming you used Axe addresses.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 09:26
Was testing this with addresses 01C \& 01D with the following program.
10\$OREN /01C
20KIEYIN A\$
This failed as indicated with \(1.1 \mathrm{~m} \&\) is fixed with \(1.1 s\). That is if a \$CLOSE
was issued from a 2nd terminal the hog could be cleared.
Tested with an MXE port used for TC and it does still fail.
10SELECT TC A04
20\$OREN /A04
30KEYTN A\$
With \(1.1 s\) a \(\$ C L O S E\) from a 2nd partition will still clear the hog. This is why it is critical to complete the 'How To Duplicate' section. Please explain how you are testing problem if different from what \(I\) have indicated in this 'Status Coment'.

ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TOM DATE: 07/04/91 TIME: 10:04
I'm sorry but the problem is still the same under 1.13
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 11:33
This problem is fixed with Maintenance rel 1.1 s sent to you this AM via Wang office. Please update when received.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/18/91 TIME: 08:47
We are expecting a new \(0 / S, 1.1 R\) this week. Taiwan R\&D is expected here toda 4

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/18/91 TMAS: 08:47
y or tomorrow so this should speed up a resolution.
ASSIGNED: SLOEP MILLEM DATE: 06/18/91 TIME: 04:30
Please update us with your results with 1.12 . If solved when will we recieve the fixes?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TTME: 10:21
Tested this problem against 1.19. With 1.19 cannot hog 01C with SELECT \(H\) ON Or OPF. Also can only hog disk with SELECT H ON. SELECT H OFF is not
working.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 14:20}

This problem may be resolved in maintenance rel 1.10 received today. Will test out \& forward O/S if ok. Otherwise Taiwan R\&D will be in Lowell on Mond ay \& would expect to have this problem fixed shortly thereafter.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL \(E\) DATE: 05/30/91 TIMR: 14:03
Product PTR P200017270 opened against this problem \& sent to R\&D for resolution. Linking this call to P200017270.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL F DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 10:51
Ignore previous 2 comments. Was able to duplicate problem. Problem may have been already reported, so may have fix soon. Upgraded call to P2 as asked. please complete 'How to Duplicate' field on all future calls.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAFL E DATE: 05/30/91 TINE: 10:12
Could not reproduce problem. Ran following program on workstation 1:
10 SELECT H ON
20 SELECT \#1 D\#Q
30 \$OPEN \#1
40 KEYIN A\$
Did a list DT from w/s 2 to verify D32 hogged which it was: /D32-01
Then keyed in \$CLOSE /D32 \& REMURN but D32 remained hogged as verified by LIST DT \& a hang on LISTDCT/D32
Please always fill in how to duplicate. It usually will save time. Await yo ur response on how to duplicate.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAHL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 10:05
Could not reproduce problem on 1.1 M . On workstation 1 ran following program:
10 SELECT H ON
20 SELECT \#1 D32

ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TOM
DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 04:13
THis problem was created under \(P 3\) priority due to customer pressure please up grade to p2

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/27/91 TIME: 07:43
problem found in 1.1 M as well as on older Cs386 releases.


ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/23/91 TIME: 13:55
New call, C9/6277 has not been received.
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 12/22/91 TIME: 20:50
To H.O.: Michael, C9-6277 had been escalated to you to track the CPU hangup problem. As the BASIC LIST statement problem has been fixed by OS l.ly, pls de-esc this PTR for closure. Regards.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 12/17/91 TIME: 20:45
To Mike:
The original problem for this call has been fixed by 0/S 1.ly. C9-6277 has been opened for the \(0 / S\) hang problem. Pl. de-esclate this call for closure. Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 12/12/91 TIME: 00:09
To Mike:
Updat ackn'd.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/11/91 TIME: 18:02
If both sites are hanging we probably have created a bug. Have the customer document each failure. When, what terminals were in uses what was running, what symptoms were see, and how was the problem cleared.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 12/10/91 TIME: 23:53
To Mike:
Same status as c9-5238.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/02/91 TIME: 17:13
Any hangs at this site?
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 11/27/91 TIME: 20:36
To Mike:
Investigating system hang problem as C9-5238.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/20/91 TIME: 16:36
Update acknowledged. That is agreeable.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 11/18/91 TIME: 23:57
To Peter:

\section*{ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO}

DATE: 11/18/91 TIME: 23:57
CTC started l.ly at the customer site and it's been about a week. They want to monitor it at least one more week.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 11/18/91 TIME: 23:22
To Japan: Mariko, any test result yet ?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/08/91 TIME: 15:09
Update acknowledged. Will wait for results from testing on site.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 11/06/91 TIME: 21:21
To Michael:
Waiting for the on-site test result as C9-5238.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/06/91 TIME: 12:09
Update acknowledged.
ASIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 11/01/91 TIME: 02:22
1ichael:
Received l.ly and passed it to the field. Wili let you know the result of it Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/30/91 TIME: 11:20
Maint Rel l.ly sent to you this morning via Wang Office. Please update when received.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 21:16
To Michael:
Update ackn'd.
Thanks.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 09:27
Problem is resolved with latest Maint Rel, l.ly. Will send to you today.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 08:25 R\&D is here now. Should be able to get fix in next week or 2 .

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 10/15/91 TIME: 01:46 Michael, any update from R\&D ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/03/91 TIME: 13:41
Problem I believe has been either fixed or identified already, but have not received fix. Will see if we can speed things up \& get an O/S out to you that fixes the problem.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 10/02/91 TIME: 22:26
To H.O.: Michael, any status update from R\&D ?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/12/91 TIME: 10:24
Update acknowledged. R\&D has info to fix problem \& hope to have fix shortly.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 09/11/91 TIME: 19:23
To: Michael
Your comment on \(9 / 07\) is the problem for this call.
When LIST', it generate the line \# which does not have GOSUB' routine.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/06/91 TIME: 14:57
Product Problem PTR P2/17381 opened on this problem. Linking call to P2/17381. Please see previous comment.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/06/91 TIME: 13:47
Am not certain what exactly your problem is. Have found problem though with LIST' command. Using program sent for RENUMBER problem per C9/5238, when do LIST'

2 lines come up with illegal references
???? DEFFN' 78
- 160016051610
???? DEFFN' 84
- 16001605

GOSUB ' 76 is the only GOSUB on line 1600, GOSUB ' 84 is the only GOSUB on line 1605, and GOSUB '78 is the only GOSUB on 1610. So DEFFN' 78 has 2 incorrect references, DEFFN' 841.巨院
Is this the problem you are escalating???? If not please :poride sample program.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM
DATE: 09/04/91 TIME: 03:24
Initial escalate to \(R \& D\) for assistance. Duplication material is available when needed. Pls advise.
(Simon Chui)
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM
DATE: 09/04/91 TIME: 03:20
Mariko, s/w have been rec'd.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 08/29/91 TIME: 00:42

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 08/29/91 TIME: 00:42
I've sent you the floppy(CS386 formated) which has the dup program today.
This problem is LIST statement problem not the GOSUB points wrong line \# after renumber like C9-5238.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 08/28/91 TIME: 19:51
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 08/27/91 TIME: 20:24
Mariko, Pls confirm whether this problem is similar to C9-5238. (Simon)
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 08/26/91 TIME: 00:41
To: Hong Kong
Since we don't have CS386 in WCL, this is test at CTC.
The problem is occuring on ver. l.OB, l.1S \& 1.20.
Have asked CTC to send me the program on a diskette, because COPY2200 doesn't work properly sometimes.
Regards.
Mariko
SSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 08/22/91 TIME: 19:59
. .riko, Have you "LIST" the program in hardcopy, is it the same result? Have you tested with other CS386 OS such as l.l(?) version? Pls send the program and duplication procedures to us. (Simon Chui)

ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 08/21/91 TIME: 20:37
To: CSO/Japan, PTR is accepted in ASC at Aug 22, 91.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 08/21/91 TIME: 01:56
1. write a program which has GOSUB' statement
2. use LIST' statement to output the subroutine list
3. it DEFFN' lines which does not have GOSUB' statement


PROBLEM SUMMARY :OKADA MARIKO DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 23:17
System config.: CS386 BASIC-II 1.2 (1.OB, 1.14, 1.1B)
Problem: If the source list is RENUMBERed, the branch address of 'GO TO' and
'GO SUB' statement is changed incorrectly.
The source print out is obtained from CTC.
Pl. let me know the person whom the list can be sent to via fax.
The initial escalation to ASC is by CTC/WCL.
Regards.
Mariko

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/24/91 TIME: 10:05
Problem with RENUMBER not correctly changing all referenced addresses for GOTOs \& GOSUBs in Katakana corrected with 0/S l.ly. Closing call as requeste d by field.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E}

DATE: \(12 / 23 / 91\)
TIME: 14:10
New call has not been received yet. Have new maint rel l.lz. Do not know if
it will resolve the hang problem as we don't know what's causing the hang. Will send it to you and you can try it.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM
DATE: 12/22/91 TIME: 20:45
To H.O.: Michael, C9-6277 had been escalated to you to track the CPU hangup problem. Since OS l.ly has fixed the BASIC statement problem in this PTR, pls de-esc this PTR for closure. Regards.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 12/17/91 TIME: 20:42
To Mike:
The frequency of this problem is max. 3 times a day.
Since this call fixed the original problem, new call C9-6277 is opened for \(0 / S\) hang problem. Pl. de-escalate this call for closure.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: \(12 / 12 / 91\) TIME: 00:14
To Mike:
Update aknw'd.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/11/91 TIME: 17:58
Update acknowledged. This would indicate a possible 0/S bug or a hardware problem with the CPU brd, MXE, or possibly an environmental issue. Need to d etermine what was being done at the time of the hang. If it is a particular program or function most likely we have another 0/S bug. Hardware or environ mental problems such as power or static would normally be more random. Best thing to do is have the customer document every hang. When it happened, who was doing what, what terminals were affected, \& what had to be done to clear the problem. Reloading the previous \(0 / S\) might be a quick way to determine if the \(0 / S\) is causing the problem. How often does it fail?

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 12/10/91 TIME: 23:51

\section*{To Mike:}

I've received the answer from field for your questions as follows:
1. To clear the problem -- power off/on the CPU.
2. IF the RESET is keyed -- the terminal blank except for a cursor Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/02/91 TIME: 17:13
When the system hangs, how is the problem cleared? If RESET is keyed does th e terminal blank except for a cursor? \(0 / S\) bugs usually pertain to specific \(p\)

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/02/91 TIME: 17:13
rograms. If READY appears when RESET is keyed, may have disk hang unrelated to \(0 / S\).

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 11/27/91 TIME: 20:30
To Mike:
The cusotmer has been running l.ly for about 2 weeks at their site. Since l.ly installed, the system intermittently hangs. The field is now investigating the problem. I'll let you know the status.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/20/91 TIME: 16:34
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 11/18/91 TIME: 19:23

\section*{To Peter:}

They've started using l.ly at the customer site already and it's been a week. CTC wants to monitor another week.
Rgds.
Mariko
jSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 11/18/91 TIME: 03:07
Mariko, any test result from user site yet ?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/08/91 TIME: 15:07
Update acknowledged. Will wait.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 11/06/91
TIME: 21:17
To Michael:
The in-house test result is good. Since the customer has many programs on their system, we'd like to wait for the on-site test result before closing this call. It will take about 2 weeks. Is it OK?
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/06/91 TIME: 12:08 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 11/01/91 TIME: 02:18
To Michael:
Received \(1 . l y\) and passed to the field already. Will let you know the result of it.
Rgds.
Mariko

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/30/91 TIME: \(11: 19\)}

Maint Rel l.ly sent to you this AM via Wang Office. Please update when received.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 21:14
To Michael:
Thank you for the fix.
Will wait for the fix file.
Rgds.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/29/91 TIME: 09:25
Have fix for your problem. Resolved with Maint Rel l.ly. Will send out today.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/91 TIME: 08:15
R\&D in Lowell tomorrow. Should be able to get fix done shortly.
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM
DATE: 10/15/91 TIME: 01:28
Michael, any update from R\&D ?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/03/91 TIME: 13:00
Believe R\&D has fixed or knows what problem is, but have not received an \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) yet. Will see if we can speed things up \& get a fix to you.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 10/02/91 TIME: 22:29
To H.O.: Michael, any fix from R\&D yet ?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/06/91 TIME: 13:57
Hopefully we have a clear understanding. When the program sent is RENUMBERed the only errors that occur are the 3 GOTO commands on lines 1530,1550 , \& 1570 now point to the wrong command line. If this is correct we should have a fix soon.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 09/04/91 TIME: 20:05
To: Michael
No other RENUMBER problem is reported from the field so far. Thank you for your suggestion.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/03/91 TIME: 10:22
Please update. Are there any other problems created by the RENUMBER besides the 3 GOTO statements on the ends of lines 1530, 1550, and 1570. Expect to have a fix soon. If there are other problems we may need to go back thru R\&D again.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/22/91 TIME: 08:04
Have been working on this problem. When the program was RENUMBERed found only problems with the GOTO statements that were on lines 1530, 1550, \& 1570. These 3 lines ended with 'GOTO 1630'. At line 1630 there is a PRINT "ERR " command. After RENUMBERing these GOTO statements were on lines 1640,1660, \& 1680 and all point to line 1940, an IF/THEN command when they should point to line 1790 where the PRINT"ERR " command now resides.
****Need to know if there are any other known problems in this program that you or the customer are aware of resulting from the RENUMBER command???? Have found that almost any change to the REM statements on lines 1520, 1540, or 1560 or removal of the GOSUB command at the beginning of the line will result in the correct GOTO line number after RENUMBER. Will be sending call off to R\&D to be corrected. Please update with any other problems known if any resulting from RENUMBER.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/15/91 TIME: 09:46
股ve received diskette \& program is readable. Will be working on problem day or tomorrow.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/06/91 TIME: 11:54
Will update you as soon as received.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 08/05/91 TIME: 20:03

\section*{To Michael:}

I've sent you the floppy which contains the program via FEDERAL EXPRESS today Could you pl. try it from the floppy.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/05/91 TIME: 14:59
Unable to get program you sent off system. Tried both the copy sent around the lst of July and the copy resent to Mike Riley around July 24 th. Must use COPY2200 program on VS to put program on properly as we are using it to take it off. Please resend program using COPY2200 to put on system or advise. Try removing from system yourself using COPY2200. Await your reply.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 08/04/91 TIME: 20:02

\section*{To Michael:}

Thank you for the update. Will wait for the Mike's test result.
Regards.
Mariko

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/01/91 TIME: 14:52 Waiting for Mike Riley to get program off system as I do not have necessary archiver. Expecting this to be done very shortly \& hopefully will be able to test out by Monday.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 07/31/91 TIME: 18:38
To Michael:
Will wait for your test result.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/31/91 TIME: 08:34
Back from vacation. M Riley has not tested yet. Will get program \& try to reproduce.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/25/91 TIME: 21:10
Sample program \& the PTR print file WO to Mike Riley on 7/24/91. Request for problem verification.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/18/91 TIME: 03:26
Wang Office sent to Mike Riley to ask for testing status.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 15:28
Mike Riley does have the program and I have already asked him to look at it. It is best to contact him through Wang Office while I am gone.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 19:28
To Michael:
Could you pl. forward the program to Mike Riley?
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 15:32
Program received on Wang Office. Unsure if will be able to get to by tomorrow. Will be on vacation from July 13 thru the 27 th. If this issue needs attention before then send Wang Office to Mike Riley.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM
DATE: 07/10/91 TIME: 19:28
The sample program from Japan has been sent to Michael Bahia via WO today.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 07/10/91 TIME: 19:19
To Michael (Home Office):
I've sent the program to you via Wang Office on \(06 / 27\) but it's not delivered to you. Japan is having directory problem in these days.
To Peter (Hong Kong):
Could you forward the program which I've c.c. to you on \(06 / 27\) to Michael?

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 07/10/91 TIME: 19:19
Since WI000207 (ACC for Japan) is having Wang Office directory problem, I can not send Michael any mail via Wang Office at this moment.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/10/91 TIME: 17:29
Update acknowledged. Please send software for problem duplication with
instructions as needed: My address is:
WANG, 1 Industrial Ave
Lowell, MA 01851
Attention: Mike Bahia
M/S 014-A3A
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 07/09/91 TIME: 19:15
To Michael:
l.lS did not work for this problem unfortunatelly.

Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/91 TIME: 16:29
yo received the software. Please test against Maintenance Rel l.ls.
vacation from 7/15 until 7/29 so please try to test out \& provide feedback this week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/27/91 TIME: 07:52
Update acknowledged. Will be looking for it.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 19:17

\section*{To Michael}

I've received the dup program from the vendor. Will send it to you via Wang Office. Pl. use COPY2200 to copy it.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 09:44
Please hurry. The Taiwan R\&D Engineers are here now and have already fixed the other Katakana bug reported in PTR C9/4686. If we can get the program quickly \& reproduce the problem, we should be able to get the fix done quickly. At this time the Taiwan R\&D people are planning to fly home on July 5th. Please let me know when \& how sent.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/25/91 TIME: 20:40
To Japan: Mariko, have you sent out the sample program to H.O. yet ?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 08:34

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 08:34 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
To Michael
I'll prepare the sample program and send it to you.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/14/91 TIME: 15:14
Cannot duplicate problem yet because cannot identify some of the Katakana characters used. Next week some of our R\&D group will be in Lowell to work on these bugs and some new products being developed. They too are not familiar with Katakana. We need the program you supplied simplified or a disk sent to us with the program on it to reproduce. If you simplify the program we will need you to clearly identify the Katakana characters used so we can reproduce the problem. The best solution would be to send a simplifie d version of the program to us on a 2200 floppy. If we can get this while \(R \& D\) is still here we can probably fix the problem quickly.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 23:14
To Michael:
The first page (BEFORE RENUMBER), line 1520, 1540 \& 1560 after REM statements are KATAKANA comment. Haven't secified which character is causing this probl em yet.
Thanks.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 13:41
Received your FAX on the program listing. Can see the problem but having trouble with some of the Katakana characters. On your FAX there are some Katakana characters written above "Before Renumber" on lst page \& above "after Renumber" on the 2nd page. Are those Katakana characters from 1 of the lines in the program? If so, what line? If not, what is the significanc e of those characters? Have you tried further simplifying the program to see if it still occurs. In process of trying to obtain Katakana proms for problem duplication.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/10/91 TIME: 19:46
To H.O.: The sample source program listings before \& after renumbering, has been sent to Michael Bahia via WO today. Regards.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/06/91 TIME: 08:07
No, I meant a 2nd completely different CPU. If this problem is tied to the REM problem from C9/4686 it may not be necessary to try 2nd CPU. Do need to know all characters which when put in the REM statement cause a problem to

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/06/91 TIME: 08:07}
insure all get fixed. Have keyboard layout to look at which I could FAX you \& you could then circle all characters \& send back by FAX if that is easier. My FAX \# is 508-967-3213.

\section*{ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/06/91 TIME: 04:29}

To H.O.: Please advice your FAX\# so that I can FAX over the sample program to you. Regards.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 19:01
To Mike:
Would like to verify that you mean 2nd CPU is configuring the CPU \# as '2'?
The problem seems like occuring when there's REM statements with KATAKANA before or after "GOTO" or "GOSUB" statements.
regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 16:35
Has this problem been verified on a 2 nd CPU and workstation as this problem should have nothing to do with the workstation? Please test on a 2nd system.

Does this problem occur on every program RENUMBERED? Please try a simple 2
3 line program with a GOTO and test. If there is a problem most likely se is a particular line in the program causing it. Need to have that information.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 06/03/91 TIME: 20:30
To H.O.:
2436 KATAKANA W/S is used. They do not have 2536DW.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/03/91 TIME: 16:31
Can this problem be duplicated with the 2536DW workstation? What is the model \# of the workstation being used?

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/03/91 TIME: 00:10
To H.O.: This problem can be duplicated within Katakana W/S. The sample BASIC program can be sent over for duplication. Please advice.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 06/02/91 TIME: 22:28
To: Peter
The dup. procedure is as follows:
1. write BASIC program with GOTO or GOSUB commands on KATAKANA w/s
2. renumber that program
3. check the branch address of GOTO or GOSUB statements
4. the branch address is incorrect

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 06/02/91 TIME: 22:28
The above steps are the dup proc. But it would be easier to send you the src print out because they are only 2 pages.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/02/91 TIME: 22:08
To Japan: Besides sending the source listing, please give a detail step by step problem duplication procedure.

ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 06/02/91 TIME: 21:09
To: CSO/Japan, PTR is accepted in ASC at Jun 3, 91. Analyst assigned is Peter Wong.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 23:17
See the summary
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C410007998 } \\
& \text { P1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: & WINTERS PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS 0000000647891 \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE & ALRT & CUST CONTACT: & JODY WINTER \\
\hline LINK TO PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & -717-764-9844 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 2819 CARLISLE AVENUE \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2 : & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & 2200-CS-386 & CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & CUST CITY: & YORK \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 110 & CUST ST/PROV: & PA \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & 2200-CS-386 & CUST ZIP: & 17404-0000 CUST RDB: 3423 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & & & \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & SMITH JOHN W \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG EMPL NO: & 00-22003 \\
\hline SERIAL NUMBER: & Y25379 & ORIG PHONE: & 00-215-354-9013 \\
\hline & & ORIG RDB: & 3423 \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 00:00 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 11/05/91 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 11/05/91 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019911106 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0637 & WKDAYS IN R\&D: & 11.84 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & REPEAT PRB & TOT WKDAYS OPEN: & 12.41 \\
\hline STATUS DESC: & REPEAT PROBLEM & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SMITH JOHN W DATE: 11/05/91 TIME: 16:27
Problems started when system was installed. System came in with a bad floppy a tape drive that broke tapes and a fan wirs backwards. Now we are getting syntax errors mostly S 16's and date corruption. We have replaced all PCB's except the mother board. Still having the same problem although it did run fo \(r\) a week until it failed. Would like phone support as soon a possible

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/25/91 TIME: 09:19 S16 apparently caused by O/S bug. Resolved with installation of Maintenance Release l.ly.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/25/91 TIME: 09:24
Returning to originating RDB for closing. Problem has not reoccurred since loading Maint Rel l.ly.

ASSIGNED: GEYER RONALD R DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 19:32 Mike, sorry for the delay in the update. As you can see everything seems to be okay. Please de-escalate call for closure and thanks for your help.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SMITH JOHN W DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 16:28}

After installing new oper. system system has ran fine since friday nov 15th At this time we feel problem is fixed

ASSIGNED: GEYER RONALD R DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 15:05
Message sent to Branch to update call with status.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/22/91 TIME: 13:25
Please update call. Was new software loaded? Test results?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/13/91 TIME: 08:49
Sounds good. Will be looking for your update Friday or Monday on the results

ASSIGNED: SMITH JOHN W DATE: 11/12/91 TIME: 15:21
CE (Tom McCoy) received OS and loaded it. He reformatted the platters before Loadeding the OS. Ran Diags. over weekend and no problems. Software is going to be loaded Friday by Software vendor.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/11/91 TIME: 11:10
Update acknowledged. Please get back to me as soon as possible with any problems.

ASSIGNED: GEYER RONALD R DATE: 11/08/91 TIME: 15:50
Software revd in branch. Currently scheduling time on site to install and test.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/06/91 TIME: 11:52
The CE, Tom McCoy called me this AM. The problem is most likely an \(0 / S\) bug. This is on the assumption the failure s16 occurs with a specific program or set of circumstances. Many programs should run fine. Will be sending Maint Rel l.ly of the \(0 / S\) by Federal Express today to the Camp Hill office. CE must make a copy of the O/S for himself and order the on-line diagnostics for proper testing. He has the part number. With the new 0/S loaded the CE should run on-line diagnostics to properly test the CPU. If tests pass, should try duplicating problem that caused S16, but do it with a backup of the customers software to prevent causing any software problems.
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PROBLEM SUMMARY :CHUI SIMON DATE: 04/25/91 TIME: 04:20
PROB: 2 out of 3 CS386-10N CPU ( CPU2 and CPU3 ) run the same program were comparatively much slower than CPUI. ( Config refer to PTR C900005029 update ). The programe as follows: 10 FOR \(\mathrm{I}=1\) to 50 : \$BREAK 20 : NEXT I
CPU 1 take 10 sec to execute CPU2 \& CPU3 take 1 min 10 sec to execute. ie 7 times slower. However if CPU2 or CPU3 boot with simple config (ie genned with 16 part but not runniing user program) insteads of user config, the CPU2 or 3 run with the same speed of CPUI. But the trouble config running on CPUI do not slow down the executing speed of CPUI. If the CPU2 boot with simple config and only one terminal user and CPU3 with the user configure and usage loading, then CPU3 will slower than CPU2 by 30 times.
\(H / W: C S 386-10 N \times 3, D S(112 M+112 M \& R 3\) PROM), \(2275 M U X \times 2,22 C 80 \times 4\)
S/w. CS386 OS 1.IC
RE__UTION TEXT :LAU VICTOR DATE: 10/22/91 TIME: 01:25
Refer to status update. No PROBLEM FOUND,
REcVD wang office from simon chui. Performance differences were due to programs dunning in BACKGROUND AT TIMÉ, BY VARYING GBREAK TIMES IN BALKGROUND, PRDGRAMS RUNNING IN FOREGROUND ARE RFFECTED. THIS WAS PROVED BY CHANGING THE 自BLEAK IN BACKGRROND + TIMING FORGGROUND PROGRAM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/21/91 TIME: 13:44
Are you indicating that the reason the same job would take longer with 1 system than another was because of background jobs that were running at the time? Just want to be clear on the resolution.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 10/15/91 TIME: 04:54
Customer modified and tested with different value in \$BREAK statement for background job and observed that it would affect \$BREAK performance in the foreground. They have accepted this will affect the foreground timing and agreed to close this call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/15/91 TIME: 10:31
Sending to back to field to monitor as requested.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 08/08/91 TIME: 22:08
Michael, Pls transfer the call back to the field as this issue will not be tested for time being until the fix in C9-5029. Will keep you posted if there is any problem after verification.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 13:34 Customer running on \(1.1 Q\) due to hang problems with l.lt. See PTR C9/5029.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 17:54
Has customer been upgraded to l.ls. If so when? On vacation for 2 weeks starting July 13th. Is there any further status on this specific problem?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 18:02
According to Wang Offices received from Taiwan this problem will be retested for once system problems have been stabilized. Cust running in original multi-CPU set-up with maint rel \(1.1 Q\) since \(6 / 11,10\) AM w/out error.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 13:10
Has time been scheduled to rerun the tests indicated in this call which showe d performance differences between CPUI \& the other 2 CPUs. This problems need to be isolatyd so it can be determined if corrective action is needed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 11:42
First update the the 28 C to the latest correct e-revs, \(210-7224\) e-rev 2 and the 210-7223 e-rev 5. Mark all boards to identify original location. 1. As CPU2 \& CPU3 are idle, run the tests that were used previously to check that performance is the same. You may want to bring a 2275 Disk unit on site so that accurate results can be determined. If there are any differences between CPU2 \& CPU3 do the following to isolate.
1. Reboot both systems off the same \(0 / S\) with the exact same GENPART. Disconne ct all I/O terminal controllers other than the ones your using to insure no one else is using the CPU. If the disk drive is shared with working users

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 11:42
accurate results will not be possible.
2. If results are different remove all boards that are not in use for the test 1 at a time retesting after each removal.
3. Once down to the minimal card set, swap boards between CPU's 1 at a time until problem is moved. Get another board of the same type to identify which board is abnormal.
Repeat l-3 using CPU 1 \& either 2 or 3 until problem is identified \& fixed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/14/91 TIME: 18:01
Latest release of \(0 / S\) may help on this problem as addresses a problem related to \$BREAK and running a TC protocol. However please read previous update \& respond. Need to know if properly understand your update \& as stated would like you to try another latest e-rev 2228C or B.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/10/91 TIME: 19:14
Unclear where things stand right now. Initially CPUl ran fast with any configuration or programs, CPU \(2 \& 3\) with users programs running. Now the last update seems to indicate the problems with CPU2 \& CPU3 were corrected by the new \(0 / S\). Is this correct?
Also by replacing the 28 C with a 28 B \& changing the \(0 / \mathrm{S}\), CPU 1 has greatly sl Aod down. Is this correct?
yes test with the 2228B removed, \& if that corrects the problem get another 2228C. The 7223 should be e-rev 5, the 7224 e-rev 2. If I understan i' d properly it appears the \(0 / S\) resolved the problem but the 2228 B installed is either bad or for some reason slowing things down. If the new 28C causes similar problems try it in the other CPU's.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR DATE: 05/10/91 TIME: 01:27
Upgrade OS to pre-release 1.2 on \(8 / 5\), re-run the test program on CPUI,2,3 10 FOR I= 1 TO 50: \$BREAK 20: NEXT I.
CPU2 and CPU3 with user normal config. CPU1 has two more WS this time \& 2228 C replaced by 2228 B .
Testing result as follow:
CPU \# Time to execute last time

Time to execute Faster/slower this time
CPUI \(5.7 \mathrm{sec} \quad 21 \mathrm{sec} \quad 3.7\) time slower
CPU2 \(38.0 \mathrm{sec} \quad 6 \mathrm{sec} \quad 6.3\) time faster CPU3 \(38.0 \mathrm{sec} 6 \mathrm{sec} \quad 6.3\) time faster.
Also found the following, running program as follows:
10 DIM A\$(16)16
20 FOR I=0 TO 10000: DATALOAD BA/D25, (I,L)A\$(): NEXT I
CPUI very seldom can trace the disk access by which partition by the LISTDT command. But the same program run on CPU2 or CPU3 can be trace by ( continue LISTDT command loop. But for OS 1.1C, nearly every access can be traced by LISTDT command loop. User are afraid of in case any hang, he can't found

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
out the hanging partition to RESET the hang.
Pls advie.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON DATE: 04/26/91. TIME: 02:02
Initial escalate to H.O. for advice.
ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 04/25/91
To HKCSO: Call ack'd. Will investigate.
ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA
DATE: 04/25/91
TIME: 03:51
To: CSO/HK, PTR is accepted in ASC at APRIL 25, 91.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 04/25/91
TIME: 03:30
CPU2 cpu board was replaced with one with \(2 M\) memory, same problem.
Haven't isolate what cause the CPU slow speed response.
It is suspected the slow executing time to release the hogged disk that cause the hanging disk problem in PTR C900005009 call.

ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR Solid

DATE: 04/25/91 TIME: 02:45
e
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P900005724 } \\
& \text { P2 } \\
& \text { ESC }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
0
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS/386-10D & ORIGINATOR NAME: & TOOTH NEIL \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & Al-50132 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 0110 & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10D & ORIGINATOR RDB: & A6568 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10D & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 0110 & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 09/15/91 23:42 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 09/15/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S 0495 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019910926 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 4.78 \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 12.21 \\
\hline STATUS DESC & NEW PROBLEM & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
PhuBLEM SUMMARY:TOOTH NEIL DATE: 09/15/91 TIME: \(23: 42\)
We have a software house that uses a pseudo device to lock other users out of
doing a specific function. (instead of locking the disk). These devices are
typically OEA, OEB, --C., OEF. Under the CS/386 if the universal partition
does a \$OPEN on these devices, the system hangs. These devices are used to
speed up processing as they don't lock the disk. This works on other 2200
systems. See how to dup.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/03/91 TIME: 14:55
SC595. Inability to hog phantom addresses such as /OEA resolved in Maintenan ce Release l.lt. Close call per field.

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIL
DATE: 10/02/91 TIME: 18:25
\(0 / \mathrm{S}\).llt has fixed the problem. Send the call back \& I will close it.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/02/91 TIME: 17:50
Received Wang Office from Neil. Failure to boot was caused by a change to @BOOT made by field. Change has been corrected \& \(0 / S\) seems to resolve prob. Can we close call?

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIL
DATE: 09/29/91 TIME: 19:16
It does look like it fixes the problem but the copy out of wang office does not boot up off the @@ file. Have Mike sending me a hard copy. As there may be some corruption in the W/O copy.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/27/91 TIME: 10:17
Field has received \(0 / S\) but file @@ appears damaged. Resent \(0 / S\) via Wang Office \& will be sending out hard copy today.

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIL DATE: 09/26/91 TIME: 21:21
Have receieved S/W will see if I can get off the system to test
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/26/91 TIME: 09:50
Have duplicated your problem and it is fixed on the our latest maintenance release, 1.1t. Will send you a copy of l.1t immediately via Wang Office. Use COPY2200 to create on archiver. If you have a problem getting it off the system please update PTR with mailing address \& I will mail it out.

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIL
DATE: 09/15/91 TIME: 23:43
THE PTR PROBLEM C900005723 WAS COPIED TO PTR PROBLEM P900005724 ON 09/16/91 @ 14:42 BY PTR USER: TOOTH NEIL

ASSIGNED: TOOTH NEIL
DATE: 09/15/91 TIME: 23:42
Config the folowing device on the system OEA. but do not phisicaly attach it. Run \$OPEN /OEA against it the system will hang. Run \$OPEN / 330 a valid device phisicaly attached system not hang.
R NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800014992
END: C800014992

\section*{ALl}

ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800014992 & CUST NAME: & ARNE JOHANSSON KONFEKTYR AB \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & S1 00001150007
\end{tabular}
PROBLEM TYPE: ESC
PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

SYSTEM MODEL NO:
GEN SYST MODEL: CPU
O. S. VERSION: 0120

HW MODEL NUMBER: CS386
SW MODEL NUMBER: OS
SW VERSION: 0120
RT NUMBER:
RT NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 06/27/91
STATUS CODE: S 0495
STATUS ABBREV: NEW PROBLM
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { CUST NAME: } & \text { ARNE JOHANSSON } \\ \text { CUST NUMBER: } & \text { S } 100001150007\end{array}\)
CUST RDB: S2400
CUST CONTACT: ANNA HAAK
CUST CONT PHONE: 04-981-111-1
CUST ADDRESS 1: SKARPH]LLSGATAN 9
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY:
VISBY
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: 62141-
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME:
ORIG PHONE:
SAGNER TORBJORN

NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 06/27/91
DATE TO R\&D: 07/29/91
WKDYS IN R\&D: \(\quad 36.14\)
TOT WKDYS OPEN: \(\quad 59.05\)

PROBLEM SUMMARY : SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 06/27/91 TIME: 09:40
Intermittent AOl error when try to load and execute program down loaded at CPU-Ramdisk.
Customer use the remaining part of cpumem as ramdisk. They load the ramdisk
withe the most frquent used programs during IPL (start seqense).
They do so to speed up the menue shift and program load.
Customer has 25 CS386 cpu's spred all over sweden running the same
\(s w\), and we have seen the problem on all locations more or less frequent:
After that we upgrade the os from 1.17 to 1.20 has the acount of errors reported to me redused.
All HW are changed and the problem did NOT occured on a VLSI cpu.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/18/91 TIME: 17:14
Sending call back to field as agreed. No problems since upgrading to Maint Rel l.it.

PTR NUMBER -
START: C800014992
END: C800014992
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB - ASSIGN RDB: ALL
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

ALL
ALL ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800014992 CUST NAME: ARNE JOHANSSON KONFEKTYR AB
PRIORITY P2

CUST NUMBER: S1 00001150007
CUST RDB: \(\quad\) S2400

I think we can close the problem now, so please resend call.
Thanks for all help
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/13/91 TIME: 08:47
Customer still running without problems?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/28/91 TIME: 11:01
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 08/27/91 TIME: 08:49
We installed new os (l.lt) last Friday since then no problems has been reported, but the system has run ok for two weeks before, so
let us monitor this for some weeks bofore closure.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/22/91 TIME: 08:44
Anything new here? Have we been able to isolate the problem any further?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/15/91 TIME: 10:20
Although this customer is not running KFAM, beware of the possibility of this customer having a program that does something similar where it may create a data file or make some type of calculation based upon partition size. From the intermittent nature of the problem this does not appear to be the case but it doesn't hurt to be aware of the possibility.

The KFAM change was included in a list of changes for the CS/386 written by Tyler which you may already have. Anyway in the program "SORT.402A" change line 4590
from \(\quad 4590 \mathrm{MI}=\mathrm{INT}\left(\mathrm{M}^{*} 1024\right)-698\)
to \(\quad 4590 \mathrm{Ml}=\operatorname{INT}(\operatorname{MIN}(\mathrm{M}, 64) * 1024)-698\)
ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 08/15/91 TIME: 05:14
Confirmation from customer that they DON'T use KFAM rutines in the certain programs. However we have other customer runing on a 386 and use KFAM so please send the KFAM change.
Configuration: Upgraded MicroVP to 386 2mb, DS-64 with 360 floppy and 45mb tape streamer, two MXE's, 7 terminals, two system printers. The new OS l.lt is not yet installed, we plan to do that next week.

ASSIGNED: SAGNER TORBJORN DATE: 08/13/91 TIME: 10:35
To Mike: Forget my office query about the release number, our OS 1.2 must be some of the misslabled. We will try to install rev. l.lt asap and \(\mathfrak{i}\) will

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PNUMBER--
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB --
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800014992
END: C800014992
PRIORITY:
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800014992 & CUST NAME: & ARNE JOHANSSON KONFEKTYR AB \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & S1 00001150007 \\
& & CUST RDB: & \(S 2400\)
\end{tabular}
try to get a configuration. The programs how are loaded into RAMdisk are menue programs ( most frequent used menues). I dont't think they use any KFAM rutines but i will check. I have been unable to duplicate the problem, it happends most intermittent, and NOT when try to load a specific menue(prog ram).

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/31/91 TIME: 09:17}

Have noted your comment on no one to fill in for Torbjorn. At any rate the problem may be that some of the changes made to the different releases of the Operating System may require additional memory overhead. It may be that the overhead used by the newer O/S was more than before resulting in AOl errors running certain programs. We also ran into a related problem recently where Fuser increased a partition size to compensate for the additional overhead .en by the system. However, with a particular KFAM program an array is created based on partition size. Because of the size of the partition the array exceeded the maximum size allowed and an error occurred. This problem could be corrected by limiting the size of the array with a change in the program or by making the partition smaller. I have the KFAM change if needed. No matter, this should give Torbjorn some things to consider when he gets back.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/31/91 TIME: 09:00}

Update acknowledged. The problem indicated is a fairly common procedure, loading programs from CPU RAMDisk. Most likely there is either something unique to this system in the programs or configuration being used which we will need to identify or the problem may not be what it seems.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BOHMAN CONNY DATE: 07/30/91 TIME: 05:44}

Mike, Torbjorn is the only person who knows about this, he is right now on holiday. Torbjorn will follow this up when he is back the 12 August.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/91 TIME: 11:59
CS/386 Rel 1.2 has not been released yet. The: latest Maint Rel is l.lt. There have been a few releases labeled 1.2 which should not have been sent out, but l.lt is newer. Have not heard of this problem. Have you been able to duplicate this problem? Having trouble duplicating. Please provide configuration. What programs has the problem occurred with. Tested with la briefly and no problem. Will send copy of \(1.1 t\) by Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: HAWES NEIL DATE: 07/18/91 TIME: 04:50
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C4 } 10003375 \\
& \text { P2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST NAME: \\
CUST NUMBER:
\end{tabular} & RUMFORD AQUARIUM 0000002813731 & \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & BOB PICKETT & \\
\hline LINK TO PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & -401-273-5900 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 862 WATERMAN AVE & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2 : & & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-\$2386 & CUST ADDRESS 3: & & \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & CUST CITY: & EAST PROVIDENCE & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 01 1A & CUST ST/PROV: & RI & \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS-10 & CUST ZIP: & 02914-0000 CUST & RDB: 3113 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & CUST COUNTRY: & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 lA & & & \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 & \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEI & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & FORBES JOHN F & \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG EMPL NO: & 00-03549 & \\
\hline SERIAL NUMBER: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - & \\
\hline & & ORIG RDB: & 3120 & \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 00:00 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) & \\
\hline Tt TRKG NO: & & RES DF PLOYED: & & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 02/12/90 & \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 04/17/91 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019900214 & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S 0331 & WKDAYS IN R\&D: & 323.13 & \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & INVESTIGAT & TOT WKDAYS OPEN: & 414.83 & \\
\hline STATUS DESC: & INVESTIGATING & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :FORBES JOHN F DATE: 02/12/90 TIME 10:12
Config. is as follows. CS with 2 PM-017's on single controller, \(222 \mathrm{3s}\) 's on dual controllers (disk/prt), 3 MUXE's and a DS cabinet. The problem is that intermittantly the PM-017's will add a 'E'to printouts.It may take 2 or 3 days for problem to occur. The following \(H / W\) has been replaced, pwr supply. motherbd,CPU bd, 3 MUXE's,4 printer controllers,also updated DS bd to latest REV. Have tried PM-017 on differant style controllers also.CPU is or a 'ine conditioner. Loaded another version of printer driver. If CS is shut on/uff the problem will not occur for several days. At one point the \(S / W\) vendor was loading S/W that required re-IPL's daily and the did not occur for 2 wks. At this point I beleive we have exhausted the H/W and need some help for the S/W.

ORIGINAL MODEL NUMBER
SYSTEM : CS-10
HARDWARE: CS-10
SOFTWARE: OS
RESOLUTION MODEL NUMBER
SYSTEM : CS-10
HARDWARE: CS-10
SOFTWARE: OS
PART NO.

GENERIC MODEL 2200 CS CPU 2200 CS CPU WANG VS OS SYST

GENERIC MODEL 2200 CS CPU 2200 CS CPU WANG VS OS SYST

VERSION
O.S: 01 1A

SWR: 01 IA

PART NO. REVISION

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/16/91 TIME: 11:13
SC595. Problem of intermittently printing an E on the end of a line with the PMOIT using driver when at same time using a PC printer with driver resolved with Maintenance Release l.ls. No reoccurences of the E's since installing \(1.1 s\) in July. Close call.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F
OK with me to close call.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/13/91 TIME: 08:45
Printer received this AM. Can we close this call?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/12/91 TIME: 11:27
Talked with customer yesterday morning. Problems seemed to be resolved with new O/S. No failures since installing over a month ago. Called BM \& he is arranging pickup of printer loaned to customer. Will ship back to Boston wit \(h\) parts for repair where it then can be shipped back up to me.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/91 TIME: 15:30
Called customer twice today but was out of office the first time \& tied up on the phone the 2 nd. Need to know if problems resolved so can make arrangement s to pick up printer.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/91 TIME: 15:18
O/S l.1t has been installed for over a week now \& so far no E's have been seen. We will continue to monitor. There is a question on whether the print problem to the terminal printer using Expanded Print \& PRINTUSING still Aists. See call P2/17083.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 18:31
On vacation for 2 weeks stating July 13th. Please see previous comment.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 18:29
Customer has not reinstalled l.ls or circumvention to run on \(1.1 s\) because of heavy workload. Talked with today. Will try to upgrade in next week or two.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/03/91 TIME: 14:00
*Installed O/S \(1.1 s\) \& all programs seem to run fine except for a KFAM SOT\$ program where the 'Print Catalog' menu selection is made. After upgrading from 1.1B to l.lS initially got A02 memory errors. Increased the partition size from 76 Meg to 100 Meg \& program now starts to run but fails with a P50 error, illegal Dimension size on line 500. Has an array setup as \(X \$(13,194) 28\) which exceeds the 65025 ( \(256 \times 256\) ) maximum array size. This size will be increased with the Turbo \& should be included in rel 1.2 for the 386 when it comes out but is not supported at this time. Programmer doesn't think he changed this, so will check on this with the R\&D people. Customer to go back to rel \(1.1 B\) in meantime which does not give this problem until next week when programmer returns from vacation.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/27/91 TIME: 10:10
Maint Rel l.ls is being sent Federal Express Airbill \# 1923056362 \& should be at the Providence office Friday morning.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 12:17}

We have a fix!!! Will call the customer in the next day or 2 to make arrange ments to install. Need to talk to them about picking up the printer loaned to them. If they don't want to hold onto the printer for possible insurance will arrange to go on site myself. If do want to hold print for a test perio d may send maint rel down via mail.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 15:56
Called customer today. Taiwan R\&D is in Lowell now to fix all outstanding 386 bugs. Duplicated problem for them in lab. Expect fix in next week or 2.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 14:57}

This problem has not been corrected yet. Taiwan R\&D has had problems duplica ting. Taiwan R\&D will be in Lowell on Monday, 6/17. Will duplicate problem here \& hape to have fix in next few weeks.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/29/91 TIME: 17:32}

Have new Maintenance Rel 1.1M from R\&D but unsure if fix is included as R\&D initially had problems reproducing. Have asked R\&D if fix has been included but have not gotten answer yet. Will test if necessaryto determine. Should know by next week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/23/91 TIME: 11:58
Still waiting for fix from Taiwan R\&D.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/91 TIME: 12:22
Converted call to Software problem to allow linking to Product call P2/17139. Linking call.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/29/91 TIME: 14:45}

KHave been able to duplicate the E problem. If actively using both the PMO17 w/ the PMO17V3 driver and a 2nd printer with the @P5300VO driver the customer uses with there NEC Pinwriter, Es will intermittently show up at the end of a line or when Expanded Print is turned off or on. Have tested the new \(3860 /\) S against the PRINTUSING problem this customer was experiencing on terminal 8 \& it is corrected. However this \(0 / S\) still has the E problem and intermittent ly will go to the wrong driver for the expanded print code if 2 drivers are active at the same time. Since the @P5300VO driver uses hex \(1 \mathrm{C} 4502 / 00\) to turn the driver on and off, when this code is seen by the PMO17 the 1 C is ignored as unrecognizable \& the 45 is printed, capital E . The problem has be en reported to Taiwan R\&D via PTR P2/l7l39 for resolution. Spoke with the customer yesterday updating on latest status. Yesterday they downgraded the O/S to version 1.08 to isolate a problem now occurring with l.lA where intermittently the system fails to wake up a sleeping partition. This proble \(m\) was not happening on 1.08 if they remember correctly \& this will tell us if that is a coincidence or a bug that has been created.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/18/91 TIME: 13:35
On site Friday, \(3 / 15\) with the CE. Installed a loaner PMOI7 in place of the customers current PC printer so that the PC printer driver could be removed from @GENPART as possible trigger for the E problem. No problems on Friday. Verified the PRINTUSING problem was circumvented by adding the hex OF before the \(O A\) on line 5100 of the problem progam used to print Price List. Left copies of \(0 / 51.08,1.0 B, 1.1\), and \(1.1 C\) to help isolate a \$ALERT problem where very intermittently the \$ALERT fails to wake a partition. Problem did not occur on 0/S 1.08 according to the customer and by going back to 1.08 we can verify that \& possibly verify when the problem started if O/S related. If we can determine approximately what \(0 / S\) the problem started with, we can may be able to fix the problem without actually duplicating by noting what ch anges were made. Have received an \(0 / S\) from Taiwan that we will be testing against the PRINTUSING problem this week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 03/13/91 TIME: 15:05
Information from the other sites with somewhat related problems has not helpe d in isolating this problem. Our next step is to eliminate the PC driver from @GENPART to try to prove it may be part of the problem. As stated in the last status comment, this PC driver uses a IC 45 on the end of every line to insure Expanded Printer gets turned off. The PMOl7 uses a 23 57. Believe O/S occasionally goes to the wrong driver \& sends the IC 45 to the PMO17 sulting in the E which is a hex 45. Have talked with both Bob Pickett and the CE and have made arrangements to loan them a PMO17 printer to be used in place of the loaner printer. We are set to go on site Friday morning to install the printer.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/08/91 TIME: 18:23
Talked with customer about a 2nd problem printing to a local printer from partition 8 only. Problem was shown to me on my visit last Wednesday. On this 1 particular job which list several fields concerning a particular product many fields would not be printed \& what was printed was partial and on 1 side or the other on the paper. Customer was able to circumvent the problem by recalling the PRINTUSING line used to place the field and just keying RETURN. Duplicated problem here and was able to circumvent by adding a hex \(O F\) in front of the \(O A\) in the HEX (OA) command used to cancel the Expand ed Print immediately preceding the printing of the fields. Called customer y esterday and gave the circumvention to try on site. Have found possible theory for cause of E's at end of print line. Customer has a special non-Wan g driver used with a PC terminal printer which uses a hex \(1 B 45\) to cancel Expanded print \& subsequently gets placed on the end of every print line befo re the LF CR. The PMOl7 uses hex 2357 the same way. Believe possibly at times the \(1 B 456\) is being sent to the PMO17 instead of 2357 . Hex 45 is an E We have received 2 other calls recently that appear related. Hopefully they may help us prove or disprove this theory. If not will request customer try removing the PC driver temporarily to try to isolate this possibility.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/06/91 TIME: 18:07}

Talked with customer and CE today. Cust was getting a very high number of \(E^{\prime}\) s yesterday and rebooted the system to clear. Altered printer driver is stil 1 installed with the HEX 2357 removed from the end of each line. Report was not rerun. Had more E's today. Requested report be run again to see if continues to run with high number of E's. If so try powering off just the printer to see if that clears problem. If it doesn't, rerun at least part of the report in Hex Dump mode. Will do testing with altered driver making it 1 ook more like no driver at the end of the print line. With also do some test ing with changes to the Expanded print to see if that has affect.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/91 TIME: 17:17
On site Wednesday, 2/27. Brought tested printer \& installed. Did get 2 E's with new printer : 0 took printer when left site as agreed to with the custome \(r\). Using the hex dump feature of the printer we identified hex codes on the end of each print line created by the print driver. With the driver off standardly a hex (OD OO) is sent at the end of every line. With the driver on a hex (23 5700 OD 0 A ) is sent. Working with the programmer we altered th is and removed the 2357 which is used to insure Expanded Print is turned off but since it is turned off by an OA anyway was not needed. The altered printer driver was installed but a couple of E's did show up on \(2 / 28\). At this time we will do further testing in the lab altering the printer driver so that it may look more closely like no driver under the assumption the driv er is the catalyst of the problem. Will also do some testing with the Expanded Print to see if that may be a catalyst. When we have done some testing to verify the changes do not seriously affect the printout, will make arrangements to again go on site with agreement from the customer to tes \(t\) these changes to see if we can identify the cause, something sent at the end of the line by the driver or possibly the turning on/off of Expanded Prt.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/11/91 TIME: 16:44
No problems found printing out Shipping Papers. Ran thru at least a dozen ti mes last week using both sides of a half box of paper. Called Branch \& District and as agreed upon made arrangements with customer to go on-site Feb 27th, approximately 9:30. Date was scheduled at convenience of customer to coincide with customers' programmer being on-site. Will be bringing a PMOl7 and a CPU.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 02/08/91 TIME: 09:38
Still printing out \& no problems yet. Should be done testing today.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/06/91 TIME: 17:29
Have printed out shipping papers several times \& no Es yet. Will continue to test.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/04/91 TIME: 19:18

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/22/91 TIME: 16:01
Spoke with CE yesterday. Has cust s/w \& will get instructions for running programs in which problem appears \& mail in.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/09/91 TIME: 19:06
Talked with DTS. CE just received tape Monday. Customer to have there progr ammer dump programs to tape tomorrow \& CE to send to me with instructions for duplicating problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/08/91 TIME: 15:33
Talked with DTS today. Will talk to CE on status of getting customer s/w.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/02/91 TIME: 13:10
aiting customers software for in house duplication of problem. Any word?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/21/90 TIME: 18:02
Talked with the CE. The @PMOl7VI driver was installed and they did have the e problem either yesterday or today. Have requested the CE get a copy of the customers software to me with directions for running the jobs which most oft en see the e problem. Have received a PMOl7 printer and will test against customer software before bringing on site. If unable to duplicate the custom ers problem, will bring printer \& new CPU box on site at pre-arranged date with customer for installation. Will remain on site for a couple of days to try and catch the problem should it reoccur. A tape cassette was mailed out today to the CE to backup the customer's software. Will not be available nex t week. Merry Christmas \& Happy New Year.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: \(12 / 17 / 90\) TIME: \(12: 19\)
Need copy of customer's software \& steps to run printouts that most frequentl y fail. Have printer to test out problem. Customer has the @PMOI7V1 driver installed \& the printer is the next step. Management wants me to test here first if possible.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/11/90 TIME: 13:20
The @PMOl7Vl driver has been delivered to the site. It will be installed tomorrow while the programmer is there. Ce to reqauest copy of program so that we can try to dupe problem here.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E \\ DATE: 12/03/90 \\ TIME: 18:16}

The original print drivers such as @PMOl7Vs from O/S rel 2.7 are not compatible to newer O/S's due to some file changes made. Was able to run @PMOI7VI thru the conversion procedure \& have sent it down via Federal Express, Air Bill \# 743-3118-831 to the Providence office. The driver is currently installed \& the \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) will come up with out the error. Please update as soon a s received. Should receive by Wednesday morning.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/30/90 TIME: 17:56}

Talked with Tyler Olsen. Does not have any software from Rumford so unless the branch has it or we can identify someone else at Wang who may have it, we will need another copy. See previous comment.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/30/90 TIME: 17:38
Received DVX from DTS \& he never saw software from customer \& is unaware it was ever given to Wang. Also checked with Mike Riley who never saw it. Will check with Tyler Olsen \& if neither he nor the branch knows its whereabouts, will need new copy. Created another I.1A O/S with a @PMO17V1 driver and unable to load because of driver. Apparently there are changes to be made to the driver which we will try to make Monday or Tuesday to make it compatible. Will notify of the results as soon after as possible.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Received DVX from Jim Porth. Cust getting D88 trying to load O/S 1.1A with the @PMOITVI driver. Do not remember if I tested the @PMOI7VI driver in conjunction with the I.IA O/S. Called customer \& had them try booting directly off the floppy I sent down \& still got "Driver Table Error D88" when booted with the V1 driver. However when we tried turning the driver off with the configured l.lA now loaded there was no problem. Mentioned would like to get copy of S/W to try to duplicate problem here. Was told S/W was already given way back when. If we can find out where that went we can try to use it otherwise we may need a new copy. Will make a new l.lA here \& test with the @PMOI7V1 driver to verify it works \& send it down. If it doesn't work will find out if it is a correctible situation.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 17:51
Update acknowledged. Requested CE to call me from site if unable to turn off driver.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 09:58
The E's came back last nite, so cust will load the Vl driver this afternoon. They will also try and shut off driver using the new OS. CE will also raise the issue of getting their \(S / W\) to test. I told him just to mention the fact we may need to borrow their \(S / W\) for testing purposes if the problem comes back. relate to the problem \& fixing it may fix the problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: \(11 / 26 / 90\) TIME: 14:58
Have sent a Consignment Request to Finance for approval to get a PMO17 printe \(r\) to bring on site should the problem persist on \(3860 / \mathrm{S} 1.1 \mathrm{~A}\) with driver, @PMOITVI. Would like to get a copy of the customer's software to do some testing in house. Please see if this can be done. If so will also need instructions on running printouts which most of ten cause the problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/26/90 TIME: 13:58
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 11/26/90 TIME: 11:43
curstomer and his vendor made a decision to load the OS patch (ver l.lA)
J run with that first. If the E's reappear they will load the VI driver. This was done wed \(11 / 21\) and as of this am there were no E's. They will call CE if there are any problems or changes.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 18:32
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 16:37
Will contact CE for a status.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 15:43
Has the @PMOITVI driver been installed yet? Waiting on results of installati on of this driver.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/13/90 TIME: 16:58
1. Talked with Victor. Software very similar to this site is being used at several other local sites with the same printers without problem. Only difference is they are using some \$ALERTS. We may need to have the programme \(r\) try to delete these if all else fails.
2. Talked with Scott at Epson. There is no reason why E's should be printed that he has ever heard of.
3. CE tried shutting off the driver but for some reason it would not shut off as it still showed as ON when did a LISTDT. Tried using PMOl7 on the 2235 port to eliminate driver, but it affectedine spacing \& expanded print \&

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/13/90 TIME: 16:58
could not be left that way. Mailed Maint Rel l.lA for the CS/386 with the @PMO17V1 print driver on it to try. This was the driver in use before the problem started. NEED TO LOOK INTO WHY DRIVER WOULD NOT SHUT OFF.
4. DTS has verified with CE groundstrap is attached.
5. If the @PMOI7VI driver fails will be looking to try another printer \&/or CPU.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/01/90 TIME: 17:54}

Talked with DTS. The \(210-9746\) was installed \& the problem has reoccurred sin ce. The CE had the controllers for the printers closest to the power supply as suggested \& checked the I/O board seating which he indicated looked fine. This is our current action plan.
1. The Printer Group is to check with Epson to find out if there is any logical reason why capital Es may intermittently appear on the end of a line. An answer is expected in the next day or 2.
2. I will call the software vendor to talk about the possibility of a s/w problem. If GIO statements are being used to print there is a chance the printer may be force fed data without an acknowledge or clearing the buffer which could be a factor. Will try reaching him tomorrow.
3. The CE is to install a PMOITVI driver as the problem occerred according to the cust after upgrading from 2.7 which had this driver \& we do not know for certain if this driver was ever retried.
4. NEW. Ce should verify ground strap securely attached on back of printe \(r\) to paper rack. Should also try to find out if any static buildup is being created by paper movement when problem occurs. Is paper clinging to itself or the printer?
5. Should the problem continue we will try another CPU chassis and/or get another printer of the same or similar type.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/31/90 TIME: 14:33 Has CE been back to site yet?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 09:33
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 10/25/90 TIME: 10:02
No other answers as of yet. Will get answers when he trys installing the printer cntl again.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/24/90 TIME: 17:36
Update acknowledged. Did the CE get answers on my other 3 questions from my update of 10/17?

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 10/23/90 TIME: 10:26
CE went onsite this am with 210-9746 which was DOA. Will need to order another

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 10/23/90 TIME: 10:26
and schedule time to get on system.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 11:39
Update acknowledged. Called DTS. Problem initially seemed to begin while this CPU still had a VLSI board co-incidently or not after upgrading the 0/S. 1. Would like to know what release started the problem \& what release was be ing used prior to that update.
plica
2.7
2. Are the printing programs that fail Background or Foreground jobs. If W/S
is freed to do other tasks while printout is being done, job is in backgrnd. 3. Verify how far I/O boards are seating into motherboard. How much of the Controller board male connector is going down into the mbrd connector? Check both sides \& middle.
4. Replace printer controller for printer 216 (the most used) with a 210-9746 Dual Controller board \& place into list I/O slot closest to CPU board. Insure 11/1 6001 \(11 / 1\) disk address is set to 310,320 , or \(330 \&\) not conflicting with any other disk controller.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 10/17/90 TIME: 08:32
Printer 216 had another occurence of E's on 10/15 at 5:30 pm. That was the printer in which Jim had swapped out the card set.

SIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/08/90 TIME: 09:38 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 10/05/90 TIME: \(11: 11\)
Mike, here's the answers for those questions you had.
1. Both printers now have the 421-0048 cables
2. Most of the E's occur on pry 216 ,it was that printer that Jim replaced the PCB's in.
3. AC grounds checked out OK.

The customer will let Jim know when and on what printer the E's occur on next
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/05/90 TIME: 08:36
Called the CE. Both boards in the main PMO17 have been replaced \& the correc t I/O cables (421-0048) have been installed. AC grounds have been checked \& are ok. Bras \& cables replaced Tuesday. Monitoring. No problems so far.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/02/90 TIME: \(12: 11\)}

Talked with DTS. CE has not checked AC grounds, replace bris in main printer , or replaced printer cables. Should have been done last week. DTS to con tact CE to followup on action plan asap.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/28/90 TIME: 10:17
Has printer I/O cable \& all boards in main printer been replaced? Has AC grounds been checked?
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ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 09/24/90 TIME: 15:00
DTS called in. The I/O cable is providing a ground path between the CPU \& printer. Cable part \# is 420-0174. This is not the correct I/O cable accord ing to my information. The cable should be either a 421-0048 or a 220-0369. Both I/O cables should be replaced ASAP. Still waiting on AC ground check. CE to replace all beds in main printer.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/21/90 TIME: 11:42
Next step is to replace all boards \& I/O cable in busiest printer as soon as possible. See previous 2 comments.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/21/90 TIME: 11:40 Talked with customer. Office location has also definitely had E's.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/21/90 TIME: 10:35
Line analyzer was picked up \& returned to DTS. Readout shows no problems during Friday when problem was occuring. Was grounding checked?
1. Is I/O cable providing ground path between CPU \& printer?
2. Is there any voltage potential between the printer \(A / C\) grand \& CPU ground?
3. Has either PMOl7 ever failed in office location?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 17:14
CE to call customer \& inform of action plan. See 2 previous comments.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 17:13
Spoke with BM \& CE. CE to pick up line analyzer \& make ground tests. Will find out if printer in office area has ever failed. If not will ask cust to heavily use. If printers have failed in both locations will wait for info from analyzer before next step. If power looks good and failures occur from either physical location, CE to replace all beds in 1 printer plus I/O cable.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 12:47
Following is Action Plan:
1. Get printout from line analyzer \& determine if any correlation between problem \& power. What was AC Voltage level at time of failure on Friday?
2. Determine if problem has occurred in both printer locations (computer room location \& office location) for certain.
3. If unsure if problem has occurred in office area, request major load of printing now done on PMOl7 in office location.
4. If both locations \& both PMO17's have definitely failed, have all boards in either printer been swapped?. If any question, replace all boards in printer more heavily used as well as I/O cable.
5. If without question both locations \& both printers have produced problem \(s\), \& all boards have been replaced at once, order 1 replacement printer.
6. Verify I/O cable provides a chassis ground path between the CPU \& printed \(r\) \& check for voltage between the CPU power ground outlet \& the printer power

YES \(\quad 9 \mid 21\)
OKA
\(10 \mid 5\)

10/5

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 09/12/90 TIME: 14:10
On site 9/ll with DTS. Found sw settings for printer incorrect. On 2 sw banks next to I/O cable had all switches off. Sw Bank 1 must have \(2,4,5,8\) on

Sw Bk 2 correct. Unable to reproduce failure after correcting. Verified printer driver unmodified \& correct. Monitoring.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/90 TIME: 12:00
Site visit planned for tomorrow.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/28/90 TIME: 16:40
Tentative site visit planned for Tuesday Sept 11 with DTS to inspect environment. DTS to bring Data Scope for possible capture of problem.

SSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 08/21/90 TIME: 11:29
-' came back after one week on the micro-vp chassis. Re-queued report and reprinted and it ran fine.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 05/30/90 TIME: 17:51
Customer was not using PM-017 printer for about 5 weeks, used 2235, and problem did not occur.As soon as \(\mathrm{PM}-017\) was used 'E' problems came back. Per Mike B. suggestion we have a Micro-VP chassis we will put in on a test basis. Problem has diminished but not disappeared. Will try and get cpu swapped sometime next week.

ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 04/24/90 TIME: 08:37
John. Is this problem fixed ?????? JMR
ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 03/28/90 TIME: 15:12
On 3/23 CE replaced cpu bd (386), pwr supply and CS mother bd. This took care of the hangs. Since then the ' \(E\) ' problem has not reoccured. Will continue to monitor.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:50
03/22 'E' problem reoccured. Also latter in day they had hang problems, CE went onsite, replaced cpu bd and still had hangs. Will go out today with additional parts. The only thing left that we haven't done is to swap the CPU bd,mthr bd, and pwr supply at the same time. All I/O bds and software worked OK on the microvp but failed on the CS- 386 chassis. If you have any

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:50 other ideas or comments feel free to send them down.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 03/19/90 TIME: 10:10 CS reinstalled 03/14, microvp removed. Will monitor.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F
DATE: 03/06/90 TIME: \(11: 59\)
Still no problems on micro-vp. Have customers CS in Dist.office. Will run as many diags as possible prior to returning to cust.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F DATE: 02/23/90 TIME: 08:36
Customer now running on a Micro-VP chassis.Have not had any E's since. Will delay putting new cables on till problem reoccurs.

ASSIGNED: FORBES JOHN F
DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 13:54
Have 2 new cables (421-0048) for the PM-017 printers. Will install this week.
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& \text { P3 } \\
& \text { PROB }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1A & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 1A & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 09/12/91 11:35 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 03/12/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 595 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019910312 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & PERM FIX & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 130.94 \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 130.94 \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & PERMANENT FIX - & GENERAL RELEASE & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/12/91 TIME: 18:11 When using Expanded Print followed by a PRINTUSING command, \& using the PRINT
HEX (OA) linefeed to end the Expanded Print, the fields placed by the PRINTUSING command are sometimes misplaced. By changing the PRINT HEX (OA) to PRINT HEX (OFOA) the problem appears to be circumvented.

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/12/91 TIME: 11:34
This problem was fix in Release 1.2 of Cs 386 O.S. JMR
9/12/91: This problem was resolved with maintenance release \(1.1 s\) installed back in July. This problem has not reoccurred since. Spoke with customer yesterday. Closing call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Spoke with customer yesterday. No problems since installing l.ls 0/S back in July. Ciosing call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 13:59
No problem reported so far but customer has not had time to specifically test for this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/29/91 TIME: 15:22
Customer installed Maint Rel l.ls over a week ago. At this time they are unsure if this problem has been resolved as he is just back from vacation \& there was some indication that some type of problem had occurred. Will call customer later in week for update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 17:57
Maint Rel l.ls has been delivered to the cust and should fix this problem. Cust has not installed yet.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/21/91 TIME: 10:38
Still waiting for fix to P2/17139.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/06/91 TIME: 12:36
This problem has been circumvented by a change to the customer's program. Will wait for fix for main problem described in PTR P2/17139 \& C41/3375 before installing.

ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 10:22
Is the problem fix ????
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:37
This problem should be fixed with maint rel l.lM. Will test.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/23/91 TIME: 12:21
The fix for this problem is not real if it cannot be given to the customer. Rel 1.2 is not available yet so there is no resoltion.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/08/91 TIME: 10:39
Do we have a release 1.2 which we can send to the field? If not, we don't have a real fix.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/29/91 TIME: 15:01
Tested new O/S 1.2 from 3/18/91 against this problem and it appears corrected . Howevere their is still a problem with printing E's. See new PTR P2/17139.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/12/91 TIME: 18:29
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/12/91 TIME: 18:11
There is a program from Rumford Aquarium that duplicates the problem. The problem has been duplicated in the 8 th floor lab using this program which is
currently loaded.

H E A D E R IN F O
PRODUCT PROBLEM NUMBER P200017281


PKUBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/31/91 TIME: 16:20 When sending a document to the Laser Printer with with 2200WP 2.5 or 2.6 on the 386 CPU, A capital CF is printed at the top of the lst page and a capital F at the top of each document page. The @LASRJVI driver must be used \& address 715 was used in WP. This problem also occurs on the Turbo but does not occur on the VLSI O/S.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NO: P200017281 \\
STATUS CODE: S C 595
\end{tabular} & STATUS ABBR: PERM FIX & DATE ENTERED: & 08/30/91 \\
\hline ORIGINAL MODEL NUMBER & GENERIC MODEL & & VERSION \\
\hline SYSTEM : CS386 & 2200 CS CPU & 0.S: & 01 IM \\
\hline HARDWARE: & & & \\
\hline SOF TWARE: WP & IWP VS OS DOC P & SWR: & 026 \\
\hline RESOLUTION MODEL NUMBER & GENERIC MODEL & & VERSION \\
\hline SYSTEM : CS386 & 2200 CS CPU & 0.S: & 01 IM \\
\hline HARDWARE: & & & \\
\hline SOFTWARE: WP & IWP VS OS DOC P & SWR: & 026 \\
\hline PART NO. & PART No. REVISION & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL Fixed, closed

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 08/26/91 TIME: 10:59
Problem is resolved with Maint Rel l.ls. This call can be closed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:23
Maint Rel l.ls installed on cust site on \(8 / 1\) \& problem appears to be resolved . Have asked field if call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 18:11
Maint Rel l.ls has been delivered to the customer site on \(7 / 5\). Have not hear d yet if it has been installed. Will contact when return from vacation.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:19
This problem is corrected with Maintenance Rel l.ls. In process of making arrangements to send to field.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/31/91 TIME: 16:54
This problem is being treated as CRITICAL because the customer will not pay the VAR for the printer until it works correctly. Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution. Original call was opened 4/2/91.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/31/91 TIME: 16:20
Install the @LASRJVI print driver on 215 or 204.
Load 2200 WP 2.5 or 2.6. Insure printer set up properly in WP address 715 or 704.

PRINT a document.
At the top of the lst page outputted will be a CF, and on top of the actual document pages will be a \(F\).


PROBLEM SUMMARY :PHILYAW GARY EDW DATE: 04/02/91 TIME: 17:13
04-02-91 Tried to install a new LDP4P in place of a DW/OS-20 on a \(2200 C S\) will not line feed between lines printed


ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 08/05/91 TIME: 14:31
Laser printer is working properly at site as designed. In 2200WP should not use 'standard' for forms with a 1 bin Laser which is what this customer has. They must select 'form l' with this laser. 'Standard' is for printers using fanfold paper. It may be usable also with a Laser with 2 input trays, 1 for 'letterhead' \& the 2nd for 'non-letterhead' but this would need to be tested. 'Standard' in WP for other Wang systems usually means letterhead for lst page \& non-letterhead for all others. Also with this particular Laser every page needs a form feed code sent by the system to eject the paper from the printer . To get the last page of a document to eject from the printer it is necessary to either DeSelect the printer and key FormFeed on the printer afte \(r\) the rest of the document is printed or put a 'page break' at the end of the document. Some printers do not require this including the larger Laser Wang offers because the microcode for the printer is intelligent enough to interpr et the end of document and force a form feed. Can we close this call?

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M DATE: 08/02/91 TIME: 15:06
I will be talking to MIke and the programmer, as far as I am concerned R\&D is involve with this issue and trying to resolve it with customer.

Dave Amini
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/31/91 TIME: 16:53
ed to the programmer, Bill Duncan, on site yesterday. Had trouble bootin g irom the l.ls maint rel brought to the site. If you boot from the floppy or MOVE the floppy to a hard disk \& try to boot from the hard disk the system will hang. Moved the GMVP file from the l.ls floppy to the system disk \& sys tem comes up ok. Tested for problem \& the cf from the top of the list page \& from the top of subsequent pages has been corrected. However now getting blank sheets of paper between pages of document. Was able to circumvent this problem by changing form on print screen from 'Standard' to 'Form l' or 'Form 2'. This problem may be normal as this printer only has 1 bin. By using Form 1 the blank page has been eliminated between pages of document but the last page of document will not print out until a the next document is sen t. This may be normal for the LDP8. This printer will only feed a sheet of paper when specifically told to. To get the last page printed on request a page break must be at the end of the document. Called customer and verified could properly print out document without blank sheets between pages by using Form 1 \& placing a Page Break at the end of the document \& tested ok. Will verify with our WP person that this is 'functioning as designed'.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/09/91 TIME: 17:16
Update acknowledged. Will be on vacation from 7/15 until the 29th. Please try to get feedback this week if possible that problem is resolved or any new issues.

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M
DATE: 07/08/91 TIME: 09:14

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M DATE: 07/08/91 TIME: 09:14
I gave the software to customer, their programmer and he will be on site to take care of this issue. I will monitor this call until this matter is resolved.

Dave Amini
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/27/91 TIME: 13:10
Maintenance Rel l.ls sent out today via Federal Express, Air Bill \# 1923056457. It should be there tomorrow, Friday, morning. Please co-ordinat e with the S/W vendor, Bill Duncan, to drop off copy of O/S at site. Bill's number is 301-768-8008. Please update when received \& when delivered to site

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/27/91 TIME: 08:37}

Left phone message for Dave or Gary to call me to arrange for mailing of the Maintenance Rel or put mailing address in call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 12:30
We have a fix for this problem. Maintenance Rel l.ls fixes this problem. Please provide me with a mailing address and the new \(0 / S\) will be sent right out.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/21/91 TIME: 10:24
Taiwan R\&D currently in Lowell to fix all 386 bugs. Expect this problem to - corrected in next week or 2.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 14:59
iaiwan R\&D expected in Lowell 6/17. Will duplicate problem for them at that time. Hope to have fix in next few weeks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/31/91 TIME: 17:02
Product call P2/17281 opened on this problem. Cannot link calls until sent b ack from RSC. Please see 2 previous comments.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/31/91 TIME: 16:59
Still waiting for this call to be sent back through the RSC then back to 8760
- What is the problem here?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/31/91 TIME: 16:14
Tested problem against Maint Rel l.lM \& still not corrected.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DĀE: 05/29/91 TIME: 17:25
This fix is suppose to be in the latest Maintenance Rel, l.lM. Just back from Training Class. Will test this week to verify. Dave Amini \& Tim Taylor from Federal District were both in class \& have copy of this 0/S. Talked w/ programmer Bill Duncan \& updated on status. If fix verifies, will need field

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/29/91 TIME: 17:25 to co-ordinate delivery on-site with customer \& programmer.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/07/91 TIME: 18:25
Received phone call from RSC. They I would expect should be calling you. This call should be escalated through them as it is s/w. We expect to have a fix shortly.

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M
DATE: 05/06/91 TIME: 12:39
I will follow up on this call this week.
Dave Amini
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/26/91 TIME: 16:03
There should only be 1 call for this customer for this problem \& because it is software it should escalate through the RSC. Have yopu verified the probl em is just the CF on the lst page \& \(F\) on the top of subsequent pages?

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M DATE: 04/25/91 TIME: 16:08
There is an RSC call for this printer which was sent to H.O, I have yet to hear from them. I will be in Home office next week if any one hear from them please update this call.
> - Dave Amini

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/23/91 TIME: 11:54
From my testing in the lab \& from talking with the customer only 1 blank page comes out before the header page. This call should have come through \(t\) the Atlanta PRC. All software calls should be going thru the RSCs. We have not considered the 1 blank page at this time to be a problem. Please verify if only 1 page or 4 pages is preceding the header. The problem here that we are addressing is a CF appears on the top of the lst sheet out of the Laser for a document and a \(F\) on the top of each subsequent sheet. Apparently some code sequences used to set page length I believe and some related code are being misinterpreted by the \(0 / S\) and not recognized by the printer. Since the hex code for a C and a F reside within these codes these letters are printed. Sending this call back to originator to reroute through RSC for proper escalation path. Problem has been reported and we are expecting a fix. Please insure call is sent back to RDB 8760 by RSC so that proper attention can be given by all parties.

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M DATE: 04/23/91 TIME: 10:51
This call is for Mike Bahia, detail is in the call history. Dave Amini

ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M DATE: 04/23/91 TIME: 10:48
MR Bahia : This printer is now printing 4 page before the header page is printed, I belive you already talked to CE (Richard Randolph) about it and properly, Mike Bahia in H.O is working on this issue to fix the problem.

Dave Amini

\section*{ASSIGNED: AMINI DAVID M DATE: 04/03/91 TIME: 11:42}

I have talked to customer, their programer has yet to modify their WP to be able to use the printer.

Dave Amini
ASSIGNED: PHILYAW GARY EDWARD DATE: 04/02/91 TIME: 17:31
Dave Amni
ASSIGNED: PHILYAW GARY EDWARD DATE: 04/02/91 TIME: 17:28
04-02-91 Site visit revealed printer will not line feed between lines. Conta cted Bill Duncan of Eastern Computer to get the WP program modified to suppor \(t\) this printer.

WANG LABORATORIES INC.
PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING PRODUCT COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT HEADER INFO
PRODUCT PROBLEM NUMBER P200017354
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200017354 } \\
& \text { P3 } \\
& \text { INFW }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & 0 \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS-386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1T & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 8760 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 1T & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 08/15/91 11:09 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 07/11/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 198 & DATE TO R\&D: & 01991071 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & F-T-SPEC-C & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 24.61 \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 24.61 \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & FUNCTIONS ACCORD & ING TO SPECS/DESIGN & - CONFIR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 17:55
If try to MOVE the @MVP file that exists on Maint Rel l.lt with any \(3860 / \mathrm{S}\) get error D82, File not Found. However, can MOVE entire disk to another disk successfully.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/15/91 TIME: 11:09
Problem fixed
SC198. User error. Index setup by PC instead of 2200. By moving data on a 2200 system, index reconfighured \& problem resolved.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:28
Please send this call back to RDB 8760. This problem is resolved.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 18:17
This call can be closed. Unable to MOVE QMVP on l.1t because index created by PC. If MOVE of l.1t floppy is done to a 2200 surface setting up index w/ 2200 hashing algorithm, @MVP can be MOVEd.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 18:13
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 17:55
Install the l.lt release in a floppy drive. Scratch a disk surface or addres s 340 and EXECUTE the following command:
MOVET/Dx0,"@MVP"TOT/340,
Will fail with D82. Does not fail with @MVP from l.ls or previous releases that were tested.


PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 10:45
When certain Katakana characters are used after a REM\% command, all subsequent commands on that line are ignored. The character specified by the field was the Dakuon, a hex 7E. On a 2536DW or a 2436 set up for Katakana the Dakuon is entered by placing the keyboard in lower case \& hitting the left bracket '[' key to the right of the letter \(P\).

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/15/91 TIME: 10:57
SC595. Problem using the Katakana Dakoun character after a REM\% causing subsequent commands on the same line to be ignored resolved with Maint Rel l.ls. Close call.

ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL
DATE: 08/09/91 TIME: 10:26
Closed
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:25
Problem resolved. Please send back to RDB 8760 for closing.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 07/09/91 TIME: 17:37
Customer has tested problem against Maintenance Release l.ls and problem is fixed. This call can be closed. Please send back for closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:21
This problem is resolved with Maintenance Rel l.ls. Sending to field for testing via Wang Office this PM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 11:00
Sending to RDB 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 10:45
The problem can be duplicated with the following program using the 25360W:
10PRINT "ABC"
20REM\% dakuon :PRINT "OK"
30PRINT "XXX"
On line 20 the actual Katakana Dakuon character must be entered. With kybrd
in lower case in Katakana, key the left bracket key '['. When this program is executed the PRINT "OK" command is ignored. When key run will see:
ABC
XXX
should see:
ABC
OK
XXX
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
XAO113R & WANG LA B ORATORIESSINC. & PAGE: \\
00.05 .00 & PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING & 08 AUG 1991 \\
& PRODUCT ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT & \(11: 16: 53\)
\end{tabular}

SELECTION CRITERIA
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Pr NUMBER - & START: P800014405 & END: P800014405 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & \\
RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & \\
STATUS TYPE: & ALL & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & P800014405 & NUMBER OF RELATED \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUSTOMER PROBLEMS:
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM TYPE: ESC PRODUCT PROBLEMS: 0 LINKED TO PROBLEM:

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS386
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS CPU
ORIGINATOR NAME: SLOEP WILLEM
ORIGINATOR PHONE:
O.S. VERSION: 01 IC
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
HW MODEL NUMBER: & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS386 & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E
\end{tabular}

SW VERSION: 01 I C
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
PVTUS DATE: & \(06 / 21 / 91\) \\
STATUS CODE: & S 0333 \\
STATUS ABBREV: & REPRODUCED
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
DATE ENTER PTR: & \(05 / 16 / 91\) \\
DATE TO R\&D: & \(05 / 17 / 91\) \\
WKDYS IN R\&D: & 58.78 \\
TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 59.73
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/16/91 TIME: 10:59 When hogging a device, this device is not closed afther a RESET, CLEAR or LOADRUN command (ON THE SAME PARTITION!).
The only way to close a opened device is to type close /xxx in the partition which issued the open (so reset, etc will not help).
On the normal CS and 2200 systems a device was closed afther pressing reset, or the other sequence on that partition.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:08
Sending call back to field to be closed. Problem resolved as per field updat e.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 11:41
Received Wang Office from Tom Hendriks of the Netherlands this AM. Has tested this problem against Maint Rel l.ls \& the problem is resolved. This call can be closed as per the Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:13
This problem is fixed with maintenance rel l.ls sent to the field via Wang Office this AM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 11:25
-rection: With \(1.1 Q\) disk hogging works correctly with SELECT H ON.

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: P800014405
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
ALL
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800014405 NUMBER OF RELATED

\section*{PRIORITY}

CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 1
SELECT H OFF does not work at all. Was using a disk address with no device attached which is why with SELECT H ON the entire address was hogged. Must have a DS connected to that address to recognize platter hog. Please see previous 2 comments.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 11:14
Did some additional testing this AM. With Maintenance Rel l.1M can hog 01C but only with SELECT H OFF. Whether SELECT H is ON or OFF should not matter. With SELECT H OFF and OIC hogged, a RESET does clear the hog if issued from the hogging partition. If your results or findings are different please update with details.
With I. IQ cannot hog a TC address like OIC with SELECT H ON or OFF and the only way to hog disk is with SELECT H ON. When this is done the entire unit for that address \((310,320,330)\) is hogged \& there is no entry in the PHT.
Used following program:
5 SELECT H ON or OFF
10 SELECT \#1/01C or D12
20 \$OPEN \#1
30 KEYIN A\$
Field: please see previous comment.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/13/91 TIME: 18:06
With a disk address this problem such as D30 this problem does not occur on maint rel I.1M. Tried to hog address OIC and could not on I.1M so this is a problem. In your "How to Duplicate" field you indicated address A04. Is that a legal address or a typing error? Cannot enter that address in GENPART . Will get the problem with being unable to hog OlC fixed. Please provide more information on problem if I am missing the problem you are reporting.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 18:29}

Willem, please see my update of \(5 / 17\). Used that program to verify fix. Can you test that same procedure in your office. If l.1M does not fix as stated from 5/17 will reverify \& either send hard copy of l.1M or test against \(1.1 Q\) \& send that. If problem goes beyond scope of my test program please provide additional info on reproducing. Taiwan R\&D will be in Lowell starting 6/17 s o if problem still exists should be able to correct fairly quickly.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 06/10/91 TIME: 10:35}

According to the field, this problem is still not solved with MR I.IM. Do you need a better description.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
RNUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: P800014405 END: P800014405
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
ALL
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800014405 NUMBER OF RELATED PRIORITY P2 CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 1

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:31
This problem should be fixed in Maintenance Rel l.1M sent via Wang Office on 5/20. Have you tested yet? Can this call be close?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 19:00
Tested problem with following program:
10SELECT\#1 D31
20\$OPEN \#1
30KEYIN A\$
40GOTO 20
By halting program address can be seen hogged with LISTDT in the PHT. Keying
RESET clears. Problem resolved with Maintenance Rel l.IM of the CS/386 0/S.淔l send out Maint Rel via Wang Office on Monday. Sorry for any delay. Has
sen so long since someone sent a problem call instead of a customer call
that I have not been regularly checking this bucket.
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/16/91 TIME: 11:03
THE PTR PROBLEM C800013964 WAS COPIED TO PTR PROBLEM P800014405 ON 05/16/91 @ 15:59 BY PTR USER: SLOEP WILLEM

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/16/91 TIME: 10:59
Just open a device like :
SELECT TC A04
OPEN AO4
press RESET and the device table shows still an 040 on this partition:
ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TOM
DATE: 05/10/91 TIME: 09:49
PLease read problem. It's all hapening in the same partition !!!
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 15:07
SC595. Unable to unhog an MXE port with a RESET or CLEAR from the issuing partition resolved with CS/386 Maint Rel l.ls per field.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
PNUMBER - & START: P800014181 & END: P800014181 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & \\
RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & \\
STATUS TYPE: & ALL & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL &
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
SIATUS DATE: & \(06 / 21 / 91\) \\
TUS CODE: & S 0333 \\
STATUS ABBREV: & REPRODUCED
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SLOEP WILLEM

When using exponential format in printusing an convert statements. The resul is miscalculated if there is more than one \# sign before the dot i.e (\#\#.\#\#\#\#†t†t) and the number to convert is below 1.

If you try the same operation on non 386 cpu 's it works ok.
Reproduced by PRC.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 14:06
Problem resolved with Maint Rel l.ls per field. Transferring call back to fi eld for closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 11:38
Received Wang Office from Tom Hendriks of the Netherlands this AM. Has teste d this problem against Maint Rel l.ls \& problem is resolved. This call can be closed as per the Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:12 This problem is corrected with maintenance rel l.ls sent to the field via Wang Office this AM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/21/91 TIME: 10:41
Spoke with Willem Sloep on 6/18. He has tested this problem also against \(\mathcal{R}\) \& problem is corrected. However, fix cannot be delivered to customer

\title{
WANG LABORATORIESINC. PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING product account detail report
}

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: P800014181
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800014181 NUMBER OF RELATED PRIORITY P2 CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 1
because hogging is not working properly. Taiwan R\&D in Lowell now \& new 0/S expected shortly.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/13/91 TIME: 17:48
Retested this problem today with both l.IM \& l.lQ. Must be getting senile as problem is not fixed on the l.lM tested today. It is fixed on 1.10 but there are problems with 1.10 which prevent us from sending it out. Hope to have new O/S next week when Taiwan R\&D arrives.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 18:22
Willem, do you have a CPU you can test this on? I tested the problem as indicated in the call \& it was fixed. Did not take it off Wang Office myself so there could be a mix up. Does the version they are testing come up with 1.1M on the screen? Have just received 1.10 which should fix the LIST proble \(m\) reported in C8/14505 \& possibly the TC hog problem, C8/14506 but has not been taken off system yet. Please verify l.lM is shown on boot \& if have a CPU please let me know if you still see the problem. If so will resend hard copy of \(1.1 M\) I have or reverify fix on new \(1.1 Q\) \& send that.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 06/10/91 TIME: 10:39
According to the field this is not solved wit MR l.lM. Could it be that we ne ed a specific or newer version od DS prom?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:28
Have you verified this problem has been fixed with the Maint Rel l.lM? Can we close this call?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 18:49
Problem has been fixed with Maint Rel l.lM. Have tested with this release \& works correctly. Will Wang Office on Monday, 5/20.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 09:54
Field want to raise this to a Pl call! I'll wait one week (Willem).
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 09:53
Can you please accept this call or update us with relevant info.
If you've simulated the problem, than please give us a fix time frame.
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM
DATE: 05/01/91 TIME: 04:07
THE PTR PROBLEM C800013967 WAS COPIED TO PTR PROBLEM P800014181

. NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: P800014181
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
ALL
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800014181 NUMBER OF RELATED
PRIORITY P2

CUSTOMER PROBLEMS:
```

ON 05/01/91 @ 09:04 BY PTR USER: SLOEP WILLEM
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/01/91 TIME: 04:04
Sample program :
10 INPUT X
20 PRINTUSING 100;X
30 CONVERT X TO X\$,(\#\#.\#\#\#\#† $\uparrow \uparrow$ )
40 CONVERT X\$ TO X
50 PRINT X
100 \%\#\#.\#\#\#\#† $\uparrow \uparrow$
Entering a value of 10 works fine, a value of .01 however results in 1
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/07/91 TIME: 14:04
fing9. Miscalculation problem when using exponential mixed numbers with NVERT \& PRINTUSING resolved with CS/386 Maint Rel l.ls.

```
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/31/91 TIME: 09:27
SC595. P55 errors when using global partitions resolved with Maint Rel l.1b.
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ASSIGNED: KEMP ARTHUR DATE: 07/29/91 TIME: 20:02
This problem is resolved please return for closing, Ken is nolonger with us, restructured.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 17:22
Please update call. Has Maint Rel l.lB been received and does it resolve the problem?

ASSIGNED: GRANT ALBERT E JR DATE: 01/29/91 TIME: 11:47
Ken - I have sent you CS/386 Version 1.1B by Wang Office. Please let me know what the outcome is. If it solves the problem, I will transfer this back to you for closing. -aeg

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN FRANCIS P DATE: 08/20/90 TIME: 09:16 Please send to RDB 8760. 2200 not a Microsystems' Product.

ASSIGNED: STEWART KEN DATE: 08/16/90 TIME: 23:40
Wang Office to AL GRANT on 17/aug/1990.

H E A D E R N F O
CUSTOMER PROBLEM NUMBER C900003750
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C900003750 } \\
& \text { P2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST NAME: \\
CUST NUMBER:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BERGER SOFTWARE - (DP) } \\
& \text { Al } 00212920003
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
LINK TO PROB NO:
\end{tabular}} & QBUG & CUST CONTACT: & IAN BUCKLES \\
\hline & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & - 02-923-1233 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 11 TH FL, 168 WALKER STREET \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SYSTEM MODEL NO: GEN SYST MODEL:} & CS-386 & CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline & 2200 CS 386 CPU & CUST CITY: & NORTH SYDNEY \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{O. S. VERSION: HW MODEL NUMBER:} & 01100000 & CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline & CS-386 & CUST ZIP: & NSW - 206 CUST RDB: A6538 \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS-386 & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SW VERSION:} & 01100000 & & \\
\hline & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & GRANT ALBERT E JR \\
\hline & & ORIG NAME: & STEWART KEN \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG EMPL NO: & Al-20219 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SERIAL NUMBER:} & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline & & ORIG RDB: & A6516 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CALL TRKG DATE: CALL TRKG NO:} & 00/00/00 99:99 & NETWORKED: & N \\
\hline & 2628652 & RES DEPLOYED: & NSCA \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE:} & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 08/16/90 \\
\hline & 08/16/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019900829 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
STATUS DATE: \\
STATUS CODE:
\end{tabular} & S 0495 & WKDAYS IN R\&D: & 239.51 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{STATUS ABBREV:} & NEW PROBLM & TOT WKDAYS OPEN: & 248.60 \\
\hline & NEW PROBLEM & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : STEWART KEN
DATE: 08/16/90 TIME: 23:40
When the CS operating system uses Global partitions, there seems to be a change in the operation of the global partitions. The program operations used to access the GLOBAL are succesfully used on the old 2200. When the software is moved from the old 2200 to the CS-386, it will not work as these differences treat the background or global operations in a way which causes the programs to fail with 'P55' errors.
A full and detailed description of the problems and the programs that demonstrate the error have been forwarded to AL GRANT by WANG OFFICE. These programs are long and would be difficult to include in the PTR.


PROBLEM SUMMARY :WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/25/91 TIME: 03:53
H/W : CS386 , MKE, 2037C Terminal
S/W : CS386 BASIC-2 Rel 1.1Q
MKE P/N 212-3032
210-7874A RI
210-7973-A R4
FROM L18-378-9001
L19-378-9000
Problem : Customer has encountered the problem of not being able to backup to the tape drive, the backup program hangs with the message "waiting for DS".
In the configuration screen, the devices are shown as "hogged or unconfigured" eventhough it has been configured earlier.
The PROM has been updated to rev 4 on the DS.
The tape drive is a 150 mb drive and the cassette is a Teac CT-600N
cassette recognised as a l50mb tape. We have tried normal backup and the input disk is also flagged as hogged.
* No problem before OS updated to 1.1Q. Previous OS is 1.10.
* OS \(1.1 Q\) is the \(O S\) given by Taiwan R\&D to solve some DS related problems.
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PROBLEM NO: C900005309
STATUS CODE: S 0333 STATUS ABBR: REPRODUCED DATE ENTERED: 07/12/91
ORIGINAL MODEL NUMBER

GENERIC MODEL
2200 CS 386 CPU 2200 CS 386 CPU
MEDIUM STORAGE
GENERIC MODEL
2200 CS 386 CPU
2200 CS 386 CPU
MEDIUM STORAGE

VERSION
O.S: 1. 1Q

SWR: 1. 1Q
VERSION
O.S: 1. 1Q

SWR: 1. 1Q

PART NO. REVISION
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 16:46 SC590. DS Tape Backup program hanging with l.lQ resolved w/ Maint Rel l.lt or l.ls. Sending back to field to be closed as requested.

\author{
WANG LABORATORIES INC. PAGE: \\ PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING - 12 JUL 1991 CUSTOMER COMPLETE DETAIL REPORT 16:47:31 PROBLEM DETAIL CUSTOMER PROBLEM NUMBER C900005309
}

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/91 TIME: 16:41 D82 problem has been identified and is not an \(0 / S\) problem. The l.it 0/S sent to you was direct from a PC floppy. The PC uses a different method of placing the files in the index than the 2200 so when the 2200 was asked to find this specific file, @MVP, it looked in the wrong place and therefore cam e back with a D82, File not found. This was my fault. When I received the O/S I should have done a MOVE to on the 2200 to put the index into the 2200 hashing algorithm instead of a COPY. To correct the situation use the MOVE command or @MOVEFIL program to move the l.lt O/S disk to a scratched disk. Then COPY it back to the floppy or scratch the floppy and MOVE the files back. Once the MOVE is done the files will be indexed properly for the 2200 and the MOVE of @MVP will work. Sorry for any inconvenience as I was unaware of this possibility when I created the disk. Hopefully this should clear all open issues you currently have with the O/S. Can this call be closed?

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 22:03
To H.O.: Michael, please de-esc call back to H 9936 for area monitor. We are aiming at a tested error-free OS release, before sending the S/W to Malaysia. I will monitor status of P2-17354 for the D82 problem. Have a nice holiday !!

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/11/91 TIME: 18:21
D82 problem reproduced. PTR P2/17354 opened on this problem. The problem in
\(t^{\prime}\) call appears to be resolved with l.lt. Was it installed on site and can we close this call.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/10/91 TIME: 03:19
To H.O.: OS l.lt has D82 error while using MOVE command to move files from one platter to another platter. The tape backup problem has been solved while testing this OS release in Hutichson Paging in Hong Kong.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/02/91 TIME: 10:52 Update acknowledged. We expect to send out l.lt today or tomorrow.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 07/02/91 TIME: 02:14 To H.O.: Michael, we have not arranged to test OS 1.1 s in user site for this tape backup problem. Since there will be a new release l.lt from you soon, we will wait \& test on that release. We don't have the tape drive for in-house testing. This Malaysia customer is a branch office from Hutchison Paging Ltd in Hong Kong. Regards.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 10:50 Maintenance Rel l.is fixes your problem and will be sent to you today via Wang Office. Please get back to me if not received.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/25/91 TIME: 21:02 Michael, I'll wait for the new OS \& release memo. Regards.

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/25/91 TIME: 04:02
To H.O. R\&D: Know that Duncan Chou of Taiwan R\&D is in H.O. As I have not received any response from him via Office, please talk to him to help on this problem. Thanks!

ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 23:40
To Taiwan R\&D: Similiar problem also occurred within Hutchison Paging in Hong Kong. Please advice.

ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 21:06
PTR is accepted in ASC at Jun 21, 91.
ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA
DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 05:06
THE PTR PROBLEM C900005164 WAS COPIED TO PTR PROBLEM C900005309
ON 06/20/91 @ 19:42 BY PTR USER: YEUNG ADA
ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 06/20/91 TIME: 04:42
The following are the procedures used for backup to tape:
A. DISK TO TAPE
1. Put disk with DS utilities in floppy drive
2. "Select Disk 20" to select floppy drive
3. "Load Run" will run program start from diskette
4. DS utilities menu appears, select "new backup disk platters to tape cassette".
5. Enter D6F as output.
6. Enter "E" for erase
7. Enter disk platter to backup as D21
8. Accept default platter name and default start sector and end sector
9. Enter a blank input for addition input
10. Message "waiting for DS" will appear
B. DISK TO DISK
1. After IPL enter "LOAD-RUN" to run start program
2. Select "Backup platter"
3. Input address : D21
4. Message "D21 is hogged. Key return to try again" will appear.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P200017284 } \\
& \text { P3 } \\
& \text { PROB }
\end{aligned}
\] & NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM: & \[
0
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & BAHIA MICHAEL \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & 00-04238 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1M & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & ORIGINATOR RDB: & 9INTR \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & OS & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 IM & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 06/05/91 15:39 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 06/05/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S 0333 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019910605 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & REPRODUCED & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 20.31 \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL: & :ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 20.31 \\
\hline STATUS DESC & PROBLEM DUPLICA & ED AND WORKING WITH & ESCALATI \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 15:39
The commands LIST DCT/DxxSP and LISTDCT/DxxSD do not function correctly. LISTDCT/DxxSP should list all scratched programs on the defined addressed but does not list scratched programs in NEW (386) format.
LISTDCT/DxxSD should list only scratched data files but as tested will list scratched data files and scratched program files in NEW (386) format.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 11:44
Field has tested this problem against maintenance rel l.ls and the problem is fixed. This call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 15:53
Sending to R\&D for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/05/91 TIME: 15:39
Create a disk with program and data files. Create some programs in NEW (386)
format. Scratch some data files and some programs of both the OLD and NEW
format. Do a:
LISTDCT/DxxSD will list all scratched data files plus the scrathed progra m files which were in NEW format. Should only list scratched data files. then do:
LISTDCT/DxxSP should list all scratched programs in OLD or NEW format but only lists those in OLD format.
```

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800014405
PRIORITY P2
PROBLEM TYPE: ESC
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS386
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS CPU
O.S. VERSION: 01 IC
HW MODEL NUMBER:
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS386
SW VERSION: 01 1 C
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
STATUS DATE: 06/21/91 10:43
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
STATUS CODE: S O 333 DATE TO R\&D: 019910517
STATUS ABBREV: REPRODUCED WKDYS IN R\&D: 33.78
STATUS CLOSE LVL:ALL TOT WKDYS OPEN: 34.73
STATUS DESC : PROBLEM DUPLICATED AND WORKING WITH ESCALATI

```

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/16/91 TIME: 10:59
When hogging a device, this device is not closed afther a RESET, CLEAR or LOADRUN command (ON THE SAME PARTITION!).
The only way to close a opened device is to type close /xxx in the partition which issued the open (so reset, etc will not help).
On the normal CS and 2200 systems a device was closed afther pressing reset, or the other sequence on that partition.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 11:41
Received Wang Office from Tom Hendriks of the Netherlands this AM. Has tested this problem against Maint Rel l.ls \& the problem is resolved. This call can be closed as per the Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:13
This problem is fixed with maintenance rel l.ls sent to the field via Wang Office this AM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 11:25
Correction: With l. 1Q disk hogging works correctly with SELECT H ON. SELECT H OFF does not work at all. Was using a disk address with no device attached which is why with SELECT H ON the entire address was hogged. Must have a DS connected to that address to recognize platter hog. Please see previous 2 comments.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 06/17/91 TIME: 11:14
Did some additional testing this AM. With Maintenance Rel l. IM can hog OIC but only with SELECT H OFF. Whether SELECT H is ON or OFF should not matter. With SELECT H OFF and OIC hogged, a RESET does clear the hog if issued from the hogging partition. If your results or findings are different please update with details.
With 1.1Q cannot hog a TC address like OIC with SELECT H ON or OFF and the only way to hog disk is with SELECT H ON. When this is done the entire unit for that address \((310,320,330)\) is hogged \& there is no entry in the PHT.
Used following program:
5 SELECT H ON or OFF
10 SELECT \#1/01C or D12
20 \$OPEN \#1
30 KEYIN A\$
Field: please see previous comment.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/13/91 TIME: 18:06
With a disk address this problem such as D30 this problem does not occur on maint rel 1.1M. Tried to hog address 01C and could not on l.1M so this is a problem. In your "How to Duplicate" field you indicated address A04. Is that a legal address or a typing error? Cannot enter that address in GENPART . Will get the problem with being unable to hog OlC fixed. Please provide more information on problem if I am missing the problem you are reporting.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 18:29}

Willem, please see my update of \(5 / 17\). Used that program to verify fix. Can you test that same procedure in your office. If l.lM does not fix as stated from \(5 / 17\) will reverify \& either send hard copy of \(1.1 M\) or test against \(1.1 Q\) \& send that. If problem goes beyond scope of my test program please provide additional info on reproducing. Taiwan R\&D will be in Lowell starting 6/l7 s o if problem still exists should be able to correct fairly quickly.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 06/10/91 TIME: 10:35}

According to the field, this problem is still not solved with MR l. M. Do you need a better description.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: 14:31
This problem should be fixed in Maintenance Rel l.lM sent via Wang Office on \(5 / 20\). Have you tested yet? Can this call be close?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 19:00
Tested problem with following program:
1OSELECT\#1 D31
20\$OPEN \#1
30KEYIN AS
40GOTO 20
By halting program address can be seen hogged with LISTDT in the PHT. Keying RESET clears. Problem resolved with Maintenance Rel l.IM of the CS/386 0/S. Will send out Maint Rel via Wang Office on Monday. Sorry for any delay. Has been so long since someone sent a problem call instead of a customer call that I have not been regularly checking this bucket.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/16/91 TIM:: 11:03 THE PTR PROBLEM C800013964 WAS COPIED TO PTR PROBLEM P800014405
ON 05/16/91 @ 15:59 BY PTR USER: SLOEP WILLEM
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/16/91 TIME: 10:59
Just open a device like :
SELECT TC A04
OPEN AO4
press RESET and the device table shows still an 040 on this partition.
ASSIGNED: HENDRIKS TO:A
DATE: 05/10/91 TIME: 09:49
PLease read problem. It's all hapening in the same partition !!!
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PRODUCT PROBLEM NUMBER P800014181
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM NUMBER: \\
PRIORITY \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRE-RELEASE PROB:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P800014181 } \\
& \text { P2 } \\
& \text { ESC }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
NUMBER OF RELATED \\
CUSTOMER PROBLEMS PRODUCT PROBLEMS: LINKED TO PROBLEM:
\end{tabular} & \[
0
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS386 & ORIGINATOR NAME: & SLOEP WILLEM \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & ORIG EMPLOYEE NO: & N1-00088 \\
\hline O.S. VERSION: & 01 1C & ORIGINATOR PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS386 & ORIGINATOR RDB: & BPAPP \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS386 & & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 01 IC & & \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 06/21/91 10:42 & DATE ENTER PTR: & 05/01/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S 0333 & DATE TO R\&D: & 019910517 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & REPRODUCED & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 33.78 \\
\hline STATUS CLOSE LVL:AL & ALL & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 45.65 \\
\hline STATUS DESC : & PROBLEM DUPLICA & ED AND WORKING WITH & ESCALATI \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/01/91 TIME: 04:04
When using exponential format in printusing an convert statements. The resul is miscalculated if there is more than one \# sign before the dot i.e (\#\#.\#\#\#\#itit) and the number to convert is below 1. If you try the same operation on non 386 cpu's it works ok. Reproduced by PRC.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/91 TIME: 11:38}

Received Wang Office from Tom Hendriks of the Netherlands this AM. Has teste d this problem against Maint Rel l.ls \& problem is resolved. This call can be closed as per the Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/26/91 TIME: 15:12 This problem is corrected with maintenance rel l.ls sent to the field via Wang Office this AM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/21/91 TIME: 10:41
Spoke with Willem Sloep on 6/18. He has tested this problem also against 1.1Q \& problem is corrected. However, fix cannot be delivered to customer because hogging is not working properly. Taiwan R\&D in Lowell now \& new 0/S expected shortly.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/13/91 TIME: 17:48
Retested this problem today with both \(1.1 M \& 1.1 Q\). Must be getting senile as problem is not fixed on the 1.1 M tested today. It is fixed on 1.1 Q but there are problems with 1.1 Q which prevent us from sending it out. Hope to have new O/S next week when Taiwan R\&D arrives.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/91 TIME: 18:22}

Willem, do you have a CPU you can test this on? I tested the problem as
indicated in the call \& it was fixed. Did not take it off Wang Office myself so there could be a mix up. Does the version they are testing come up with 1. IM on the screen? Have just received I. 10 which should fix the LIST proble \(m\) reported in C8/14505 \& possibly the TC hog problem, C8/14506 but has not been taken off system yet. Please verify l.1M is shown on boot \& if have a CPU please let me know if you still see the problem. If so will resend hard copy of \(1.1 M\) I have or reverify fix on new \(1.1 Q \&\) send that.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 06/10/91 TIME: 10:39
According to the field this is not solved wit MR l.lM. Could it be that we ne ed a specific or newer version od DS prom?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/30/91 TIME: \(14: 28\)
Have you verified this problem has been fixed with the Maint Rel l.IM? Can we close this call?

ASSIGNED BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 18:49
Problem has been fixed with Maint Rel l.lM. Have tested with this release \& works correctly. Will Wang Office on Monday, 5/20.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 09:54
Field want to raise this to a Pl call! I'll wait one week (Willem).
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/17/91 TIME: 09:53
Can you please accept this call or update us with relevant info. If you've simulated the problem, than please give us a fix time frame.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/01/91 TIME: 04:07

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/01/91 TIME: 04:07
THE PTR PROBLEM C800013967 WAS COPIED TO PTR PROBLEM P800014181 ON 05/01/91 @ 09:04 BY PTR USER: SLOEP WILLEM

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/01/91 TIME: 04:04
Sample program :
10 INPUT X
20 PRINTUSING 100;X
30 CONVERT X TO X\$, (\#\#.\#\#\#\#†TTT)
40 CONVERT \(X \$\) TO \(X\)
50 PRINT X
100 \%\#\#.\#\#\#\#けit
Entering a value of 10 works fine, a value of .01 however results in 1

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\(\quad\) NNUMBER- & START: C900004614 & & END: C900004614 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & \\
RDB - & & \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & \\
STATUS TYPE: & ALL & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C900004614 } \\
& \text { P3 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: & \begin{tabular}{l}
CTC \\
HJ 90000000000 H9919
\end{tabular} \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE & INFW & CUST CONTACT: & M. OKADA \\
\hline PRODUCT PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & 01-813-770-9748 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 25 KOWA BLDG. \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & 3-8-7 CHIYODA-KU \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 3: & TOKYO 102 \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS386 & CUST CITY: & JAPAN \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 0179 & CUST ZIP: & - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS386 & RDB ASSIGNED: & H9919 \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 0119 & PERSON ASSIGNED: & \\
\hline RT NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & OKADA MARIKO \\
\hline . \({ }^{\text {T }}\) NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 02/03/91 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 03/27/91 & DATE TO R\&D: & 02/05/91 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S C 318 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS ABBRE & INFOR & TOT & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 02/03/91 TIME: 22:09
System: CS/386, O/S 1.19
Problem: The calculation result by BASIC-II is wrong, if compare to the old CPU (O/S 3.30) as follows:

The Calculation Result
Program ! old CPU (O/S 3.30) ! CS 386 ( \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.19\) )
A. PRINT SQR(102400-.00000005) ! 320 ! 319.968
B. PRINT \(\operatorname{SQR}(102400-.000000051)!319.9999999998\) ! 319.9999999998

The calculation result \(A\). is not supposed to be smaller than the result \(B\). The intial escalation to ASC is by CTC/WCL.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/91 TIME: 17:54
ding call back to field to be closed as agreed.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 03/26/91 TIME: 23:07

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
```

PTR NUMBER -
START: C900004614
END: C900004614

```

\section*{PRIORITY:}

PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C900004614 & CUST NAME: & CTC \\
PRIORITY & P3 & CUST NUMBER: & HJ 90000000000 \\
& & CUST RDB: & H9919
\end{tabular}

To: Mike and Hong Kong
Thank you for the all analysis and info.
PT. de-escalate the call for closure.
Regards.
Mariko

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
To: Mike
Thank you for the info. Let me pass the info. to our vendor (CTC) and I'll update to you.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/14/91 TIME: 11:34
Right now the industry standard is 10 digit accuracy and this is what INTEL is providing with there 386 processor. Wang could go to 13 digit accuracy via the Operating System but this would really slow things down which is why it is not there on the 386 board. If INTEL came out with a compatible chip with 13 digit accuracy \& Wang was assured the market wanted it, it would probably be done. Again to do it with the available INTEL 386 processor via software would really slow down processing time \& the only way this would be considered would be if tied in to the sale of a substanial amount of hardware . So there are no plans to change the accuracy from 10 digit to 13.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/14/91 TIME: 11:22}

Right now the industry standard is 10 digit accuracy \& this is what the INTEL processor chip provides.

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 03/13/91 TIME: 19:53
To: Michael/HO \& Peter/Hong Kong
1. The test result with the INTEL chip. in 91 was not good either. It is happnening I.IC also. CTC wants to know whether future rel. could support the calculation accuracy for 13 digit numbers including the decimal.
2. The location of the chip is not on the co-processor. It is on the CPU itself.
Regards.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
R NUMBER -
PRIRITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900004614
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C900004614 CUST NAME: CTC
PRIORITY P3

CUST NUMBER: HJ 90000000000 CUST RDB: H9919

Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/11/91 TIME: 14:47
This is probably a Co-processor chip. Please provide location \& let me know how many digits of accuracy it gives.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO
DATE: 03/06/91
TIME: 20:41

To: Peter/Hong Kong
CTC will receive the INTEL chip within this week and they will inform me the test reulst soon.
Regards.
Mariko
iSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 02/28/91 TIME: 14:11
What is the location of the chip on the 386 board? Does this chip inprove floating point accuracy of math functions beyond the 10 digits now found with the 386 board.

\section*{ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO \\ DATE: 02/27/91 TIME: 21:05}

To: Hong Kong
There's no part \# for Wang but there's one for INTEL which is as follows: I386 A80386DX-16
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 02/25/91 TIME: 04:14
To Japan: Has CTC tried out the new Intel chip yet ? What is its part\# \& where is this chip located on CS386 CPU board ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/15/91 TIME: 08:38
Have heard nothing about a bad chip. If the problem only concerns the math example in this call and related calculations, the problem was exactly duplicated here on 3 different boards so I don't believe this problem would relate to a bad chip. Is this chip concern related to the same problem? What chip is being referred to?

ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 02/15/91 TIME: 02:16
IR: Michael
zarding our vendor (CTC), there's some bad? chips from INTEL. This is not tne official info. but they hear the chip manufactured by the end of 1990 may

SELECTION CRITERIA

have this kind of problem and the one after 1991 will not have any problem. The vendor is now getting infomation from INTEL and also the analyst wants to try the chip with new one. Did you ever hear like the above?
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/13/91 TIME: 15:32
Update acknowledged. Will wait to hear back from you.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 02/13/91 TIME: 02:04
To: Hong Kong
CTC is testing it on the other CPU. Pl. leave this open for a while. Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 02/12/91 TIME: 22:18
To Japan: Please confirm call closure. regards.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/06/91 TIME: 16:40
The example you gave exceeded the 10 digit accuracy of the machine resulting in a rounded off number. The example: PRINT SQR (102400-.00000005) consists of 14 digits, 6 to the left of the decimal point and 8 to the right. 13 numbers total left \& right is maximum with the result having 10 digit accuracy as compared to 13 digit accuracy with the VLSI. This was referred to in TSB SWT 9225 from 12/26/89, item 5 . This is a limitation of the machine and is functioning as designed. Can we close this call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/05/91 TIME: 16:55
Will test this problem against the latest \(0 / S\) Maint Rel l.lB and rel I.IC
just received. Will update you with results.
ASSIGNED: WONG TAK LAM DATE: 02/05/91 TIME: 02:54
To H.O.: Escalated for assistance.
RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/91 TIME: 17:52
SCl98. Math calculation differences due to limitations with the available 386 processor which provides only 10 digit accuracy as compared to the 13 digit accuracy of the VLSI processor. Sending call back to field to be closed as agreed upon with field.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\therefore\) NUMBER - & START: C800011061 & & END: C800011061 \\
\hline PRIORITY: & ALL & & \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
\hline RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
\hline HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & & \\
\hline STATUS TYPE: & 0 & & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & ALL & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C800011061 } \\
& \text { P1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: & \begin{tabular}{l}
MOULINS SCHYNS \\
B1 00100566001
B2910
\end{tabular} & S.P.R.L. \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & DU MONGH MICHEL & \\
\hline PRODUCT PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & 02-727-252-7 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & XHENEUMONT 4 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2 : & & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 3: & & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS/386-10D & CUST CITY: & BATTICE & \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 117 & CUST ZIP: & 4651 - & \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & 2200-CS-386 & CUST COUNTRY: & & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS-386 & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 0117 & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E & \\
\hline Ret NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & DU MONGH MICHEL & \\
\hline . 1 T NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - & \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 03/10/90 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) & \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 10/03/90 & \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 12/13/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & 10/08/90 & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S 0723 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 117.75 & \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & TEMP FIX-P & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 121.32 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 10/03/90 TIME: 08:32
We encountered three problems with SELECT H ON.
1. With the following program we see that the platter is not hogged as you should expect.

10 SELECT H ON
20 SELECT \#1/D11,\#2/215
30 \$OPEN \#1,\#2
40 GOTO 40
2. After running the following program you will get a hang, and when you reset after the hang you will have a screen blank.

10 SELECT H ON
20 SELECT \#1/D11,\#2/D21,\#3/D31
30 \$OPEN \#1,\#2,\#3
40 GOTO 40
3. Put in the following program
12. REM\% SAMPLE 20 \$CLOSE 30 D\$="D11":A\$="40":GOTO 7040 STOP 50 REM
\%ENTER '\$OPEN/\#\#\#''RETURN''RUN\#\#\#''RETURN' 70 PRINTUSING 60,D\$,A\$
before running and go through the program you do SELECT H ON. When you have

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011061
END: C800011061
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800011061
CUST NAME:
MOULINS SCHYNS S.P.R.L. CUST NUMBER: B1 00100566001 CUST RDB: B2910
finished (STOP) and you do reset now you will get 190 on the REM statement.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/22/91 TIME: 09:25
Transferring call back to field for closing as agreed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/21/91 TIME: 10:32
SELECT H problems defined in this call corrected with Maint Rel l.1C. No problems reported in 2 weeks while monitoring. Unlinking call for purpose of preparing to transfer back to field as requested.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM
DATE: 03/20/91 TIME: 04:07
No problems from field received. Please de-escalate call. Thanks for your effort on this one.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/08/91 TIME: 17:04
Excellent. If no problems in next 2 weeks will de-escalate for closure of call.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 03/07/91 TIME: 08:00
We tested it out in our shop. Bugs are solved. We will distribute this release (1.1C) to two software houses and monitor for two weeks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/05/91 TIME: 11:07
Called Belgium this AM. Maintenance Rel I.IC has been received \& has just been moved to diskette. Field will arrange testing and get back to me with results.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/26/91 TIME: 09:57
Please update. Has the 1.1C Maintenance Release been received and tested. It should resolve the problems described in this call although their are still 2 issues concerning platter hog to be resolved. One problem exist where if CPU A hogs an address like Dll, all other CPU's cannot access Dll which is normal or the associated slave address D51. All other addresses are accessible. Also if 2 users have 2 different surfaces hogged and are very heavily accessing them at the same time a hang could occur under certain conditions which we have had trouble isolating so far.
NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011061
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

END: C800011061

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800011061 PRIORITY

CUST NAME: MOULINS SCHYNS S.P.R.L.
CUST NUMBER: Bl 00100566001
CUST RDB: B2910
platter hog, SELECT H, although your specific problems should be resolved.
The 2 problems that still exist are:
1. If 2 or more CPUs are hogging separate addresses on different devices
under heavy access, under some circumstances a hang could occur.
2. If CPU a hogs a master address such as DIl, on all other CPUs both DIl \& the 1 matching slave surface, D51 in this case, are inaccessible.

Waiting for feedback on I.IC. DS PRom
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/07/91 TIME: 17:13
Sending CS/386 Maint Rel l.lC. This should resolve all platter hog problems. Please get back to us as soon as possible with any feedback, good or bad.

\section*{TYSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/05/91 TIME: 17:38}
ve been testing out new Maint rel I.IC and so far looks good. If we find no new problems with SELECT H and no other new problems occur will try to send 1.1C to you this week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/25/91 TIME: 15:51
With Maint Rel 1.1 B problem 2 is fixed. This is the problem where 3 platter addresses on 3 different devices are hogged with 1 \$OPEN statement which created a hang. Problem l still exists as originally described. Again we expect to get a new release any day. If you want me to send l.lB let me know \& I will send it via Wang Office immediately.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/22/91 TIME: 15:45
Sorry for the delay. Taiwan R\&D has had some hardware problems with there test equipment needed for debugging the \(0 / S\). Still expect to receive a maint rel any day now.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/08/91 TIME: 16:58
Still waiting for new maint rel with the SELECT H fixes. Expected this week. Did your test results appear similar to mine as they are different from the original problem? See previous status comment.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/03/91 TIME: 16:50
Retested your problems \(1 \& 2\) with \(1.1 A\) and new maint release \& there are still problems with both. With example 1 the platter is never shown as hogge -in the device table \& the entire unit is hogged. In example 2 with l.la we
\(\therefore\) able to recreate the hang as stated but this problem appears fixed with
the new release. As I mentioned we are expecting another release by next

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
```

PTR NUMBER -

```
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011061
END: C800011061
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800011061
PRIORITY Pl

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

MOULINS SCHYNS S.P.R.L. B1 00100566001 B2910
week with the platter hog problems corrected.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/02/91 TIME: 12:51
We retest problem \(1 \& 2\) against \(1.1 A\) as it has been awhile since \(I\) tried it. We also have a new maintenance release which is being tested today \& if ok will be sent out Friday. Will also test against that. However there is stil 1 a bug with SELECT \(H\) that has not been solved which we expect a fix for by next week. Will retest your problems against l.lA \& the new releases \& get back to you with the status.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 12/27/90 TIME: 04:46
With O.S. I.lA the problems 1 and 2 still exist, problem 3 is solved.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/21/90 TIME: 17:38
Has the 1.1A been tested out yet? Will be on vacation until 1/2/90. We expect to have a new maint release at that time which addresses a platter hog problem still existing on \(1.1 A\). If 2 separate users are hogging different surfaces at the same time \& also doing heavy disk I/O, a hang may occur \& the CPU may need to be rebooted. Have a Merry Christmas \& a Happy New Year.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/12/90 TIME: 11:05
Update acknowledged. Again please tryh to test as soon as possible.
ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 12/12/90 TIME: 05:48
At this moment we can not test Maint. Rel. l.lA, because our system is out as back-up. I shall forward the results ASAP.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: \(12 / 11 / 90\) TIME: 14:35
Has Maint Rel l.lA corrected the customer's problem? We have discovered another problem related to platter hog. If 2 different terminals have different surfaces hogged \& are doing heavy disk I/O at the same time intermittent 192 can occur \& temporarily the system can hang. This new problem is being fixed now along with all other known bugs. We need to get feedback right away. R\&D will be heading back to Taiwan at the end of the year and that will slow down turnaround time on fixes. Please try to get this done this week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/28/90 TIME: 10:17 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM
DATE: 11/28/90
TIME: 08:53

NUMBER
PkIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011061
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800011061 & CUST NAME: & MOULINS SCHYNS S.P.R.L. \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & Bl 00100566001
\end{tabular}

Will update you asap with results with l.lA, Willem
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 11/28/90 TIME: 08:52
I will updat you asap with results from l.lA. Willem
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: \(11 / 28 / 90\) TIME: 08:50
Have not yet be in contact with field, will do this asap and update you. Will em.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 17:18 Please update as soon as possible. Awaiting feedback on l.lA in regards to this problem.

sSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 15:09
Awaiting feedback. 386 Maint \(\operatorname{Rel}\) l.lA has been sent \& fixes this problem.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/06/90 TIME: 16:45 Was able to duplicate the 3 rd problem with the 190 with the additional information you supplied. This problem is also fixed with the I.lA O/S sent to Willem Sloep. Please test out \& get back to us with any problems.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 11/05/90 TIME: \(11: 28\)
When you arrive at ENTER '\$OPEN/DIl' 'RETURN' 'RUN40' 'RETURN' ; you must execute these instructions, that means you put in then "\$OPEN/DIl" exec and then you put in "RUN40" exec. After that is done you get "STOP" on screen. When you push now RESET you will get the error 190.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/05/90 TIME: \(11: 12\)
386 Maintenance Rel l.lA sent to you this AM via Wang Office. Should resolve e this problem. Please test \& get back to us with your findings.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/31/90 TIME: 12:49
We have a fix for the lst 2 parts of the problem. Taiwan R\&D will be giving us a new maintenance rel l.lA today to test out. If everything works correct tly we would probably be able to send you a copy via WangOffice Friday or Monday. If you can reproduce the 3 rd symptom please let us know as soon as \(p\) ossible.
jSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 10/29/90 TIME: 08:27
To field, pls provide requested info on item 3

SELECTION CRITERIA

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011061
END: C800011061
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800011061 PRIORITY

Pl

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

MOULINS SCHYNS S.P.R.L.
B1 00100566001 B2910

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 17:48
The lst problem where the entire address is hogged when onl 12 surface is fixed with rel 1.19 but problem 2 still is not \(100 \%\). With 1.19 problem 2 does not go into a hang state as before, but only the 1 address of the 3 is being hogged. We have our Taiwan R\&D group here now \& we have just shown them the problem \& they expect to have it fixed early next week. Again we ha ve not been able to duplicate the 3rd problem. Whether I do a SELECT H ON before the program is run or not, it comes back with:

ENTER'OPEN/DII'RETURN' 'RUN40 ''RETURN'
If you can identify what may be wrong let me know \& if the 3 rd problem is real, we will have it fixed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 09:27
Have you taken another look at your 3 rd problem described to see if there may be something else to add to reproduce the 3 rd problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/12/90 TIME: \(11: 52\)
We have duplicated problem 1 \& 2 but cannot duplicate problem 3 . When progra m 3 is executed the following line prints on the screen followeed by a cursor ENTER'\$OPEN/ 0''RETURN''RUN40 ''RETURN'
Shift RESET brings me back to READY (BASIC-2) with no error.
Problem 1 has been fixed with release 1.18 but there are still some problems with the platter hog. We are reluctant to issue this release as some other setbacks were also found at some sites that have tried it.
Problem 2 was duplicated as stated and a fix has been requested from R\&D.
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 10/08/90 TIME: 07:37
i send this call to R\&D for further investigation as agreed.
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/22/91 TIME: 09:23
SC741. Problems with platter hog when more than 1 address is hogged with a single \(\$\) OPEN-Resolved with CS \(/ 386\) Maintenance Release 1.1C. Call being sent back to field for closing as agreed to by field.
RNUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL
PROBLEM NUMBER: C900003678 CUST NAME: ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT
PRIORITY P2

CUST NUMBER: HJ 90000000015 CUST RDB: H9919

CUST CONTACT: RYOUKI OYAMA
CUST CONT PHONE: 01-813-770-9749
CUST ADDRESS 1:
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY:
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP:
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: H9919
PERSON ASSIGNED:
ORIG NAME: OYAMA RYOUKI
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 08/07/90
DATE TO R\&D: 10/01/90
WKDYS IN R\&D:
TOT WKDYS OPEN:
DATE: 08/07/90 TIME: 01:24
PROBLEM SUMMARY :OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 08/07/90
TO ASC: Initial escalation to ASC by WANG/CTC. Could you send a copy of the CS386 operating system rel 1.15. ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/18/91 TIME: 14:26
Sending call back to field to be closed as requested by field.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/18/91 TIME: 13:56
Unlinking call for purpose of de-escalating. I90 problem accessing 2270A resolved with Maint Rel 1.lB.

ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S DATE: 03/15/91 TIME: 04:06
To R\&D: Field had confirmed that this can be closed. Please deescalate. Thanks again for your prompt update \& continuous help to resolve these issues.

SSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/12/91 TIME: 10:22
rroblem appears to be resolved right now. If you like we can monitor for 2

\section*{'SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C900003678
PRIORITY P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT
HJ 90000000015
H9919
weeks \& if no problems send call back for closing.
ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI
DATE: 03/11/91
TIME: 21:53
TO ASC: They couldn't duplicate the problem using R\&D test procedure. In my previous comment (Mar 7 91'), you can find their latest status for this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/11/91 TIME: 15:51
When everything was replaced, was the \(210-7218 \mathrm{C}\) board replaced a 2 nd time? If the problem cannot be duplicated \& is resolved on site, we should be able to close this call. There was obviously some typ of hardware error as well as the O/S bug.

ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S DATE: 03/11/91 TIME: 01:16
To Japan: Further to your update on 28th Feb, can you please confirm whether field is able to duplicate the problem (I90) as per the test procedures tried by \(R \& D\) \& using ver \(1.1 B\) OS. If it cannot be duplicated as per that procedures, is there any other method to reproduce the I90 error. Please advise. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 03/06/91 TIME: 21:33
TO ASC:I talked with CTC pepole about I90 error on 2270A.
Three customers are having this problem in JAPAN.
Their engineer replaced motherboard in 2270A, but still occurs this problem. And they replaced all unit except disk drive in 2270A the problem stops occuring. They brought back all unit except disk drive from customer site and then in-house test was done at CTC. The problem did not occur at CTC. But they are afraid this problem for feture installation. Because they did not find any cause.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/28/91 TIME: 14:05
Update acknowledge. Awaiting feedback from field.
ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 02/27/91 TIME: 20:22
TO ASC: CTC pepole is testing that problem with R\&D test procedure in the last comment. Also they are useing standard W/S(not KATAKANA W/S) and standard Wang floppy disks.

ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S DATE: 02/26/91 TIME: 21:21
To Japan: Please refer to the previous update that R\&D is still unable to
. NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C900003678 PRIORITY P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: H9919
reproduce it. Hence, please test \& provide the additional info requested. Since, Japan had been all along testing with Katakana keyboards, will it be possible to test with standard WS's to check whether this condition can be still reproduced with standard WS's. This may helpful to determine whether this condition can only be duplicated with Katakana WS's. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/25/91 TIME: 10:01
Setup tab tes.t with 5 terminals \& still cannot reproduce. Had 1 terminal running @PSTAT, 2 terminals running @MRTIAN, while on terminal 4 an external
MOVE was done from a 2275 to the 2270A.
1OMOVET/D20,TOF/310, 20X=X+1: PRINT X 30GOTO 10
Continually keyed RESET on 5th Workstation \& could not get a failure.
Has the new maintenance release been tested against more than 1 2270A?
Please provide me with a program with the simplest configuration where you ca n produce a failure. If possible please try my test configuration. When the
2270A is accessed use T/310 or T/B10 as opposed to T/Dll \& T/D10. Are the problems currently occurring with standard Wang floppy disks or are they IBM compatible disks? Again please make sure there is not a hardware problem now \& provide me with details on duplicating.

\section*{ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI \\ DATE: 02/24/91 TIME: 20:37}

I talked with CTC pepole about I90 error on 2270A.
They said if just one W/S is connected to the system and then accessing 2270A , it does not occur. But if more than two W/S are connected to the system and only one work station accessing 2270 A , problem occurs even the others are not accessing 2270A. On the above enviroment problem frequency is highered if RESET key is pressed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/15/91 TIME: 08:55
Is there any particular instruction or program that can help us duplicate the problem? Tried repeatedly keying RESET during the MOVE \& COPY program you mentioned \& that seems to be unaffected. Multi-Disk also seems to be unaffec ted. Did you test against more than 12270 A . Was also having problems with I90 when initially tested with 1.1B, but found the 210-7218C board was bad. Does the RESET problem seem to be related to how many users are actively on system? How reproducible is the 190 on site? Any information you can give us would help.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PTR NUMBER - & START: C900003678 & & END: C9000003678 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & & \\
STATUS TYPE: & C & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & &
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C900003678
P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT
HJ 90000000015 H9919

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI
DATE: 02/15/91 TIME: 03:36
TO ASC: Rcvd a copy of pre-rel l.1B via Wang Office, then transferd to CTC. And then checking following problems.
1) While some applications are accessing 2270A and RESET(RESET or

SHIFT + RESET on the KATAKANA W/S or SHIFT + RESET on the US W/S)
is pressed from the other W/S, I 90 error is occured.
2) When W/S is connected to MXE port\#4 and sends command

MOVE\#1, FR
COPY FR(0,XXXX)
then system hungs.
***** Following list is the status for the above problems with l.lB. The problem 1) is still occurring on CS386/OS 1.1B. The problem 2) does not occur on CS386/OS 1.lB.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/11/91 TIME: 15:51
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S
DATE: 02/11/91 TIME: 01:45
To R\&D: Rcvd a copy of pre-rel l.lB. Will wait for Japan to test \& update us with the results. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/08/91 TIME: 09:13
Did some additional testing with the 2270 A and discovered we had a hardware problem. After correcting the hardware problem, Maintenance Rel- -lC was still failing with I92 errors on LIST and SCRATCH and MOVE. However, could not reproduce problems with l.1B. Will send you l.IB today via Wang Office. There is a problem with SELECT H ON, platter hog with I.1B that is fixed with 1.1C. Will send only l.1B at this time to avoid confusion. Please test out and get back to us with results as soon as possible.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/05/91 TIME: 17:40
Received new Maint Rel l.1C this week \& still has problems with the 2270A. New release is coming up with Ig2 on SCRATCH every time \& intermittently on LIST \& MOVE. Problems have been reported.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/22/91 TIME: 15:50
Still waiting for new maint rel to fix this problem. Taiwan R\&D has been set back due to h/w failure w/ test equipment needed for debugging. Will update you as soon as we have new rel to test against.
NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HWW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C900003678 & CUST NAME: & ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & HJ 90000000015 \\
& & CUST RDB: & \(H 9919\)
\end{tabular}

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/09/91 TIME: 17:49
Tested this problem against \(1.1 B\) and it is still failing exactly as documente d in this call. Additionally, the COPYFR fails immediately with an I98. The COPY does not fail on l.lA unless RESET is keyed \& then only intermittently. If RESET is keyed from terminal l during MOVE with l.1A or \(1.1 B\) while the \(F\) floppy lite is on I90 occurs consistently. Field needs fix. 2 open calls on this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/02/91 TIME: 13:01
We are testing a maintenance release today which has a fix for this problem.
If all goes well will be sending the maintenance release to you via Wang
مfice on Friday I expect.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/21/90 TIME: 17:42
Will not be able to get next maint rel out until at least January as has not been tested yet \& we are all on vacation until 1/2. Merry Christmas \& Happy New Year to all.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/20/90 TIME: 09:21
Several of the bugs are fixed. We are close to having the next Maintenance Release. If ready will send out to you tomorrow, otherwise as I said it will be first week in January.

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 12/19/90 TIME: 19:39
We can wait until come back.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/19/90 TIME: 09:43
R\&D is still working on O/S bugs. We may have a 1.1 B by the end of this week . Will send it to you via Wang Office as soon as ready. We are off next week and until January 2nd. Hope to get new \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) finished \& tested this week before holiday. Will the holiday week off create a problem for you to have to wait the extra 9 days before getting a fix?

ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S DATE: 12/18/90 TIME: 20:54
To R\&D: Is it possible to forward the l.lB pre-rel by Wang office. Thanks.

SSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/05/90 TIME: 10:40
k\&D is currently working on this problem. Should have fix sometime next week

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
```

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

```
START: C900003678

END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C900003678 & CUST NAME: & ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & HJ 90000000015 \\
& & CUST RDB: & H9919
\end{tabular}
if no complications.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/20/90 TIME: 11:12
Problem has been duplicated. Product PTR P200016661 has been opened and sent to R\&D for resolution. Linking this call to PTR P200016661.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/12/90 TIME: 09:33
Please transfer this call back to RDB 8760. It was transferred by mistake. Thank you.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/12/90 TIME: 09:19
Sending call back to field to be closed. Problem resolved with 2200WP 2.6. Customer no longer using 2200WP.

\section*{ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI}

DATE: 11/07/90 TIME: 23:11


Customer using 2436 KATAKANA workstations with KATAKA keyboard.
While some application is accessing 2270A and RESET(KATAKANA W/S is press RESET or SHIFT+RESET, US W/S is press SHIFT + RESET) is pressed from the other W/S, I90 error is occured.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/05/90 TIME: 10:51
You have to do a Shift RESET on all 2200 DW type workstations. On DE W/Ss only RESET is needed. Please give me a sample configuration that reproduced the problem, what workstations on what ports were doing what? Were you using the correct keyboard? Were you keying RESET or Shift/RESET to create the problem?
R NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678

\section*{ALL}

ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C900003678 PRIORITY P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: HJ 90000000015
CUST RDB: H9919

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI
DATE: 11/04/90
TIME: 21:12
TO ASC: They checked on 3 different systems wihch have completely different sets of hardware , but still generating same problem. Follwing list is test environment.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Model\# & Part No & E-rev & & PROM \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CS/386 CPU} & 210-9561-1A & E-4 & & \\
\hline & 210-9562-A & E-0 & 2513 & R1/2514 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2236MXE} & 210-7973-A & E-0 & 9001 & \(/ 9000\) \\
\hline & 210-7874-A & E-1 & & \\
\hline 22 Cl 1 & 210-9746 & & & \\
\hline 2270A(2Drive) & )210-7218-C & E-7 & 2256 & /2257 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CS-D DPU} & 210-9559-A & E-0 & & \\
\hline & 210-9558-A & E-0 & 8500 & R3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

They are using CS/386 OS 1.12 and 1.17.
They uses KATAKANA keyboard, if press RESET and SHIFT + RESET, result is same(system reset). But with using US keyboard; result is different. Bahia, did you send it for testing RESET or SHIFT + RESET ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/02/90 TIME: 08:48
Update acknowledged. Awaiting reply.
ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S DATE: 11/01/90 TIME: 23:44
Cc, JApan(Novl): Japan will provide the details requested by R\&D in the last update. They will also indicate specific info like E-rev etc. of the boards with which they can consistently reproduce the problem.

ASSIGNED: PARAMAGURU S DATE: 10/29/90 TIME: 22:40
To Japan: Please refer the HO update indicating that they could not dup this condition. Please answer the questions, and also confirm whether you have dup'd this condition with 2 seperate systems with completely different set of hardware. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 17:03
Unable to duplicate your problem. Had a 2270 A drive plugged into a Dual Controller (address 310) in a CS with a 386 brd. Ran programs to constantly IST or randomly read from the lst drive, 310 , tried on different terminals netimes with 2 terminals accessing \& sometimes 1 \& could not get a failure. uld over 800 RESETS. Also ran the following program from the 4 th port of the

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

ALL
C
ALL

1st MXE:

CUST NAME: ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT
CUST NUMBER: HJ 90000000015
CUST RDB: H9919
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10COPYFR } \\
& \text { 20X }=X+1: \text { PRINT } X \\
& \text { 30MOVEFR } \\
& \text { 40X }=X+1 \text { : PRINT } X \\
& \text { 50GOTO } 10
\end{aligned}
\]

This program ran for a little over an hour with the last count of \(X=\) to 45 . At the same time this was running another terminal was continually listing another driver \& RESET was keyed over 100 times over the lst few passes. Again it appears you have a hardware problem. Remove all unnecessary brds to bring system to minimum configuration (1 MXE \& 1 Disk Controller) \& retest. Make sure using a virgin \(386 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S} 1.1\) or higher \& if fails start replacing hardware. Again you have indicated system is configured properly because an improper configuration can cause this type of problem. Is more than 1 CPU involved?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/12/90 TIME: 08:29
We will try to duplicate the problem here.
ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI
DATE: 10/12/90 TIME: 02:13
They tried all hardware in the 2270A.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/10/90 TIME: 07:26
What hardware in the 2270A has been tried?
ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 10/08/90 TIME: 02:33
They checked some CS386 and 2270A, but still has same problem.
The 2270A is using 210-7218C(Installed all ECO's).
Also checked at min. configration(one 22C11 and one MXE).
Duplicate Procedure:
1) While some application is accessing 2270A and RESET is pressed from the other W/S, I90 error is occured.
2) When \(W / S\) is connected to MXE port \#4 and sends command MOVE\#1,FR
COPY \(\operatorname{FR}(0, X X X X)\)
then system hung.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/03/90 TIME: 12:43
What hardware in the 2270A has been tried? Has the \(210-7218 \mathrm{~A}\) brd or the drive been replaced? Please insure this is not a hardware problem brought to the surface by the 386 board. The 386 brd has a much faster clock which can

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\(\quad\) NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HWW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C900003678 & CUST NAME: & ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & HJ 90000000015 \\
& & CUST RDB: & H9919
\end{tabular}
push a marginal component to fail where it worked with the slower VLSI brd. Can you duplicate the problem? If so bring system to minimum of brds, reprod uce problem, \& replace remaining brds 1 by 1.7218 brd most likely, followed by drive, then I/O brd, otherwise may have some noise interference. If can reproduce provide details how.

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 10/01/90 TIME: 23:35
The customer entried only address in "@GENPART".
If only one W/S connected to CS386 and accessing 2270A, I90 error is occured only few times.
But only one W/S accessing 2270A and other W/S is runing any applications, I 90 error is occured many times.
There in no problem with other CP type(CS,LVP, MVP, VP).
and they checked other CS386 machines, same problem were generated.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/01/90 TIME: 16:12
Sounds like the Disk address for the 2270A may be entered twice. See TSB HWT 9373 from 12/12/89 item 6, or TSB SWT 9225 from 12/26/89 item 4 . There should be only 1 reference in GENPART to any disk address, in other words the only disk addresses in GENPART should be \(310,320,330, \& 340\). No other disk addresses. Can check this by either running GENPART \& keying PF 5 or can do a LIST DT. Only 1 reference should show up to address 310, 320, 330, or 340 on the MDT line. If this is not the case, it would appear to be a hardware problem, possibly a bad terminal controller or some other piece of hardware \(g\) enerating some kind of interference. If problem can be duplicated, strip CPU to minimum of boards \& replace remaining brds 1 by l. REGARDS, Mike

ASSIGNED: CHOW JACK
DATE: 10/01/90 TIME: 03:03
Escalate to R\&D for assistance. Problem is described in Ryouki Oyama's 8/08 PTR update. Would appreciate your review and advice. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 10/01/90 TIME: 02:13
TO ASC: CTC pepole checked CS386 O.S Rel. 1.17, but still has same problem. Problem is in my previous comment(08/08/90).
REGARDS, OYAMA/JAPAN.

ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN
DATE: 09/12/90 TIME: 00:29
Mariko Okada/Japan,
- Received your confirmation message. Call will be kept under area

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C900003678 CUST NAME: ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT PRIORITY

CUST NUMBER: HJ 90000000015 CUST RDB:
monitor until you have finished the test. Keep me posted.
Thanks + Regards.
ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN DATE: 09/10/90 TIME: 20:55
To: Mariko Okada/Japan, Please be advised that software had been sent to you via air mail.
Please acknowledge as soon as it arrives and is verified.
Thanks + Regards.
ASSIGNED: OKADA MARIKO DATE: 09/05/90 TIME: 23:15
To: Stephen,
Thank you for the arrangement with Taiwan.
Regards.
Mariko
ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN DATE: 09/05/90 TIME: 20:50
To: Mariko Okada/Japan,
Had co-ordinated with Taiwan CSO, a copy of CS386/1.16.01 will be sent you via courier. Will update you the airway bill number soon.

Regards.
ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN
DATE: 09/03/90 TIME: 21:59
To: Ryouki Oyama/Japan, Please advise latest status. Regards.

ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN DATE: 08/27/90 TIME: 21:12
To: Ryouki Oyama/Japan,
L. S. has been helping and talking to Harita-san on this case.

Accordingly, the newest disk control 22C11 (\# 210-9742) must be ordered.
Please note.
Regards.
ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 08/22/90 TIME: 19:39
TO Stephen: Thanks, I recived CS386 operating system 1.16. Today new OS transfer to CTC. Pls. Keep this call under monitor, until they confirmed it's. BEST REGARDS.

ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN DATE: 08/22/90 TIME: 03:34
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SELECTION CRITERIA
RNUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C900003678 & CUST NAME: & ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & HJ 90000000015 \\
& & CUST RDB: & H9919
\end{tabular}

To: Ryouki Oyama/Japan, Have referred you CS386 1.16.01 package via Wang Office, it answers your questions.
Regards.
ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 08/22/90 TIME: 02:39
TO Stephen: Could you provide following information:
1) What model no. or parts no. for new interface board ?
2) How about status on my request of CS/386 OS 1.15 ?

ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN DATE: 08/20/90 TIME: 20:58
To: Ryouki Oyama/F. Harita/Japan,
Have just learnt from LS that problem was due to old disk interface itroller, the speed of which is not compatible with CS386 CPU, therefore, the scenario has been clarified. An order of new interface board will rectify your customer problem.

Do you think we can file this call ?
Regards.
ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN DATE: 08/08/90 TIME: 00:36
To: Ryouki Oyama/Japan,
Understand from Wang Office memos among Harita, L. S. Sun, Jack and you that CS386 1.15 was recommended by I. S. Chen. Accordingly, I. S. will assist L. S. to follow up this case for you. I will meet L. S. later this week and keep after for you.

Please keep me informed if you have any news and feel free to let me know if you want further assistance.
Regards.
(P.S. As of now, CS386 1.15 is yet released).

ASSIGNED: OYAMA RYOUKI DATE: 08/07/90 TIME: 21:08
TO ASC: Reason for the request in the PTR is that CTC has some problem with C/S386 related to 2270A.
Problem is:
1) I90 Error frequently happens in CS/ 386 with using 2270A driver.
2) While some application is accessing 2270A and RESET is pressed from the other w/s, I 90 error is occured.
CTC has tested other DISK I/F 22C11, 22C03, 22C32,NEW 22C11(210-9746)
When W/S is connected to MXE prot \#4 and sends command MOVE\#1,FR

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C900003678
END: C900003678

\section*{ALL}

ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C900003678 CUST NAME: ASIA KENSETU CONSULTANT
PRIORITY P2 CUST NUMBER: HJ 90000000015 CUST RDB: H9919

COPY FR( \(0, X X X X)\)
then system hangs. Same function will work on other ports(\#1 to \#3).
***There is no problem with other CP type(CS,LVP,MVP,VP).
And they want to check the NEW CS/386 0.S 1.15.
Pls. provide us the CS/386 0.S 1.15.
ASSIGNED: YEUNG ADA DATE: 08/07/90 TIME: 02:19
To: CSO/Japan, PTR is accepted in ASC at Aug 7, 90.
RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/18/91 TIME: 14:24
I 90 error to 2270A doing COPY or MOVE when RESET keyed from other terminals resolved with Maint Rel l.lB. Call being sent back to field for closing as requested.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}


PROBLEM SUMMARY :FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 08/29/90 TIME: 07:59
This PTR will be opened for ERR S20, ERR S24, ERR S16, garbage program in memory, complete system hang and so on. The customer situation is very critical because he is a dealer and he cannot install the system because his software will not run!
Customer is: Vogelsang und Partner (Herr Renitz), Colone, Germany

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E}

DATE: 02/22/91 TIME: 17:15
This call has been de-escalated to field. Call should be closed
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 02/11/91 TIME: 16:27
Have requested current owner to send this call back to field to be closed as requested.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 02/06/91 TIME: 16:48 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 02/06/91 TIME: 09:29
The intermittend problem regarding these syntax errors seems the be created by a bad cpu board which has been replaced now by the field engineer. After that no problems were reported. Please send the PTR back to close it.

SSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/25/91 TIME: 09:53

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

\section*{PTR NUMBER -}

PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: P800010416 END: P800010416
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800010416 NUMBER OF RELATED
PRIORITY PI CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0
C/A/P/1/25/91: Field sending maint rel \(1.1 B\) to cust for testing against prob. Remaining problem at this 1 site has been intermittent \& there has been no wa \(y\) to reliably reproduce it.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E \\ DATE: 01/23/91 TIME: 17:10}

Update acknowledged.

\section*{ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/23/91 TIME: 02:46}

Have send 1.1B to customer faktura and await the result whether the problem is fixed or not.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/19/90 TIME: 10:24
Maint Rel l. lB is not quite ready yet. Very close though. Maybe ready by Friday, otherwise may have to wait for lst week of January as entire group is off next week. There is no fix in the next release to specifically address S16, S20, or S24 errors.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 12/19/90 TIME: 02:42
It's still unknown how the problem occurs. Could you please send me Version \(1.1 B\) or 1.2 to test it at customer Faktura.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/06/90 TIME: 13:22
C/A/P/12/6/90: Awaiting feedback from field on 1 site still experiencing a problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 17:30
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 02:40
Problem is still existing by customer Faktura but at the moment we are unable to find a procedure to make it reproducable. It seems also to be a sporadic and not a permanent problem and we are looking for a hardware trouble. I'm waiting for more detailed information from the field engineer.
\[
\text { ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: } 11 / 26 / 90 \text { TIME: 08:52 }
\] Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 11/22/90 TIME: 08:05
Awaiting status from customer (software house) Faktura. Will update asap.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 15:24
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline < NUMBER - & START: P800010416 & END: P800010416 \\
\hline PRIORITY: & ALL & \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & \\
\hline RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
\hline HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & \\
\hline STATUS TYPE: & 0 & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & ALL & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & P800010416 & NUMBER OF RELATED \\
PRIORITY & Pl & CUSTOMER PROBLEMS:
\end{tabular}

C/A/P/11/21/90: Still awaiting procedure to duplicate S20 problem if problem still exists.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/15/90 TIME: 16:36
C/A/P/11/15/90: Need procedure to duplicate problem. If you can provide way to duplicate while Taiwan R\&D is still here should be able to get fix quick.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 11/15/90 TIME: 08:58
Rel. l.lA doesn't fix all problems! Customer Faktura/DOS does still have \(S\) ERR S20 in background. Customer will try to make the problem reproducable. But by the way: We will run into big problems if we are unable to have a parmanent fix in a short time frame. Our customers will not accept this for longer and we loss money because some customers will give the boards back and -her will not order CS/386 systems. Our dealer MOP in Hamburg will stop a Jer of 80.000 DM until the problems are fixed. Please make so much pressure to get a really running \(0 / S\) as ever posible. Will hold you updated.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/12/90 TIME: 09:36
Update acknowledged. Monitoring.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 11/12/90 TIME: 06:42
I have installed and tested 0/S l.lA on last thursday. The problems with ERR S16, S20, S24 in the customer's configuration were fixed. I did send this release to all customers which are having this or related problems and await their results.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/08/90 TIME: 11:32 C/A/P/11/9/90: Have you tried the new release against this problem \& has it been given to the customer yet? Awaiting update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/05/90 TIME: 11:08 386 Maintenance Rel 1.1A was sent to you via Wang Office. It should correct this problem. Please test \& get back to us with your findings.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/31/90 TIME: 13:08
We have a fix for this problem. Maintenance Rel l.lA will be given to us d today. If we find no problems in testing it we should be able to send it \(t\) out to you via WangOffice either Friday or Monday.

SSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 17:52

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
PTR NUMBER -------------- \\
PRIORITY: \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
RDB - \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: \\
STATUS TYPE: \\
STATUS CODE: \\
\hline-
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & P800010416 \\
PRIORITY & P1 & NUMBER OF RELATED \\
Pl & CUSTOMER PROBLEMS:
\end{tabular}
1.19 did resolve part of problem but not completely. We showed the current symptoms to Taiwan R\&D this afternoon \& there is an excellent possibility a fix will be available early next week for us to test.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 09:57
We are in process of setting up Taiwan R\&D here in Lowell as they are currently visiting. Hoping for fix shortly, hopefully next week.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/24/90 TIME: 16:54
Problem not resolved with good \(1.19 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}\). R\&D is in here in Lowell \& hopefull \(y\) we can get a fix shortly. Will see what I can do to get this problem resolved.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 10/24/90 TIME: 09:23
Any status please! I have four customers (dealers) with these problems and they cannot sell CS/386 cpu's because their software will not run. A solution will be needed very shortly!!!!!!!!!!

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 10/22/90 TIME: 04:30
Customer is very unpatient now. Please try to make a little bit pressure to get this problem fixed very soon. And the customer need this fix without any new problems added otherwise I have a feeling that this customer will by a UNIX system from another source.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 11:38
Original 1.19 had bugs unrelated to your problem \& was unusable. We now have a corrected 1.19 which we will try to test in the next couple of days. Will update you with results.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 03:03
Please update me with your results. When will we have a solution? More and more customer will run into the same problem and they can not install the CS/386 so long we don't have this problem fixed!

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/08/90 TIME: 10:29
386 Maintenance Rel 1.19 received today. Will test against this problem \& update you on the results.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/04/90 TIME: 08:46
Official escalation to 8332. Problem already reported.
\(\quad\) S NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

\author{
START: P800010416 \\ ALL \\ ALL \\ ASSIGN RDB: ALL \\ ALL \\ 0 \\ ALL \\ END: P800010416 \\ ORIG RDB: ALL
}

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800010416 NUMBER OF RELATED
PRIORITY Pl
CUSTOMER PROBLEMS:
0

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/04/90 TIME: 08:44
C/A/P/10/4/90: Problem duplicated on R\&D systema and has been passed on to Taiwan for resolution. Escalating call to 8332 for greater visibility.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/28/90 TIME: 15:53
Have duplicated the Sl6 problem. Problem was COPY was only done to end of catalog. Will investigate \& pass on to Taiwan as necessary.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/28/90 TIME: 09:54
C/A/P/9/28/90: Awaiting fix on Global issue from Taiwan. Will be retesting for Sl6 error on copy with latest info today if at all possible.

RSSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/26/90 TIME: 09:19 Jate acknowledged. Will verify backup was done properly \& retest.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 09/25/90 TIME: 07:18
Did you try it with the second version with \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.17\) which I sent to you? c
And did you copy the whole 3874 sectors? (COPY T/Dxx, \((0,3873)\) TOT/D11,(0))
If not you will run into a problem. To be able to run all these steps it's necessary to have the "Projektbereich-Nr. l" created. I did it on my system and the data for "Projektbereich l" is stored to sectors behind the Current End of the floppy catalog in the sector area up to 3873 . Therefore it's necessary to copy all sectors. And its also necessary to do it with the second version because the first version did not include all sectors because of a copy failure created by myself.
If you have done all these things right you should not do any modifications at all wich result to expand the 'current end' or write to sectors between 'current end' and 3873.
By the way: 'Enter "l"' in step 13 means in detail 'PRESS "l" and "RETURN"' Let me know what happens. You must be able to reproduce it because I know to other customers with the same errors.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/21/90 TIME: 09:19}

Please look at update of \(9 / 19\). Still unable to reproduce Sl6. Run into a problem at step 17 of your 9/17 update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/20/90 TIME: 07:41
LA/P/9/20/90: Problem loading Globals reported to Taiwan. Awaiting fix.
able to reproduce S16 error on COPY. Awaiting further information from

PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PTR NUMBER - PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:

START: P800010416
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL
END: P800010416

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800010416 NUMBER OF RELATED
PRIORITY PI CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0
field on how to duplicate.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 15:55
Retested with your latest instructions \& still having problems. First to be perfectly accurate on step 13 of your \(9 / 17\) update must do a 'l' then 'RETURN' to get to step 14. Thgen everything is exactly as you describe up until step 17. On the screen we have 'Projektbearbeit - Nr l' (l is in a box with ro om for 2 characters to the right). When we enter 'l' as stated it enters over the existing 1 \& nothing happens. If you then key'RETURN' the message,
'Projektbereich Unzulaessig' appears momentarily \& the same screen is repeate d. We tried different combinations of entries \& always get the previous message, 'Zahl Zu Gross', or a format failure I believe \& the original screen always returning. What is wrong?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/17/90 TIME: 16:42
Updates acknowledged. Will retest \& get back to you with results.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 09/17/90 TIME: 08:22
15. Press RETURN for Korrektur = nein

You get the message "Projektbereich entsperrt" and then
the menu "SYSTEMPROGRAMME I"
16. Press RETURN for KZ. I - Projektbearbeitung

You get the menu "Projektbereich anwaehlen"
17. Enter "1" for Projektbereich - Nr 1
18. Press RETURN for Korrektur \(=\) nein
19. Press RETURN for KZ. I - Statische Berechnungen
20. Press RETURN for KZ. I - Position eingeben. Menu "PROGRAMMGRUPPEN" appear
21. welche Programmgruppe ?. Press "A" for Textbearbeitung

You get the menu "GRUPPE A Textbearbeitung"
22. Programm Nr.? Enter "l" for allgemeiner Test.

You get the menu "ALLGEMEINER TEST"
23. Enter "A" for Positionsbezeichnung
24. Press RETURN for Ausdruck auf neuer Seite
25. Press RETURN for Korrektur \(=\) nein
26. Now you have a text editor with cursor at the left side.

Here press "EDIT"
27. You should now have four lines of program text with ERROR S16: Missing Letter
NUMBER-------
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: P800010416
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800010416 NUMBER OF RELATED
PRIORITY Pl
Here is now an update with more detailed instructions:
1. Boot the CS/386 from Platter Dll and stay on terminal 1
2. Wait for the Date \& Time screen "Einstellung Datum \& Zeit" and press RETURN
3. There is now a blinking message "globale Partition nicht geladen" (engl.: gloabal partition not loaded) on the screen.
4. Press RESET. You get "READY (BASIC-2) PARTITION 05"
5. Enter "\$ RELEASE TERMINAL TO 2"
6. There are now two error messages in line 20: ERROR S24 and ERROR S20
7. Enter "LIST" and look at the rubbish
8. Enter "CLEAR". You get "READY (BASIC-2) PARTITION 2"
9. Enter 'LOAD RUN "Ul.BACK2"'. You get the cursor back on the screen.
10. Enter "RUN"

You get the Menu "VRSZ-SYSTEM" with a listing for "KZ. 1"......"KZ. 9"
Press "7" for Systemprogramme I
You get the menu "SYSTEMPROGRAMME I"
12. Press "8" for Projektbereich entsperren

You get the menu PROJEKTBEREICH ENTSPERREN
13. Enter "1" for Projektbereich - Nr.
14. Press RETURN for 'Art' (Statik)

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/14/90 TIME: 11:24
Retested this problem this AM With partition l expanded to 60 K the problem with the Globals appears to be circumvented. We have reported the Global pro blem to Taiwan. The other part of the problem starting at step 11 on your Wang Office we cannot duplicate. This morning we ran with 60K in the lst partition \& it came up without any errors to the main menu. At the main menu we keyed 7 for Systemprogramme I \& then 8 for Projekbereich entsperren but after that point were unsure if we are following you correctly. Although we seemed to go thru the steps \& come back to the main menu, we are unsure if we really did anything becausxe we can't read German \& it appears we are redoing
the same things at times. Please provide us with the menu picks we should see for each step so we can make sure we are properly trying to duplicate the problem. If you like you can FAX us the menu screens with instructions written in for each screen. Our FAX \# is 508-458-0620.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 09/14/90 TIME: 07:42
It was late when we did the testing, so I am not sure of every step we took. However, the lst time we ran item 9 LOAD RUN "Ul.BACK2", the system returned cursor on our screen. I think the difference may have been because of

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: P800010416
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

END: P800010416

ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER:
PRIORITY

P800010416
P1

NUMBER OF RELATED
CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0
changing partitions. Anyway we'll take another look today. But we were unab le to reproduce the S16 on COPY as said but will try it again.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 09/14/90 TIME: 04:39
You are right, "Ul.BACK2" will you bring back to partition 5. This is not documented. But I don't understand what you mean if you say you have to load "BEGINN" manually to continue. After LOAD RUN "Ul.BACK2" you have to \(n\) enter <RUN RETURN> as described in step 10 and if you exact follow the memo you must be able to create ERR S16!
You should use the second version of customers database which includes 0/S 1.17 (copy all 3874 sectors to a free 011 platter!) and run all the steps described in my memo from terminal 1.
I can duplicate the problems with 1.15 and 1.17

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/13/90 TIME: 10:53}

C/A/P/9/13/90: Tested this problem yesterday. There is obviously a problem on the 386 loading the global programs, Ul.BACK in partition 1 \& DOFOS2 in partition 2. We used release 1.17. By running PSTAT on another W/S we d could see DOFOS2 was not coming up initially \& everything in your Wang Office up thru step 7 was as you described. There is certainly a problem loading th e globals \& we are trying to narrow the problem down a little more before sending it off to Taiwan. We did find though if we cleared partition 2 we could run "Ul.BACK2" which would get DOFOS2 global running in partition 2. Don't know if you missed a step but after loading "Ul.BACK2" I believe we were released to partition 5 where we then had to manually load "BEGINN" to continue on. We were able to complete all subsequent steps thru either 25 or 26 without ever seeing the S16 error you listed in \# 27. This part of the problem is either fixed with 1.17 or not duplicatable at least in our testing . If we increased the lst partition from 30 K to 60 K , both Globals appeared t o load properly \& everything seemed to run normally. We could not see any re ason why the extra memory was needed but it obviously is a factor. Again as it stands now the global problem will be forwarded to Taiwan to be fixed. The last problem, S16 on COPY from item 27 from your Wang Office was not reproducible.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 09/12/90 TIME: 01:50
Update of customer data base including \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.17\) sent out today to Mike Bahia by Wang Office.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
RNUMBER - \\
PRIORITY: \\
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
RDB - \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: \\
STATUS TYPE: \\
STATUS CODE: \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & P800010416 \\
PRIORITY & Pl & NUMBER OF RELATED \\
PUSTOMER PROBLEMS:
\end{tabular}

During my tests wit 1.17 I found the same problems as described in the wo memo for 1.15. But if you follow the steps in the memo the program will hang at point 17 with "Projektbereich unzulaessig". This is because of a copy problem because the program is using data sectors behind the 'Current End' of the platter which have not been copied. I will send you an update so you can also produce the ERR S16 during the Format statement.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 09/11/90 TIME: 09:10

The problem is not related to a \(1 M B\) cpu. We tried it on \(1 M B\) and 4 MB cpu's and got the same errors. I'm testing with \(0 / S 1.17\) and it seems to fail under the same circumstances.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/90 TIME: 15:06
ry for delay on this one but will be testing out Wednesday \& will let you
uw results. Is this problem related to the Sl6 with the 1 Meg brd describe d in PTR C8/7335. Please see that call \& update. Thanks.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 09/04/90 TIME: 02:13
Could I have a status please? Thanks!
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 08/29/90 TIME: 07:59
I will send a 2200 disk image of a \(8^{\prime}\) DSDO disketle created with COPr2200 and a memo with all the details by Wang Office to Albert Grant and Mike Bahid.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 12/18/90 IIME: \(11: 18\)
This problem has been fixed in Rev. I.lB prerelease and 1.2 general of O.S.
```

TTR NUMBER -

```
गRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
2DB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
status type:
status code:

START: P200016696 END: P200016696
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

PROBLEM TYPE: PROB LINKED TO PROBLEM:

P1

NUMBER OF RELATED
CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0
PRODUCT PROBLEMS: 0

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS-386 ORIGINATOR NAME: BAHIA MICHAEL E
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS 386 CPU

SW MODEL NUMBER: OS
SW VERSION: 01 1A

P200016696

01 1A

PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
\begin{tabular}{lllr} 
& & DATE ENTER PTR: & \(11 / 28 / 90\) \\
STh DATE: & \(01 / 22 / 91\) & DATE TO R\&D: & \(11 / 28 / 90\) \\
STATUS CODE: & S C 595 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 38.91 \\
STATUS ABBREV: & PERM FIX & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 38.91
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/28/90
RDB ASSIGNED:
PERSON ASSIGNED:

If you execute a COPY statement with a disk drive that is powered off, a hang occurs which could be considered normal. But, if you key RESET, the system hangs and only a cursor will come up on the screen. This hangs the entire system. After an extended period (around 5 minutes with my configuration) the system comes back and may proceed from where it hung. If the drive is powered on before you key RESET usually you can recover. Tested on 2 complet ely different systems using a DS, 2275, \&/or a 2270A.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/09/91 TIME: 17:59
This problem does appear to be fixed with l.lB. Initially thought was not fixed as test system was up \& thought \(1.1 B\) was loaded. When rebooted with l.1B again could not dupe problem so 1.lB may not have been loaded.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 11/28/90 TIME: 15:19

Sending to R\&D for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/28/90 TIME: 14:08 On a 386 execute the following statement with at least 1 of the drive units powered off: COPYT/Dxx, \((0,10)\) TOT/Dxx,
Re -UTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 12/18/90 TIME: 11:03
This problem is fixed in 1.1B prerelease ans 1.2 General of O.S. JMR
\begin{tabular}{lrrrl} 
A0113R & WAN G L A B O R A T O R I E S I N C . & PAGE: \\
0.05 .00 & PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING & 22 JAN 1991 \\
& PRODUCT ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT & \(17: 00: 52\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{ELECREON CRITERIA}

\section*{TR NUMBER -} RIORITY:
'ROBLEM TYPE:
'DB -
IW/SW INDICATOR:
iTATUS TYPE:
;TATUS CODE:

START: P200016552 END: P200016552

ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL
'ROBLEM NUMBER: 'RIORITY

गROBLEM TYPE: ESC
_INKED TO PROBLEM:
¡YSTEM MODEL NO: CS386
.JEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS CPU
J.S. VERSION:

0118
IW MODEL NUMBER: CS386
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW VERSION: 0118
?ART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
P200016552 NUMBER OF RELATED
P1

STA. ذـ DATE: 01/22/91
STATUS CODE: S C 590
STATUS ABBREV: MAIN FIX

CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0 PRODUCT PROBLEMS: 0

ORIGINATOR NAME: BAHIA MICHAEL E ORIGINATOR PHONE:

RDB ASSIGNED: 8760 PERSON ASSIGNED:

DATE ENTER PTR: \(10 / 18 / 90\)
DATE TO R\&D: \(10 / 18 / 90\)
WKDYS IN R\&D: 68.27
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 68.27

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 11:41 Load Receive Code Translation will not always work on the 386. Problem lst reported on 1.0B. Verified with program provided by field on 1.18. Tested same program on VLSI \& no problem. Basically using a null modem w/ 2 TC cables between 2 non-partitioned terminals, the code sent out 1 port will not natch what is received on the 2 nd port. Fails within a few passes. Ran 3 days on VLSI.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 17:44
yaint Rel l.1B has been tested at the field office \& looks good. They have requested the call be transferred back to them for monitoring while the custo ner tests. This call can be closed. Will reopen should customer find furthe r problems.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/10/91 TIME: 17:07
「ested the LOAD RCV CODE Translation problem against l.1B \& looks good. Will inform field.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 17:28 Received call from Germany inquiring about this problem. When can we expect :oave a fix available.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 11:52
jelection criteria
'TR NUMBER 'RIORITY:
'ROBLEM TYPE:
2DB -
IW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
status code:

START: P200016552
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
END: P200016552

ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: P200016552 NUMBER OF RELATED PRIORITY PI CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0

Sending to 8332 for resolution.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Sending to 8332 for resolution.

ASSIGNED: bAhIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 11:41
Will forward to you via Wang Office progam from field to duplicate. Have program on diskette in my office if this is easier. Also have cables \& null modem from to if needed.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 01/21/91 TIME: 09:33
This was fixed in Rev. 1.1B bugfix and 1.12 General Rel...JMR
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
A0113R & WANG LA B O R A TOR I E S I N C . & PAGE: 1 \\
10.05 .00 & PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING & 22 JAN 1991 \\
& PRODUCT ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT & \(16: 59: 49\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{iELETON CRITERIA}

\section*{TR NUMBER} 'RIORITY:
'ROBLEM TYPE:
रDB -
†W/SW INDICATOR:
jTATUS TYPE:
¡TATUS CODE:

START: P200016536
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
END: P200016536

\section*{ALL}

C
ALL

गROBLEM NUMBER:
כRIORITY
PROBLEM TYPE: ESC

NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0

PRODUCT PROBLEMS: 0

LINKED TO PROBLEM:
SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS/386-10D
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS 386 CPU
ORIGINATOR NAME: BAHIA MICHAEL E ORIGINATOR PHONE:
O.S. VERSION: 0117

HW MODEL NUMBER: CS/386-10D RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW VERSION:
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
STA.uذ DATE: \(\quad 01 / 22 / 91\)
STATUS CODE: S C 595
STATUS ABBREV: PERM FIX

PERSON ASSIGNED:

DATE ENTER PTR: \(10 / 12 / 90\)
DATE TO R\&D: \(10 / 12 / 90\)
WKDYS IN R\&D: \(\quad 72.49\)
TOT WKDYS OPEN: \(\quad 72.49\)

PROBLEM SUMMARY :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/12/90 TIME: 13:59
An illegal sector address will load a sector of information when it should give a P34 (illegal value) error.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 17:40
This problem is resolved with Maint Rel 1.lA. All linked calls have been unlinked as field is agreeable to fix. This call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/26/90 TIME: 09:55 In further testing have found that if the sector \# in the DATALOADBAT command has a fraction (decimal point) it will go ahead \& load a sector though it should give an error.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Sending to R\&D for resolution.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E「his problem also occurs on CS/386 1.18.

'ROBLEM NUMBER: 'RIORITY

P200016536
P1

NUMBER OF RELATED CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0

30 HEXPRINT A\$()
IO STOP
Should get error P34 on line 20, but will appear to load a sector \& print all 1ex Os.

RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 01/21/91 TIME: 09:29
This problem has been fixed in Rev. l.lC bugfix and 1.12 general Rel. out in Feb. 91 JMR

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

START: C800011097
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

END: C800011097

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

PROBLEM TYPE:
PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

CUST NAME: APOK N.V.
CUST NUMBER: B1 00101933001
CUST RDB: B2910
CUST CONTACT: DU MONGH MICHEL
CUST CONT PHONE: 02-727-221-1
CUST ADDRESS 1: Oudestraat 39
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY:
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: 3090 -
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: DU MONGH MICHEL
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 10/05/90
DATE TO R\&D: 10/08/90
WKDYS IN R\&D: 72.64
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 74.84

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS/386-10D
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS 386 CPU
O. S. VERSION: 117

HW MODEL NUMBER: 2200-CS-386
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW VERSION: 0117
PART NUMBER:
PAPNUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 05/10/90
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: \(12 / 13 / 90\)
STATUS CODE: S 0723
STATUS ABBREV: TEMP FIX-P

PROBLEM SUMMARY :DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 10/05/90 TIME: 06:54 We encountered a problem with the DATA LOAD BAT instruction on a CS386 with O.S. Release 1.17.

When you've calculated a sectornumber, and that number is beyond the sector limits of the drive you get normally an error P34.
e.g. DATA LOAD BAT\#X, (999999,Z)A\$()

For the following example you expect also an error, but the system doesn't give an error, and read a wrong sector.
e.g. DATA LOAD BAT\#X,(999999.1,Z)A\$()

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 17:36
Transferring call back to field to be closed as agreed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 15:10 Unlinking call in order to send back to field for closing. Problem corrected with maintenance rel 1.lA.
A. GNED: DU MONGH MICHEL

DATE: 01/17/91 TIME: 09:13

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
:HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011097
END: C800011097
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
| PROBLEM NUMBER:
: PRIORITY

C800011097
P1

CUST NAME: APOK N.V.
CUST NUMBER: B1 00101933001
CUST RDB: B2910

Call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Ean this call be closed?

DATE: 01/08/91 TIME: 16:59

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Has fix been given to customer?

DATE: 01/02/91 TIME: 12:54
Can call be closed?

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 12/27/90 TIME: 04:40
with O.S. I.1A it seems to be OK. We got in both cases error P34, what is inormal.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/21/90 TIME: 17:40
i:łave you been able to test this problem out against l.lA? We will be on vacation until 1/2.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/12/90 TIME: 11:07
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 12/12/90 TIME: 05:44
.At this moment we can not test Maint. Rel. 1.1A, because our system is out as back-up. I shall forward the results ASAP.
Concerning the phone call made by Gentil to Gene Schulz you must ask it to Gentil. I have no idea why Gentil made this phone call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/11/90 TIME: 14:51
Michel, Willem indicated to me that l.lA was forwarded to Belgium soon after being received on approximately Nov 5. I believe I forwarded a copy of his Wang Office to you and also sent Maint Rel l.lA. Has it been tested yet. Also have not heard anything back from anyone in Belgium on a phone call I nade by Gentil to Gene Schulz, 2200 Product Manager. Sent out 3 Wang Office Inessage which I copied you on. If you know Eric De Maertelaere or Gentil ':ould you please have them send me a Wang Office indicating what the problem 'was and if it has been resolved. Awaiting feedback on test results with 1.1A Maintenance Release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/29/90 TIME: 13:02
Willem Sloep has l.1A which was sent by Wang Office. I expect he will be for jarding a copy to you very shortly.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 11/29/90 TIME: 10:59
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\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTRamMBER PR. ITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800011097
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL

\section*{ALL}

0
ALL

END: C800011097

ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800011097 & CUST NAME: & APOK N.V. \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & B1 00101933001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & B2910
\end{tabular}

Till now we didn't receive Release l.lA. No release, no results, I'm sorry!
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/28/90 TIME: 10:18 flpdate acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM
DATE: 11/28/90 TIME: 08:54
Will update you with 1.1 A results
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/27/90 TIME: 17:20
This problem should be resolved with 1.1A. Please provide feedback as soon a s possible on your findings.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 15:10 Awing feedback from test results with 1.1A.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/05/90 TIME: 11:14
386 Maintenance Rel l.lA sent this AM to Willem Sloep. This problem should be resolved. Please test \& get back to us with your findings.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 10/31/90 TIME: 13:03
This problem has been corrected. It appears to be a problem any time a fractional number is used. The fix is on maintenance rel l.lA which Taiwan \(\mathfrak{i}\) s giving to us today. If everything tests out properly we will get it to you via WangOffice probably either Friday or Monday.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/12/90 TIME: \(13: 58\) Have duplicated your problem and it is being forwarded to R\&D for resolution.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 10/08/90 TIME: 07:41
Call send to R\&D for investigation.
ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 10/05/90 TIME: 06:54
DATA LOAD BAT\#X,(999999,Z)A\$()
DATA LOAD BAT\#X,(999999.1,Z)A\$()
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 17:34
SC732. Incorrect handling of DATALOAD BAT with invalid \#s corrected with Maint Rel l.lA. Transferring call back to field as agreed to be closed.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

START: C800007335
END: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800007335
P1

CUST NAME: ALEC GMBH
CUST NUMBER: G1 00014048001
CUST RDB: G2100
CUST CONTACT: HERR ANDREAS PAHNE
CUST CONT PHONE: 02-31 -237-000
CUST ADDRESS 1: AM WESTHECK 367
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY:
DORTMUND 14
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: 4600 -
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: FINDT ERWIN
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 04/12/90
DATE TO R\&D: 04/13/90
WKDYS IN R\&D: 199.61
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 200.46
DATE: 04/12/90 TIME: 09:51
1. \$GIO Command for 'LOAD Receive Code Translation Table' will not always load the table to the MXE controller. In that case character translation will not be performed and End of Record Detection will not work. Customer has one background program (part.l/terminal 0) to initialize and work with mxe ports \(3,4,7\) and 8 as tc ports.
2. Partions which are NOT programmable allow to execute LOAD RUN "TEST". This should create ERR A08. Only LOAD RUN "START" should be allowed!
3. Try to edit the following program line:

10 REM PRINT A: PRINT B
4. You get unexpected results as a lot of dots and or any command words after the "REM PRINT A" and the line is damaged.
More problems in Update Screen
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 17:30 jending call back to field as requested. All problems corrected as of Maint 2el 1B.

PTR NUMBER PRRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

END: C800007335

ORIG RDB: ALL
§วROBLEM NUMBER: YRIORITY

C800007335
P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

ALEC GMBH
G1 00014048001
G2100

Unlinking call to send back to field for monitoring. Load receive code trans lation problem appears fixed w/ maintenance rel l.iB.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 07:26
Received CS/386 Rel. 1.1B and tested this problem here and it seems to be fixed. Will send the vers. 1.1B to customer ALEC and close this PTR if he lias no problems. Please send this PTR back. Thank you.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/10/91 TIME: 17:11
-ested this problem against latest maintenance release, l.lB \& does not fail. Will arrange to have \(1.1 B\) sent to you on Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/10/91 TIME: 17:09
Fested this problem against latest maintenance release 1.18 \& does not fail.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/08/91 TIME: 16:55}

The new maintenance release looks good \& is suppose to have a fix for this problem. Will try to verify tomorrow or Thursday. There are some problems still with SELECT \(H\). We are expecting a fix for this any day, should be this week. Will be in training lst 4 days of next week so may be problem getting mext maint release to you until a week from Friday if not received until late tn week. Would you like the present release if it fixes the problem as it should or would you rather wait for the next release with the SELECT H sroblems resolved?

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/02/91 TIME: 12:59}
ide are testing a maintenance release which has a fix for this problem. If no problems found expect to send to you on Friday via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/21/90 TIME: 17:32
R\&D has a fix for this but has not finished with all the problems yet. They may have finished today but it will take at least a day or 2 to test out. We should be able to send you a fix the first week in January if the 0/S tests rout good. On vacation until Jan 2nd. Merry Christmas \& Happy Wew Year.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/11/90 TIME: 14:24
R\&D is currently concentrating on all open bugs against the \(3860 / S\) of which this is one. Hope to have a fix by next week but this is the toughest of the group.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

START: C800007335
END: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL

0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800007335
P1

CUST NAME: ALEC GMBH
CUST NUMBER: G1 00014048001
CUST RDB: G2100

Have requested current status on problem from MR.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/90 TIME: 15:05 R\&D having trouble correctly because doesn't fail everytime. Still working on.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/31/90 TIME: 13:00
Taiwan R\&D is here now working on this problem. We expect a fix shortly.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/18/90 TIME: 11:57
Problem duplicated oln \(3860 / \mathrm{S}\) 1.18. Fails within a minute or 2. Ran 3 days on VLSI. Product call P2/16552 opened for official notification for R\&D fix. l-inking this call to \(\mathrm{P} 2 / 16552\).

AS__GNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/09/90 TIME: 10:42 R\&D here has duplicated your problem and is still investigating.
 Received your Wang Office with the disk image. Will test out \& get back to \(y\) su.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/26/90 TIME: 09:07 Have you received the software from the customer yet to duplicate the problem \(m\) ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/12/90 TIME: 11:02 :Jpdate acknowledged. Awaiting your results on Load Receive Code Translation problem.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 09/12/90 TIME: 04:47
The Load Receive Code Translation Table problem only should be further controlled by this PTR. Customer is having this problem also with 0/S 1.10 and he will send a program diskette to reproduce it. Then I will try and test it with 0/S 1.15 and 1.17 and let you know the results.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 09/12/90 TIME: 04:31
The S16 problem described in this PTR is exactly the same as reprted in
P8/10416 but is not related to the 1MB cpu. It happens on 1, 2, 4, an 8MB cpum and PTR P8/10416 has been opened only for that problem.
The core we should forget all the updates and problems reported in this

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

END: C800007335

CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800007335 PRIORITY

Pl
CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:
ALEC GMBH
G1 00014048001
G2 100
call with the exeption of point 1 in the problem summary screen which describes the 'Load Receive Code Translation Table' problem.
The boot problem regarding the configuration of @BOOT I will explain you by Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/90 TIME: 12:10
Is the S16 problem in PTR P8/10416 related to the 1 Meg board problem describ ed in your status comment of \(7 / 26\) ? Have you done any further fault isolation n as suggested in my update of 7/30. Either way this call should be closed a as all original problems have been addressed. If the S16 problem with the 1 Meg board is a separate problem a separate call should be opened. If the boot problem mentioned on \(7 / 11\) is still unresolved please open a new customer 1 DTR \& send us board to reproduce it on. Can we close this call as all origiinal issues have been addressed? Please respond.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/27/90 TIME: 15:45
Have you done any further isolation on the 516 error as suggested in update of \(7 / 30\). Have re-addressed this problem with R\&D as they have not tried duplicating yet. Please open 2 nd call on boot problem \& send to 8760 . If you can send us a board that fails we may be able to get this taken care of.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/31/90 TIME: 14:48
Please open a separate call on the boot problem. May be quickest to send me a failing board for that problem. Have updated R\&D on the partitioning problem with the 1 Meg board. Have a similar problem reported against 1.15 but do not know if same. Please followup on my last update to try to isolate pro blem further in case R\&D cannot duplicate.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/30/90 TIME: 12:06
|Both my 386 brds show rev 5930. On \(7 / 4\) \& \(7 / 11\) you stated the rev as 5950 but on your last update you gave 5990. Which is correct? This very well may be a prom issue. How many boards has the boot problem been reproduced on \& doe is it occur with any 0/S or just 1.15? Could you send me a board that you hav :e reproduced the problem on?
'On the S16 problem, will relay your info to R\&D to try \& reproduce either problem. Are these boards also giving the boot problem \& do all show the same rev, 5950 or 5990 ? My brds are a 2 Meg \& 8 Meg. Where this problem has shown only on the \(1 \mathrm{Meg} \&\) not on the 4 Meg further isolation of the problem would be helpful. Assuming the proms are the same revision, the only differe nces between the \(1 \mathrm{Meg} \& 4 \mathrm{Meg}\) brd are: the PAL chip at L62 (1 Meg = 377-3776

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

START: C800007335
END: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER:
PRIORITY

C800007335
P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: G1 00014048001
CUST RDB: G2100
\& 4 Meg \(=377-3778\) ); 1 Meg uses 256K SIMMS \& 4 Meg \(1 M\) SIMMS; \& jumper J5, ieft for 1 Meg \& right for 4 Meg. Could you try swapping the SIMMs modules \& PALs \& moving the jumper to see if the problem follows the board or the 3 cha nges. Also should order a 2 Meg \& test against it as the only difference wit h 1 Meg are the additional 4 SIMMs \& the PAL chip at L62.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 07/26/90
TIME: 08:46
The 'rev 5990' comes on the screen if you switch the cpu off and on.
Let me describe a other problem witch exist on cutomer site with a IMB board sice he has updated from \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) Rev. 1.10 to 1.15:
1.. The system will be automaticly configured and programs will be automaticly loaded.
2. Part. 1 runs in foreground with 20 MB of memory.
mrt. 2 runs in background with 50 MB of memory.
3. at the programm in partition 2 will not loaded correctly. There is only one programm line in it and the rest are all '00000000000'.
4. If the customer changes the defined part. memory to 30 K for part. 1 the program in part. 2 will be loaded correcly but gives a syntax error S16 with a copy command. The problem has been reproduced on two different IMB boards, but it doesn't exist on a 4MB board.
It seems like addressing problem regarding IMB board and O/S 1.15. But no- d body knows how much other problems can be created on other customer configurations. I would hope to get a really running CS/386 0/S very soon. The actual situation regarding CS/386 systems and 2536 DW workstations is terrible

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/12/90 TIME: 10:07
Have 2386 brds \& do not see any reference to prom rev 5990. The proms on bo th my brds are R1's ( \(2513 R 1\) \& 2514R1) located at L13 \& L23 respectively. The Rl also comes up on the initial boot in the top right corner (Bootstrap = RI) . Looks again like yot may have a bad brd, either the 386, Disk Controller, or Terminal Controller, or possibly a damaged boot file. Have noted that there still may be an S16 problem. If there is any way you can get info to duplicate please do so as otherwise unless reported elsewere with details it is unlikely to be resolved in near future.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 07/11/90 TIME: 09:14 Mike, I would like clear the boot problem I have with my CS/386:
- it exist at the boot phase when (or before) the cpu is loading be boot program @BOOT or the boot screen.
- : is not related to any (!!) CS/386 0/S

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: sTATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ALL
0
ALL

END: C800007335

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL
PROBLEM NUMBER:
| PRIORITY
C800007335
Pl
CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:
ALEC GMBH
G1 00014048001
G2100
- how the problem occurs is depending on the data statements in @BBOT
- normally the system says: Loading CS/386 O/S but at this time (dependig of @BOOT) I have sometimes unexpected char. in the line before "Loading ........" or otherwise I get a ERR D82 or a system hang which I can resolve only by switching the cpu off and on.
I think that the boot problem could be produced by the boot proms on the cpu. I have Rev. 5950. Do you have the same?
Now to the ERR S16 problem. The customer told me that the problem still exist but under different circumstances. At the moment we have no way how we can reproduce it. I will update if the problem is reproduceable. But you should remember that the ERR S16 problem with COPY and or DEFFN'/SELECT @PART is still there.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 07/05/90 TIME: 10:03
Have booted off the CS/386 \(1.150 / S\) several times including some testing I did this AM to try to reproduce your problem \& can not duplicate. This may be a problem with your disk controller, MXE brd, 386 brd, or your copy of 1.15. Assuming there were no problems with older versions of the \(386 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}\) you have used \& they continue to work fine, the disk controller \& O/S are most likely. Try a different style controller.

\section*{ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 07/04/90 TIME: 10:01}

Tested 1.15 for ERR S16 with COPY and SELECT @PART in foreground and found the problem seems to be fixed.
By the way, other idiosyncraisies I found at the boot phase after pressing RESET and KEY SF for loading the O/S:
1. If I will boot from the diskette which contains the 0/S 1.15 received by WO the system will hang in the loading screen with some unexpected characters and the @MXEO on the screen. I have to switch off/on the CS/386.
2. I had a 2280 platter with a modified @BOOT and all CS/386 O/S. NOW I moved the @MVP of O/S 1.15 as \(@ 15\) to this platter and added a DATA statement to @BOOT an tried to boot from this platter. But the system was hangig in the loading screen similar to pointl. To make it possible to boot from this platter I must remove one DATA statement. Do you >>> understand this? I don't. I'm sure that it has nothing to do with l.15. Could this problem be related to the boot proms of the CS/386 board? My system comes up with the following screen:
'Copyright Wang Laboratories 1989 Rev 5950'

ITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:

STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
END: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800007335 PRIORITY

ALL
0
ALL

Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 06/28/90 TIME: 04:37
Received 1.15 today. Will test and update asap.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/25/90 TIME: 07:58 Tested against latest Maintenance Release 1.15 \& problem is corrected. Have requested CS/386 1.15 be sent to you via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/22/90 TIME: 10:57
Duplicated problem. Will bring to the attention of R\&D.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 06/22/90 TIME: 04:58
Cormen to point 5 of my last update "ERR S16 with COPY"
Thi, problem happens now in foreground. To reproduce it run the following programs:
Partition 2: 10 DEFFN @PART "TEST"
PARTITION 1: 10 SELECT @PART "TEST"
20 COPY T/Dxx TOT/Dyy,
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/12/90 TIME: 08:58 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 06/12/90 TIME: 03:03
1. For the "LOAD Receive Code Translation Table" problem I await the test results of CS/386 0/S 1.10 from the customer. (still open)
2. With 0/S 1.10 not Programmable Partitions" will now work correct and 'LOAD RUN "TEST"' creates an ERR A08!
3. \& 4. EDIT '10 REM PRINT A: PRINTB' will now work with 0/S 1.10! (fixed)
5. ERR S16 with COPY in a global background partition is fixed with 0/S 1.10 !
6. The RESET problem with printers should be closed because it has not been reported correctly and it's not clear what really happens. (closed)

Hopefully a get a positiv answer from the customer about Point 1 so we can close this PTR. I will update asap.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/04/90 TIME: 10:28 Update acknowledged. Awaiting results from customer.

DATE: 05/29/90 TIME: 04:20

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
- PROBLEM TYPE:

RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

END: C800007335

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800007335
PRIORITY PI

CUST NAME: ALEC GMBH
CUST NUMBER: G1 00014048001
CUST RDB: G2100

Sid send 1.1 to the customer and await his results.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/25/90 TIME: 08:02 This problem should be resolved w/ l.l. Please update on findings so we can close call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/90 TIME: 16:39 CS/ 386 General Rel 1.1 sent to you today via Wang Office. This should correc \(t\) the Sl6 error w/ COPY in Global. Please update us with your findings.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/16/90 TIME: 07:52
I have been told the 'Restore Power On Defaults' sequence is only in a I:ertain print drivers. Exactly which printer drivers are being used \& which |printers? Are the drivers the standard Wang drivers from the \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) disk?

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 05/16/90 TIME: 05:34
The Load RCV Code Translation Table problem is difficult to reproduce it bcause it happens sporadicly. The RESET problem with printers is not the RESET Key. It's regarding the Restore Power-on Defaults escape sequence used for the printer driver. I will wait for 0/S 1.10 and then I will test it out together with the customer (dealer).

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/15/90 TIME: 13:56}

Retested some of these problems on 1.1 in the lab today.
1. Unable to duplicate this problem where the 'Load Receive Code Translation Table' will no always load. Could be a shakey MXE brd. If not can you give additional info on duplicating problem.
2. What you described with the non-programmable partition is true. We will be checking documentation on this \& reporting it to Taiwan if this as it :appears should be corrected.
:3/4/5. We will be sending \(0 / S 1.1\) to you very shortly. It corrects these.
15. Testing w/ \(1.100 / \mathrm{S}\) we were unable to see this problem. Using the DM50/30
1.) printer driver with a PMO17 on 1 terminal \& a DM50/300 on another on the :same MXE we repeatedly keyed RESET but saw no reaction by the other terminals printer. Test this on 1.1 when you get it \& let us know if this is corrected \& if not supply us w/ the details on how you produced the problem.
1. Customer was already using \$GIO RESET before we reported the LOAD RCV Code

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

END: C800007335

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800007335
Pl

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

ALEC GMBH
G1 00014048001
G2100

Translation Table problem . Maybe vers. 1.10 will be a solution?
2. Will not accept that non programmable partitions can load all programs! All old MVP,LVP,SVP,Micro-VP and CS O/S allowed only to load a program "START" with 'LOAD RUN' or 'LOAD RUN "START"'!
3./4. Await \(0 / S 1.10\) for fixing the problem with editing'IOREMPRINTA:PRINTB'
5. Await that ERR S16 with COPY in a GLOBAL background partition will be fixed in 0/S 1.10 .
6. Await that problems with 2536 DW and printers connected to that WS will be fixed with R2 E-Prom. What's about printers connected to the local cpu printer interfaces which will sometimes print wrong characters too??
The printer RESET problem exists as following:
The RESET command from one terminal to the terminal printer will be send \(t\) to all terminal printers if drivers installed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/11/90 TIME: 07:26
To clarify the Sl6 error in the Global problem resolved in 1.10. The other problems listed were addressed in a previous update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/10/90 TIME: 15:21
Problem has been verified as fixed on general release 1.10 which we are now testing. If no problems will send out next week via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/18/90 TIME: 10:29
Have gone though your updates with R\&D \& have found the following:
1. \$GIO to 'LOAD Receive Code Translation Table'. Must use the following command before loading the table: \$GIO\#?(4580) ? is the Device Table num ber.
2. Having a partition that is not programmable will only prevent you from LISTing the program. It should not restrict from running any programs. Could not find a problem here.
3 \& 4. This problem has been identified \& is fixed in subsequent releases being tested.
5. The S16 error was reproduced \& reported to Taiwan.

6 . The printer printing wrong characters appears to be part of the 2536 DW prom problem \& appears to be fixed w/ the R2 prom which we are currently test ing. The RESET to all printers is normal if they all reside in the Device Table for that W/S. With the 386 , RESET is a software function and all devices shown in the Device Table of the W/S that is RESET could be affected.
This is "functioning as designed."
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 04/12/90 TIME: 11:24

\section*{ñUII<K}

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR-AUMBER -
PR. ITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007335
END: C800007335
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

\section*{ALL}

0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{|llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800007335 & CUST NAME: & ALEC GMBH \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & G1 00014048001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & G2100
\end{tabular}
6. Some printer connected to cpu printer interface or 2536 DW terminal will sporadicly print wrong charcters.
If more than one printer installed with printer driver and a RESET command has been send to one printer sometimes it resets all printers. Did you hear something like that?

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 04/12/90 TIME: 11:02
5. Wrong ERR S16.

Run the following program in background on Partition 1 and Terminal 0:
300 DEFFN @PART "GLOBAL"
320 DEFFN' 123
330 STOP: COPY T/Dxx,TOT/Dyy,
Then Run the following program in any foreground partition and press
mantinue:
20 SELECT @PART "GLOBAL"
40 GOSUB' 123
Result: @330 STOP: COPY T/Dxx,TOT/Dyy, ERROR SI6: Missing Letter

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/91 TIME: 17:26 9/12/90: All original 0/S bugs desribed in problem description corrected with later \(3860 / S\) releases ( \(1.0 B\) \& higher) except item 1 , Load Receive Code Translation.
1/18/91: Load Receive Code Xslation corrected with Maintenance Release 1.1B as seen in in-house testing using problem duplication test. Transferring call back to field for monitoring while software tested at site as requested by field.

ERLOA SIG WITH COPY in FOREGloUND FIXED w/ 1.15

PROBLEM NUMBER:
'PRIORITY
PROBLEM TYPE:
LINK TO PROB NO: NOT LINKED

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS/386-10D
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS 386 CPU
D. S. VERSION:

HW MODEL NUMBER:
SW MODEL NUMBER: OS
SW VERSION: 0110

PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
SERIAL NUMBER:

CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00 00:00 NETWORKED: N
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 12/05/90
STATUS CODE: S 0495
STATUS ABBREV: NEW PROBLM
STATUS DESC: NEW PROBLEM

CUST NAME: HUTCHISON PAGING LTD
CUST NUMBER: HH 00000001370
CUST CONTACT: VICTOR LAU
CUST CONT PHONE: 88-047-26 -
CUST ADDRESS 1:
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY:
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: - CUST RDB: H9906
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: LAU VICTOR
ORIG EMPL NO: HH-99123
ORIG PHONE:
ORIG RDB:
H9906

RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 12/05/90
DATE TO R\&D: 019901206
WKDAYS IN R\&D: 9.64
TOT WKDAYS OPEN: 10.15

PROBLEM SUMMARY :LAU VICTOR DATE: 12/05/90 TIME: 20:19
PROB: When global variable and MOVE file to CPU RAMDISK command both exist in the same program, "S16 Missing error" prompt after execution. Then CPU will hang with cursor only after LISTing any disk platter, (actual disk or RAMDISK)
H/W: CS/386-10N x3, 2200DS (112M+112M with R3 PROM on DPU) x2, 2275MUX x2, 22C80 x4
S/W: CS/386 OS 1.10

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/06/90 TIME: 14:41
Cannot duplicate your error on CS/ 386 with \(0 / S 1.1\) with information given. Did not supply configuration \& problem could be affected by what is happening in other partitions. First, program will not run as given unless a

> DEFFN @PART "ABC" is done first somewhere. Get X77 otherwise, Invalid Partition Reference. However, a problem similarto what you described involving Globals, S16, S20, and S24 errors has been fixed \& is in Maintenance Release l.1A which I will forward to you this after. Test problem against this release. If still fails, create simple configuration in GENPART and try to duplicate problem with no programs running in other partitions. If does not fail will need to supply me with additional info to reproduce problem. If does fail, try a 2nd CPU board or even better, try to duplicate on a 2 nd 386 system.

ASSIGNED: CHUI SIMON
DATE: 12/06/90 TIME: 04:39
Fi confirmed that if program did not contain a Global variable, MOVE file to ...M DISK is OK (in Program mode).
If program contain global variable after error prompt, CPU still not hang up, unless LISTing disk platter or RAM platter is performed.
Initial escalate for assistance.Pls advise.
ASSIGNED: LAU VICTOR
DATE: 12/05/90 TIME: 20:19
i) 10 SELECT @PART"ABC"

20 SCRATCH DISK T/340, LS=5, END=270
30 MOVE T/D21 "FILEl" TO T/340,
ii) RUN to execute program
iii) LIST DCT/D21 or LIST DCT/340

Sc^41. Resocued with i.1A.
PTHMUMBER -

START: P800010820
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
ALL
ALL
\(\begin{array}{llc}\text { PROBLEM NUMBER: } & \text { P800010820 } & \text { NUMBER OF RELATED } \\ \text { PRIORITY } & \text { P2 } & \text { CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: }\end{array}\)
PROBLEM TYPE: ESC PRODUCT PROBLEMS: 0 LINKED TO PROBLEM:

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS386 ORIGINATOR NAME: FINDT ERWIN
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS CPU

\section*{ORIGINATOR PHONE:}
O.S. VERSION: 1. 17

HW MODEL NUMBER: CS386
SW MODEL NUMBER: BASIC-2/386-9
SW VERSION:
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
1. 17

RDB ASSIGNED: 8332
PERSON ASSIGNED: RILEY J MICHAEL

DATE ENTER PTR: 09/19/90
STATUS DATE: 10/23/90
DATE TO R\&D: 09/26/90
ST S CODE:
S C 198
F-T-SPEC-C
WKDYS IN R\&D:
TOT WKDYS OPEN:
PROBLEM SUMMARY :FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 05:20
If the @BOOT program of the CS/386 has some choices to load different versions of \(0 / S\) it results in garbage on the boot screen and under some circumstances the system is unable to load the 0/S.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/16/90 TIME: \(13: 28\)
The @BOOT file is restricted to 3 sectors per Taiwan. There are no plans to change this at this time. This call can be closed as agreed by the field.
See previous status comment.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 10/15/90 TIME: 07:27
You updated me by office with the info from R\&D Taiwan that @BOOT is restricted to three sectors. Is it planed to change it? If not you should send this PTR back for closing.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/28/90 TIME: 11:00 Problem has been addressed to Taiwan. Awaiting response.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 13:07
Duplicated problem with info sent via Wang Office. The @boot file is obviously sensitive to size. When the problem occurs it is always the same o n each boot as long as the @BOOT remains unchanged. Deleting certain lines wi circumvent the problem but it is line dependent. Bunching up lines does

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
PTR NUMBER - & START: P800010820 & END: P800010820 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & \\
RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & \\
STATUS TYPE: & ALL & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL &
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM NUMBER: P800010820 NUMBER OF RELATED
PRIORITY P2 CUSTOMER PROBLEMS: 0
not correct the problem but does change the garbage seen. This problem has been sent to Taiwan to determine if @BOOT is limited to a certain \# of lines or if a correctable problem exists.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 05:20
Did send an example of @BCOT by Wang Office to Mike Bahia.
RESOLUTION TEXT : RILEY J MICHAEL
DATE: 10/23/90
TIME: 08:36
The @BOOT file can not be grater than 3 sectors... Menu picks need to be small to not run over 3 sectors. JMR

Item Subject: Problems CS,CS/386
Hello Mr. Gates
Hel in Switzerland we have different problems with CS and CS/386 and it is not possible to get a status report and solutions. The problems are as follows:

\section*{CS /386}
** th t*

By every system we have intermittent hang-ups. Customer has to switch off/on and to reload the operating system. It happens in an interval of hours or days.
- Intermittent error message "I91" = Disk drive not ready.

Printerdriver not possible to switch off. In devicetable driver with ? entry off but in reality it is always active.

Release delivered with new systems is 1.0. There are existing 1.8, 1.9, 1.OB. Which one is the right and official. What are the differences between the releases. We have no descriptions and documentations about it.
Speed is dramatically slower by some operations than by the CS. As announced it should be quicker.

Hotprint with 2536 is not possible. R2 PROM
livery quality last delivery was bad. Two times the Opsys diskettes 1/2 \(12 / 2\) contained the same files. One time the protection of floppy drive was not in, but only in the box. This happens at two delivers.

Mr. Erwin Find as product PRC Support Responsible for 2200 is not within reach and we can
no longer wait for solutions to our problems. So I'm writing directly to you.
If it is not possible to fix the bugs in shortest time the only way is to stop delivery or to deliver CS instead of CS/386 until solutions are ready. Please give us a status report as quick as possible.

Release 3.3 delivered with new systems has the following problems:
Printing is extremely slow (approx. 1 minute per line). \(\quad P 2 / \mid 3171\)
- Loading printer driver with terminal 10 , driver terminal 1 is suddenly away. 7

One terminal with printer driver is ok. More and more terminals with drivers 7 the more unconvertet charakters are going to printer.
lith Release 3.1 all these problems does not exist. We have also no description
hi. a lot in advance for your help.
With kind regards, Rolf Gerber
(Branch Manager Basel, Switzerland)
- Installed base in Switzerland is only 4 CS/386 plus 1 upgrade CS to CS/386. From this 5 systems 2 have intermittend Hang-ups. These systems have 8-13 screens and external Disk.
The others have only max. 5 Screens/PC's and/or only internal Disk.
- Until end of April we have to install about 3 systems and in Mai about 7 systems.
- Every system (these systems who have no Hang-up problems, too) had problems to start up after completion installation. The technician had to press 2 or 3 times the Reset Button. After that start was always ok.

Kind regards
Rolf Gerber

Rolf Gerber,
Response to Problems CS, CS/386 of 04/20/90 sent to Harris Gates.
CS/386
- Intermittent hangups -- Problem could be an ESD, boards, or O/S. We know of no problem with OS 1.OB that would cause hangs. Ref 2200 TSBs from 12/12/89, 12/26/89 and 03/27/90. If on terminal "reset" you see cursor only, verify situation from terminal on another MXE or MXD controller. If all terminals show the same symptom most likely 386 board or MXE (lst MXE is likely the possible problem). If using 2536 terminals there is an ESD issue referenced in the TSBs. Environment is very critical on CS/386 board with faster clock. Verify proper grounds on all equipment and all I/O cables secured and provide proper ground connection.
- Intermittent "I91" - I/O board, ref TSBs above. Common problem with 7342 dual controller or down rev 2275MUX or 7715 boards. (see TSB 12/12/89).
- Releases? -- Highest number is latest release. Each release fixes problems reported on earlier test releases. Latest CS/386 test release is 1.OC. CS/386 OS Release 1.10 which will be official release (see TSB 3/27/90).
- Speed is slower -- Problem is on loading of programs. BASIC-2 programs are converted to a "386" format for processing as they are loaded. Each program can be resaved in this "386" format so it does not go thru conversion on loading. Conversion on disk done by SELECT NEW and SAVE. (see TSB 3/27/90)
- Hotprint with 2536 DW. Known problem with matrix printers, fix in next Prom release for 2536DW (rev. 2 now being tested).
- Delivery quality should be referenced to Customer Assurance on Wang Office.

CS/2200 issues.
- Printing is extremely slow. We need more information: is printer local, 204 or system, 215?. Is driver involved? What kind of printer? What is rev level of MXE code being used? Latest MXE code is rev level 1.10 which can be found on CS/386 OS diskette; this file can be copied over for CS/2200 use. Known problem on 2536DW on MXE with multiple ports active, especially with printer driver off; we think this is fixed with 2536 DW prom 2. See above notes.
- Loading printer driver with terminal 10. This was a problem in 3.1 that was fixed in CS/2200 OS release 3.3.
- Using printer drivers on more multiple terminals, unconverted character codes are going to the printer. Are these local or system printers? What model printers? What print driver files? What hex values are not converted?

To:
Subject: ©S 386 The Netherlands

Distribution:
None, this item is In Progress
```

CC: Mike Bahia From: Willem Sloep
Subject: CS 386 The Netherlands
Date Sent: 12/18/89

```

Colin,
We're testing the CS386 upgrade at this moment in the Netherlands. Wang is positioning the upgrade as 'plug in and play', but we discover several incompatibility problems with the hardware.

RESULTS DISK CONTROLLER TEST :
We've two CS/DS systems build up with the CS386 upgrade board installed. From 7 Disk controllers (diverend and the same types), 3 are giving problems like hanging, time-out errors and DS-tape errors on one system. On the other system only 1 controller fails (definitly margin problems). Both systems equiped without the CS386 upgrade doesn't give problems.
This margin problem is also mentioned in HWT 9373 (TSB 11/22/89). They mention that we have to swap controllers when we have problems. Our tests let us see that we can solve it by swapping controllers. Is this the startegy to solve a margin problem of the CS386? We've done our test only with disk controllers, we've not tested printer/TC and terminal controllers. But afterall we're not QA! What guarentee we have that a board wich doesn't seems to give problems in the first case, also works OK in live sytuation at a customer? We are going to escalate this problem and are not going to ship CS386 to our customers yet.

RESULTS DATA STORAGE SCOOP :
We've done some tracing on the ABS/CBS/OBS lines of the I/O bus and compared the CS with the CS386. We measure much faster cycles and pulses on the CS386, also with more distortion. We can imagine that this give problems on the controllers which are designed for slower cycles.

At the end of this week we've invited a major 2200/CS software developer to test the compatibility of the Operating System in a live customer sytuation.

Will update you soon.
Regards Willem Sloep
Willem,
Thanks for the update. QA testing was done but unfortunately we are not set-up to be able to easily test every configuration \& man power for 2200 has been very limited for awhile. There are quite a few systems installed in the US \& other than the disk controllers, the other controllers seem to be running ok. There have been some problems with terminals which may indicate a problem with the terminal controllers, the MXE \& MXD, but they have been very intermitt ent. At this time we are experiencing intermittent hang problems at several 38 6 sites which when RESET is keyed the terminal blanks out. This is the major concern. We believe there are 2 separate problems that cause this. One is a noise, static, or grounding problem to do with the terminal/s especially if usi ng the 2536 DW \&/or the MXE/MXD controllers. The 2nd has to do with loading a program. Under certain parameters a hang can occur during a load. This proble
\(m\) is consistent when all the same steps are taken. We have been able to provid e R\&D w/ the data to reproduce the problem \& with any luck may have a solution the lst of the year. As for the disk controllers, there is a new Dual controll er to go into production in late January to replace the 7342 . This board has worked well \& should resolve the problems w/ the 7342 which will be discontinue d. The new brd, the 210-9746, will be a direct replacement. Testing will need to be done with the 6541 Disk controller to determine what needs to be done to resolve the tape issue. The 2275 MUX e-rev 4, the 7715 e-rev 10, \& the Triple Controller have not presented any disk problems as of yet.

Could you please identify the part numbers of the disk controllers you tested \& found problems with as well as the part numbers of those that worked well.

Have been out of the office working the hang issue \(12 / 18\) \& 19. Have message to call you. Will try between 4 \& 5 pm my time today.

Regards, Mike


Slo missing left parenthesis
Sil missing right parenthiesis


 the week of \(8 / 6\) but used to identify fields. Tyler was going to get in touch with Hydratech to

DATE: 08/06/90 TIME: 16:33 customer data and the screen dumps on \(8 / 2\). It is a backup from before we explain the procedure for changing the addresses. The problem is not cent
DATE: 07/31/90 TIME: 15:17 S/W vendor (Hydratech) came in \& worked with Tyler Olsen. Unable to find any
 e characters. May be good idea to send me copies via screen dump of menus as
 If getting just wrong data will probably
address is:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 59 \text { Electronics Ave } \\
& \text { Lowel1, Ma 01851 } \\
& \text { Attn: Mike Bahia } \\
& \text { M/S 001-330 }
\end{aligned}
\]
SELECTION CRITERIA
START: C510006020
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
ALL
ALL
 ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 08/27/90 TIME: 13: 19
Duplicated your problem with Steve Downing Friday morning. We were also able co identify the cause. There is a problem case an unpack command is used to unpack data which determines which address the data file to access is on. programs on another, it was going to the wrong address for the data. When Steve originally worked with Tyler to duplicate the problem both the data \& \(p\) determined on the UNPACK, the program worked. This is a Wang problem with
the \(0 / S\) which we hope to have a fix for in the next week or two. There is
 pack. Changing the mask can fix the problem. Will let you know as soon
Talked with Steve Downing from Hydratech. He will be coming in tomorrow
morning to assist me in duplicating your problem using the software you provided me.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
Tyler is out this week on vacation. Will try to get in touch with Hydratech to try \& duplicate problem here.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Attn: M1ке } \\
& \text { M/S } 001-330
\end{aligned}
\]

PAGE: \(\quad 7\)
24 SEP 1990
\(10: 20: 48\)
HANG LABORATORIESIINC.
PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING
CUSTOMER ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT
END: C510006020
END: C510006020
ORIG RDB: ALL \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { CUST NAME: } & \text { CARLSON AUTO FIRE PROT CO } \\ \text { CUST NUMBER: } & 0000001983295 \\ \text { CUST RDB: } & 3531\end{array}\)
sightly different resulting in the wrong algorithem \& therefore going to the wrong sector. Hydratech doesn't think so because there should be some check ing done in the procedure which should tell this is the wrong key file. At a
ny rate both Tyler \& Steve will be away some of next week so the following
action plan was agreed upon.
. Wait until the new Winchester drive is installed \& at that time provide
the cust w/ the latest maintenance rel 1.15 at the chace 1 or the other may fix the problem. Winc is a million to 1 shot. \(0 / s\) is remote possibility
since currently using \(1.1 \&\) nothing has been done sine to address this type
problem from what we can see.
2 On Monday July 9 th will call Hydratech. If problem still exists Steve wi
11 bring the software to the Towers to duplicate the problem. Once duplicate d it should not be difficult to isolate the cause.
ASSIGNED: LARSON TERRANCE L DATE: 06/28/90 TIME: 15:19
Thanx Mike. Current status is that customer is able to run all original app-
lications without errors on VLSI board in CS cabinet. Customer is willing to
send data someplace for testing if necessary.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAELE E DATE: 06/28/90 TIME: 13:29
Will talk to Tyler 01sen \& find out where things stand.
ASSIGNED: LARSON TERRANCE L, DATE: 06/27/90 TIME: \(13: 09\)
Customer using IDEAS version 1.3 with Hydrotech modificaitions to make it
compatible with 22000 3.1. After upgrade to CS386, existing data files would
Customer using IDEAS version 1.3 with Hydrotech modificaitions to make it
compatible with 22000 . 3 . 1 . After upgrade to CS386, existing data files would
be garbage on the screen. Customer could create new data entries and could
retrieve those without problem. Installed VLSI board in CS cabinet using OS
3.3 and could read all old data records but would get garbage when trying to
3.3 and could read all old data records but would get garbage when trying to
read records that had been created using CS386. Problem occurs on both CS386 \(0 S^{\prime} \mathrm{s} 1.0\) and 1.1.
ASSIGNED: LARSON TERRANCE L
TIME: 12:43
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/19/90 TIME: 13:48
DATE: 06/27/90
field as requested.




ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/25/90 TIME: 08:51
This may be fixed on 1.0. Please insure OS's properly set up. See 3 update
s made on \(6 / 4 / 90\) on C8/5125. Will have CS \(/ 386\) 1.1 Wang Office do to you any
\(s\) made on \(6 / 4 / 90\) on C8/5125. Will have CS/386 1.1 Wang Office'd to you any
ASSIGNED: CHUNG STEPHEN
Initial escalation to Home office to request software. Regards.
ISSIGNED: YEUNG ADA
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 09/10/90 TIME: \(11: 41\)
SC595. Problem having both the 386 O/S \& MVP \(0 / 5\) resolved \(w / 3860 / \mathrm{s}\) ו.ו. Fix verified in field. Transferring call back to field to be closed as
requested.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
p-amber -

PR_URITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800005125
END: C800005125
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PROBLEM NUMBER: } \\
& \text { PRIORITY }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C800005125 } \\
& \text { P1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: & \begin{tabular}{l}
ALEC GMBH \\
G1 00014048003 \\
G2130
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRODUCT PROB NO:
\end{tabular} & ESC
NOT LINKED & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST CONTACT: \\
CUST CONT PHONE: \\
CUST ADDRESS 1: \\
CUST ADDRESS 2: \\
CUST ADDRESS 3:
\end{tabular} & ANDREAS PAHNE 02-31 -237-00 AM WESTHECK 367 \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS/386-10N & CUST CITY: & DORTMUND 14 \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 1. 0 & CUST ZIP: & 4600 - \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10N & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & BASIC-2/386 & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 1. 0 & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PADT NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & FINDT ERWIN \\
\hline P. NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 01/18/90 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 01/18/90 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 01/18/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & 03/27/90 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & S 0495 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 101.14 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 101.35 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 03:23
It's not possible to have a CS and a CS/386 0/S on the same disk because the @GENPART of CS/386 0/S modified on 08/10/89 by MLL doesn't work correct with a (normal) CS configuration file. The program line 58 has been modified to load @SYS.CS if the cpu is a CS and @SYSFILE if the cpu is a CS/386.
1. Line 2405 S9=SPACESK is creating a syntax error because SPACESK is invalid for a CS.
2 Because IF LEN (W9\$) >1 in line 1220 is true the program is running to 2320 and the message "....... Configuration File .... must be converted to a new format" will be displayed
3. If you remove this the programm will show a configuration but not all configurations will be listed and the listed configurations are wrong and invalid (invalid memory space for partitions ) and some things more ....

\section*{ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 06/11/90 TIME: 10:22}

Both O/S on the same platter will be possible now. I tried to load the old of filE by using different ID numbers. But it works only if the old CS con.muration will be generated with the @GENPART of the CS/386 O/S. Close PTR.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:

PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800005125
END: C800005125
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800005125
P1

CUST NAME: ALEC GMBH
CUST NUMBER: G1 00014048003
CUST RDB:

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E continued from previous comment.

DATE: 06/04/90 TIME: 16:23
I keep hitting RETURN after I put in a line
    9050 DATA "@DG","CS/2200 Diagnostics","M"
    9055 DATA "@DG1","CS/386 Diagnostics","M"
    9060 "no more","end of menu list"
6. RESAVE @BOOT.
7. Replace @MVP on disk just created with @MVP from 3.3 or 3.4.

That should do it.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/04/90 TIME: 16:17
Continued from previous status comment.
4. Rename @MVP to @386.
5. EDIT @BOOT to read as follows:

9000 REM \% Prog = @BOOT PLS date CS386
9005 REM \% COPYRIGHT
9010 DATA "@BOOT","***** SYSTEM SOFTWARE *****",3,0
9020 DATA "@MVP","CS/2200 Multiuser BASIC-2","P" 9030 DATA "@386","CS/386 Multiuser BASIC-2","P" 9040 DATA " "," ","B"

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/04/90 TIME: 16:07
Tested this out myself today using CS/386 1.1 \& MVP 3.2.8 which is basically the same as 3.3. Had no problem w/ booting from either a 386 or VLSI. Used the following procedure:
1. Scratch disk surface to be used.
2. Run @MOVEFIL to move both disk \(1 \& 2\) of either MVP 3.3 or 3.4.
3. Run @MOVEFIL to move both disk \(1 \& 2\) of CS/386 1.1 responding YES to 'move all active files' and YES to 'overwrite files'.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 05/29/90 TIME: 05:14
Installed it and tried to load CS 0/S 3.3 and got the same problem with the configuration file. Had no time to test it out in detail because I'm working for PC \(\mathrm{HW}+\mathrm{SW}\) and \(2200 / \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{HW}+\mathrm{SW}\) alone! Will keep you informed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/25/90 TIME: 08:47
Have you tested 1.1 yet. This should resolve this problem \& allow us to close this call. Waiting for feedback.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
P- IUMBER -

START: C800005125
END: C800005125
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PRıURITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800005125 & CUST NAME: & ALEC GMBH \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & G1 00014048003 \\
& & CUST RDB: & G2130
\end{tabular}

CS/386 General Release 1.1 was sent to you today via Wang Office. Please update as soon as you can test out. This should resolve this problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/16/90 TIME: 09:33
Should be receiving General Rel 1.1 this week via Wang Office. It corrects this problem. Upgraded call to Pl per field request. Due to bug in PTR s/w Originator unable to upgrade priority on this release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/10/90 TIME: 16:17
This problem is corrected on general release 1.10 which is being tested now. If all ok will be sending you a copy of 1.10 next week via Wang Office.

AESIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 15:01 N l lange is status.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/90 TIME: 12:19
This problem can be circumvented by using GENPART from CS/386 1.0. A corrected ver of GENPART will go out with the next general release of the 0/S due out in April or May.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/90 TIME: 12:15 GENPART should be corrected with the next general release of the \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) which should be ready in April or May.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 16:27
Some other changes are being made to GENPART which has prevented the final version from being completed. Still being worked on.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E GENPART is being worked on.

DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 16:46

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/30/90 TIME: 18:22
Tested problem here w/ Maint Rel 1.08 \& there is a prob w/ Genpart for MVP/ VLSI CPU's. Can circumvent problem by using @GENPART from CS/386 Rel 1.0. R\&D is aware of the problem \& will address it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 16:06
Will try it here \& get back to you. We have done this successfully in the pre but will try it again w/ Maint Rel 1.07.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/23/90 TIME: 06:11

WANG LABORATORIES INC.

SELECTION CRITERIA

PTR NUMBER
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800005125
END: C800005125
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C8000005125
PRIORITY

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: G1 00014048003
CUST RDB:

ALEC GMBH
G2130

Problem 1 has been fixed but problems 2 and 3 are still the same.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/19/90 TIME: 18:18
This was a problem in an old version of GENPART. GENPART should be rev 1.0. Maint Rel 1.07 is being sent to you by Wang Office. The problem should not exist w/ this 0/S. Please confirm. 3 problems w/ 1.07.
1. RENUMBER does not work.
2. LISTV under certain conditions does not work.
3. SELECT \#number does not work in certain situations.

This problems are being addressed.
ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 03:23
RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/11/90 TIME: 13:02
SC595. Problem having standard MVP 0/S \& 386 O/S on same platter resolved w/ @GENPART on General Release 1.1. Sending call back to field to be closed as requested.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PMUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:

START: C800007735
END: C800007735
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

CUST NAME: ACOSO B.V.
CUST NUMBER: N1 00100752001
CUST RDB: N2300
CUST CONTACT: WILLEM SLOEP
CUST CONT PHONE: 04-350-702-75
CUST ADDRESS 1: TWEKKELER ES 24
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY:
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: 7547 -SM
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: SLOEP WILLEM
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 05/02/90
DATE TO R\&D: 05/02/90
WKDYS IN R\&D: 23.38
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 23.44

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/02/90 TIME: 11:17
Error P59: Illegal Redimension on CS-386 with customer program. On a CS we didn't encounter any problem, we've stripped program down to eight lines to reproduce the error.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM
DATE: 06/01/90 TIME: 03:00
Test done by two system houses has been successfully finished. Release 1.11 seems to be the right one. In the Netherlands we're going to distribute this release to our existing and new customers until we receive the new general release. Thanks for solving this call in a short time frame. You may transfer this call back for closing. Willem Sloep.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/22/90 TIME: 08:42
The O/S version apparently was not updated on the patch. Use the patch only with the \(1.10 / \mathrm{S}\). There may have been some things along the way that have change. GENEDIT is one I believe.

AusIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/22/90 TIME: 03:12

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007735
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ALL
0
ALL

END: C800007735

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER PRIORITY Pl

CUST NAME: ACOSO B.V.
CUST NUMBER: N1 00100752001
CUST RDB: N2300
? e taken the 0/S from the VS. Can you confirm that \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.10\) actually splays 1.0 at boot? Or did Mike Riley send me 1.0 in stead of 1.10. I have ken the 1.0 and 1.11 patch and put the patched GMVP to the DS disk.
\(?\) MATSEARCH problem seems to be solved with this patch, i will send the ; to the system house. I will monitor this call, until the've tested it. the meanwile you can downgrade this call from CRIT to ESC. I you tell me iff i need \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.10\) or can i work with 1.0 (with the patched 11 (MVP)?

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/21/90 TIME: 08:19} Update acknowledged.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM \\ DATE: 05/21/90 TIME: 02:51}

Have received via \(W 0,0 / S 1.10\) and the 1.11 patch. THANKS.
Will test it agains the problem today and will ship it to the system house.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/17/90 TIME: 17:45
Did not receive the Maintenance Rel from Taiwan as requested yet. They are working on a couple of problems still outstanding on rel 1.1. We are expecti ng it anytime because they know the critical nature of the situation. Called WS to update. Sent General Rel 1.1 for the 386 to you via Wang Office. Will call tomorrow to let you know if we have received it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/16/90 TIME: 10:37
Spoke with field both Monday \& Tuesday. Taiwan has fix for this problem already. Have requested a Maintenance Rel of CS/386 1.1 be provided for this critical problem. Have requested fix sent out to field by R\&D by Thursday, May 17th. Taiwan is aware of need but has not sent us fix as of this AM. till hoping to have fix here by tomorrow to forward to field.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/11/90 TIME: 09:05 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/11/90 TIME: 07:26
We are forced to make this call critical at this moment, due to sales pressure. Please update us on the status from Taiwan.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/10/90 TIME: 16:02
We have reported this problem again to Taiwan to insure they are aware of it. In the meantime Tyler olsen has found a simple circumvention if you are not

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
p- Vumber PRiURITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800007735
END: C800007735
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800007735 PRIORITY Pl

CUST NAME: ACOSO B.V.
CUST NUMBER: N1 00100752001 CUST RDB: N2300
already aware. In program "A" of your example just added a simple variable such as:
\(12 A=99\)
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 05/10/90 TIME: 13:34
Do not have a fix for this problem yet. We tested it on release 1.10. Contact w/ Taiwan is out till Monday. May not be able to get any further update until then. Will make aware of pending CRITICAL nature.

ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/10/90 TIME: 04:21
Can you provide a time frame for a fix. Our system house which has discovered this problem can't deliver 8 CS386 updates due to this problem. Sytuation becomes critical, so we are forced to raise this call to critical within a Wpak.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/02/90 TIME: 15:13
Duplicated problem \& submitted to Taiwan for fix.
ASSIGNED: SLOEP WILLEM DATE: 05/02/90 TIME: 11:17
With the next two programms you can reproduce the error P59 on a CS-386. A
LIST DIM after the error displays several screens of rubbish. We run OS 1.OB
on the CS-386. On a CS we've no problems, we've used OS 3.3 for the test.
Program "A" : 10 REM start-program
20 DIM R9\$(16)
30 LOAD T "Al"
Program "Al" : 10 REM follow-program
20 DIM R9\$(4)62, R1\$1, R\$(1)3
30 STR (R9\$(), , 1) = \(\mathrm{HEX}(01)\)
40 MAT SEARCH R9\$(),<>STR(RI\$,,1) TO R\$()
50 PRINT "No problem found": LOAD T "A"
Please investigate this problem ASAP.
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 06/04/90 TIME: 11:35
SC595. Problem resolved with Maintenance Release 1.ll. Sending call back to field to be closed as requested.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}


PROBLEM SUMMARY :KNORR RICHARD K DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 14:47 We have one DS cabinet multiplexed to a CS-386 and a Micro VP (that has been upgraded to a 386) that is hanging occasionally. No pattern can be established. It will happen several times a day to once in three days. Mux \& disk controller PCBs have been replaced in the CPUs, Mux has been moved from one CPU to the other, disk cables have been replaced, DS DPU has been replace 112 Meg. and 64 Meg. drives have been replaced. There are a number of nonWang hardware options installed that we have not been able to eliminate. We have been working with this account for approx. 8 weeks for different 386 related problems. Now we are down to what appears to be system or disk hangs. Usually turning off the second CPU (the one not containing the MUX ) will bring the remaining users back.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/07/90 TIME: 11:49 Update acknowledged. Let's go to the end of the week though to be sure.

\footnotetext{
PAIGNED: KNORR RICHARD K II DATE: 05/07/90 TIME: 10:29 We dve been trying unsuccessfully to contact the software vendor for an
}

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410003798
END: C410003798
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL
0
ALL
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { PROBLEM NUMBER: } & \text { C410003798 } & \text { CUST NAME: } & \text { MATZON CONSTRUCTION } \\ \text { PRIORITY } & \text { P2 } & \text { CUST NUMBER: } & 0000001750488 \\ & & \text { CUST RDB: } & 3131\end{array}\)
update as to his work. The customer has run successfully for two weeks. I will suggest we close the call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/01/90 TIME: 16:18 Status please. Does the S/W vendor still have a concern with disk? If need be we can call him.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/19/90 TIME: 12:15
Believe what the customer is talking about is the ability to hog 1 address of a disk device as opposed to hogging the entire device. Hogging just the 1 address is possible but is not automatic. A special character has to be added to the disk instruction to accomplish this. Converting programs from the VL SI format to 386 format will not do it. This change has not been documented yet but I believe is in the microcode. Documentation is being done now. If this is the case let me know \& we'll supply you with the specific info.

ASSIGNED: KNORR RICHARD K II DATE: 04/19/90 TIME: 09:02
I.OB was installed on \(4 / 14\) \& programs were updated to 386 format. Software vendor beleives there is a problem with his program having to do with opening a file and then not releasing the drive to the rest of the system.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/18/90 TIME: 10:10
Has 1.0B been installed yet? If program running on w/s 5 still hangs system \& hangs system from other \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) 's also, ask programmer if there is a way we may duplicate problem. Could possibly be an 0/S bug.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/18/90 TIME: 10:06
DTS called in a few days ago. Program running from W/S 5 was run from anothe \(r\) W/S \& it hung there. Cust on 1.08 O/S. Programmer to check out prog.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 16:45
DTS called back. 2 more hangs have occurred since CE last on site 4/5. Both times Resetting W/S 5 cleared problem. Programmer to go on-site this wkend to install \(1.0 B\) \& inspect this program which is same thing that occurred whil e CE was on-site. O/S may address hang so will wait until programmer install \(50 / S\) \& checks program out before taking further steps \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}\). If continues to fail will try to isolate problem to port or w/s.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 14:41
Called DTS. No problems have been reported. Will check with CE. Need to

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
 PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C410003798
END: C410003798
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C410003798 & CUST NAME: & MATZON CONSTRUCTION \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & 0000001750488 \\
& & CUST RDB: & 3131
\end{tabular}
know if \& when \(0 / S 1 . O B\) \& kybrd foil installed. If lst MXE replaced before or at same time as 0/S upgrade. If before did any hangs occur between time. If working would like to know what appeared to resolve problem; 0/S, MXE, corrected environmental issues, all 3, etc.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/04/90 TIME: 14:53 Talked with CE on-site. Line Analyzer not working properly, corrected. When powered 2nd CPU (MicroVP) off to replace 1st MXE, 1st CPU (CS) hung. Could not HALT but did get READY when RESET. When RESET w/s 5 system took off again. This was the same w/s that hung the system Monday. Problem probably induced by power off or on in both cases. Should have all users halt for a m oment if powering off CPU or disk. Both times w/s 5 was also running the sama program. As a precaution will remove 2 nd terminal controller, replace w. -d controller, \& install new 3rd controller. Will also try to reproduce problem by running same program from multiple terminals. CE will request cust install 1.OB ASAP as it does address a disk problem which may relate to them.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/90 TIME: 14:29
Sent out CS/386 Maintenance Release 1.OB \& 1 keyboard foil with clips via Federal Xpress Overnite, Airbill \# 4743935 987. Call me for instructions on installing foil when received.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 17:33
Had conference call with CE \& ASM. Cust has been intermittently hanging. Usually when the system hung, powering the 2nd CPU off would clear the prob. On 3/21 the 140 Meg Maxtor drive was replaced. Between \(3 / 21\) \& Fri 3/30 2 hangs occurred. Do not have details on these hangs other than the cust powered 1 or both CPU's off \& restarted to clear. CE on site today. Install ed Line Analyzer on DS. After powering DS back on 2nd CPU hung when tried to access DS. Keyed RESET on 3 different W/S's \& just cursor. Powered off 2nd CPU \& Ok. There were 2 other hangs after this. In one case would hang to DS but 3rd Party Ram Disk was ok. Cleared by powering off 2nd CPU. In 2nd case RESET got back READY \& when RESET 1 particular w/s system took off.
ACTION PLAN: 1. Cust to follow procedure to help isolate problem \& facilitate
fix. a. If hangs key SHIFT/HALT on W/S \& wait minute to verify hung.
If cursor returns sys not hung. All progs still in Old Format.
b. If Halt does not return cursor, key SHIFT RESET. Document all W/S's that do not go to READY. Document if resetting any 1 W/S clears problem. If yes document which W/S \& program being used.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410003798
END: C410003798
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C410003798 PRIORITY

CUST NAME: MATZON CONSTRUCTION
CUST NUMBER: 0000001750488 CUST RDB: 3131
2.I will send out Maint Rel l.OB \& 1 kybrd foil w/ clips for 12536 DW . 3.Field to co-ordinate \(W / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{W}\) vendor install of \(0 / \mathrm{S}\). To replace Ist MXE in MicroVP. To install kybrd foil in 2536DW. To identify which W/S's using tel wire \& which on carpet. To id \& correct if posible envir issue

ASSIGNED: PATTERSON MARY SUE DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 13:15
Sending call to RDB 8759. Field is working with Mike Bahia via phone. Need eas/action plan. thanks.

ASSIGNED: KNORR RICHARD K II DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 14:47
We have not been able to duplicate. We can only let the customer run their applications and then wait.

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/16/90 TIME: 08:59
HC634. System hangs isolated to a particular program frequently run on term 5. Problem. could be duplicated by running same program from another term. O/S has been upgraded to \(1.0 B\) and all 2536DW workstations have had foils installed in the keyboards. S/W vendor has corrected problem w/ program \& customer is running error-free. Unable to find out from S/W vendor what was wrong. Transferring call back to field to be closed per field request.

SELECTION CRITERIA

TTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C410003796
END: C410003796
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C410003796
PRIORITY Pl

PROBLEM TYPE:
PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

CUST NAME: CHILEWICH PARTNERS
CUST NUMBER: 0000002960060
CUST RDB:
3154
CUST CONTACT: JIM RICHTER
CUST CONT PHONE: 00-203-356-7912
CUST ADDRESS 1: 12 WATER ST
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY: WHITE PLAINS
SYSTEM MODEL NO: 2200-CS-386
CUST ST/PROV:
NY
GEN SYST MODEL: 2200 CS 386 CPU
O. S. VERSION:

HW MODEL NUMBER: 2200-CS-386
SW MODEL NUMBER: 2200-OP-SYS
SW VERSION:
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CUST ZIP: 10601-0000
CUST COUNTRY:

\section*{RDB ASSIGNED: 8760}

PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: RICHTER JAMES
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
CALL TRKG NO: E626840
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 04/16/90
STATUS CODE: H 0495
STATUS ABBREV: NEW PROBLM
PROBLEM SUMMARY :RICHTER JAMES DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 10:54
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 03/30/90
DATE TO R\&D: 03/30/90
WKDYS IN R\&D: 9.56
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 9.69 System has intermittent S-24 errors when doing list-v commands. System also has intermittent hangs and freezes.

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/16/90 TIME: 08:10
Please send the call back to 3160 so that it can be closed
ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/13/90 TIME: 10:01
Please send the call back to 3160 so that \(\mathfrak{i}\) can close it.
ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/12/90 TIME: 10:32
Mike how about sending the call back to me so that we can close it.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/09/90 TIME: 17:27
No that won't be necessary. Looking for brds w/ RAM Disk problems.
ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/09/90 TIME: 15:16 Mike, The system is running fine--no errors. The CE wants to know if you
still want the BAD CPU Board? If so we will arrange to transfer it to your
RDB.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A system running fine

DATE: 04/05/90 TIME: 16:13

DATE: 04/04/90 TIME: 14:50

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A OK

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/04/90 TIME: 12:08 Because of the problems we have had with the 386 \& the relative short time Maint Rel 1.0B has been out, would like to keep this open till the end of next week. If still running error-free on the 13 th will send the call back to you to be closed. Is this agreeable?

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/04/90 TIME: 07:48 Mike, I think the customer is allright now. Would you please send the call back to me for monitoring down here(3160)for another day and then \(\mathfrak{i}\) will close it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/90 TIME: 14:26 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/03/90 TIME: 13:38
Customer has been running fine the last two days.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/03/90 TIME: 11:05 Good to hear it. Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 14:03
CE called customer is running fine so far no errors have occurred. Before the CPU board was replaced the customer would fault about every 30 minutes.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 11:27
Update acknowledged.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 09:50}

CE replaced CPU board and system ran fine the resr of the afternoon.CE will check with customer this morning.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 15:29
Spoke w/ CE. Getting intermittent S11 \& S24 errors but the cause has not bee n verified as LISTV. Does not appear to be case as this only occurs in uniqu e situations. More likely a 386 board prob. Has \(1.0 B\) installed at any rate \& bthis should resolve all O/S questions. Was getting intermittent hangs but
this problem has lessened recently. This may be a W/S/MXE problem or could also be resolved \(w /\) the 0/S. Should have disk controllers \& MXE available at any rate. Important to have cust document each error specifically. Which terminal failed, prog \& line failed on if possible. If sys hangs list try to halt W/S. If will not return cursor \& colon key RESET. Continue to HALT then RESET W/S's until system continues or all W/S's Reset. Note which W/S did not give READY (BASIC 2) back. This could help isolate flakey MXE. If all W/S's on a sys come back w/ just cursor may have bad 386, MXE, or environmental concerns.

ASSIGNED: BLACK WILLIAM A DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 12:14
Spoke with Mike Bahia in product support. He wants ce to change CPU board. CE will change and keep me informed.

RESOLUTION TEXT : BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/16/90 TIME: 09:48
HC64O. No problems since replacing 386 board on 4/2. Transferring call back to field to be closed as requested.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C410003516 } \\
& \text { P2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST NAME: \\
CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB:
\end{tabular} & CHRISTIAN CITY CONV CTR INC 0000000448134
\[
3468
\] \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & ENIDE WATSON \\
\hline PRODUCT PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & -404-964-3301 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 7345 RED OAK RD \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline & 386 & CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & 2200-CS-CPU & CUST CITY: & ATLANTA \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 108 & CUST ZIP: & 30349-0000 \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & 2200-CS-CPU & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline SW VERSION: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & CHATIGNY FRANCIS D \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & BCE \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 02/27/90 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 02/27/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & 03/08/90 \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0495 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 16.89 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 22.38 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :MELVIN WILLIAM G DATE: 03/06/90 TIME: 08:24
Operating system not working properly. When trying to perform a file/vol copy by program control and multiple users are accessing the same drive, system will hang. R\&D has already reproduced symptom and problem is being worked on by developer. 2200 OS 1.7 and 1.8. ATS assigned Charlie Perkins (404) 392-5807

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 11:35
Called cust. Changed IPL procedure \& no power up problems since. No hang problems since revising COPY program 3/2.

\section*{ASSIGNED: CHATIGNY FRANCIS D DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 16:23}

Region, we are going to close this PTR next time on because the previous update by Mike is not related to the problem for which this PTR war opened. If there is an early morning hardware problem that has to be escalated at some point in time the BM will open a PTR to address that issue.

ASSIGNED: MELVIN WILLIAM G JR DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 16:30
Acknowledged. Melvin
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:36
Called cust. System has been running fine during day but having problems bringing uo in the morning. Could not provide me w/ much detail but has had to power the DS off \& on after the first power up to enable access. Has not put in a call yet. Gave cust textbook power up procedure to help identify where problem may be.
1. Power on W/S 1 \& wait for terminal ID on top line. Cust says only gets cursor. Believe doing something wrong but could have W/S prob. Suggested trying another W/S if this is true.
2. Power on CPU \& wait for Mount System Platter, Press Reset message.
3. Power on DS \& wait till passes diags (floppy lite goes out).

Suggest we have CE call cust \& arrange to be on site lst thing in morning for power up. Would like to leave call open until power up issue resolved. Insure cable to lst W/S secure. This could cause intermittent hangs IPL'g.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/08/90 TIME: 15:07
Called cust back. Maint Rel 1.0A at customer site. Has fix for COPY problem but not installed because of 'time \& date' stamp added to code when files ar e updated as there is concern this may cause a problem w/ certain s/w. R\&D has requested the 'time \& date stamp' to be an option selected by the user to correct the potential problem. In meantime s/w vendor circumvented problem by adding a \$OPEN statement to the COPY program per Tyler Ol sen \& they have b een running error-free. That was last Friday \& they have successfully been doing COPYs since.

ASSIGNED: MELVIN WILLIAM G JR DATE: 03/08/90 TIME: 11:52
Acknowledged. Melvin
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/08/90 TIME: 09:50 Called cust for current status. Believe Maint Rel for COPY problem has been sent to them. Contact just back from a few days off. Does not know current status. Will call back later. Left message for TO to call me.

ASSIGNED: MELVIN WILLIAM G JR DATE: 03/06/90 TIME: 08:25 RDB 3610, please escalate call accordingly. Melvin

ASSIGNED: PERKINS CHARLES A DATE: 03/05/90 TIME: 16:04
The customer has a problem when serveral users are accessing one drive which causes the system to hang or ever crash. Tyer 01 son was able to reproduce the problem at the home office and said that they were working on a patch to the Operationg System. The problem is most predominant when copy is being run. They get around the problem by not allowing any users on while copy is being run.

ASSIGNED: MELVIN WILLIAM G JR DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 15:36
Frank, I can't accept the problem description of this call which does give symptom, problem, or configuration information even if R\&D wants the call. Could we have someone put some meat on this call. Thanks, Melvin

ASSIGNED: CHATIGNY FRANCIS D DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 13:45
Region, please forward this to Tyler 01 son at RDB 8332 per his request. Thnx.
ASSIGNED: PERKINS CHARLES A DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 10:44
Talked with Tylor 01 son this am at customer site. He infromed me that they were able to duplicate customer problem and that is was an OS problem that was being worked on for correction. The customer is able to work arroud the problem at present until a fix is put into the \(O S\).

ASSIGNED: MARTIN ELAINE H DATE: 02/27/90 TIME: 16:42
Have a user copy on 140 meg drive and have another user try to access that sa me drive the system will freeze.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 11:32
HC634. No 386 related problems since \(3 / 2\) when added \$OPEN \& \$CLOSE to COPY routine to circumvent \(0 / S\) bug. Was having problem powering up but has not reoccurred since revising power up routine. Returning call to field to be closed as requested.

G LABORATOKIE \(\supset\) INC.
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\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C510004219
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY P1

P1

PROBLEM TYPE: ESC
PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

CUST NAME: BEELMAN TRUCK CO
CUST NUMBER: 0000001286111
CUST RDB: 3544
CUST CONTACT: SHELLY LINKTHER
CUST CONT PHONE: 00-618-768-4411
CUST ADDRESS 1: PO BOX 93
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY: ST LIBORY
CUST ST/PROV: IL
CUST ZIP: 62282-0000
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: FESLER WILLIAM H JR
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 02/20/90
DATE TO R\&D:
WKDYS IN R\&D: \(\quad 36.73\)
TOT WKDYS OPEN: \(\quad 36.83\)

PROBLEM SUMMARY :FESLER WILLIAM H DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 11:05 SYSTEM LOCKS UP WHEN THE THEY TC WITH A MXE

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/16/90 TIME: 10:14 Please TRANSFER this call to RDB 3540 for closing. The RDB was typed in incorrec :ly as 3450 when I transferred it. Sorry for the inconvenience.

ASSIGNE: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 04/13/90 TIME: 10:16
THE OFF/CN SWITCH HAS BEEN CHANGED SYSTEM WORKING OK PLEASE SEND CALL BACK TO ME SO THAT I CAN CLOSE IT!!!!!!!!
```

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 14:44

```

Has the On/Off sw been replaced yet? Current status.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/06/90 TIME: 10:47
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 04/05/90 TIME: 11:58

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: status code:

START: C510004219
END: C510004219
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C510004219 PRIORITY

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: 0000001286111
CUST RDB: 3544

THE 325-0105 IS THE RIGHT SWITCH AND IIT HAS ARRIVED AND WILL BE INSTALLED
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 08:34
Update acknowledged. Concerned over the initial slow down \& hang. Hard to say at this time if that hang is related to PS problem.

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 18:47
ON \(3 / 29\) THE SYSTEM BECAME SLOWER AND SLOWER UNTIL IT LOCKED UP ALL THE WORKSTATIONS AND IF THEY KEYED RESET THE CRT WOULD CLEAR AND THE SCREEN WOULD BE blank on all the workstations. the system was powered off and on it started TO WORK OK. ON \(3 / 30\) THAT MORNING THE SYSTEM WAS TURNED ON AND IT STARTED UP OK BUT AFTER TWO MINUTES THE SYSTEM POWER IT SELF OFF BECAUSE THE GREEN LED WENT OUT. SYSTEM OFF/ON SWITHCH WAS TURNED FROM ON TO OFF AND BACK ON AGAIN and the system powered is self back on. I arrived at the customers site after all of this happed and replaced the power supply. when the on/off Switch arri ves the tech will go out and replaced it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/90 TIME: 12:10
Got part \# for On/Off switch from build plan. Correct sw p/n is: 325-0105. Correct p/s is as stated 270-0980-1. Documentation will be notified. Info will also be put in a TSB.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/26/90 TIME: 17:45
PSE involved \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{mnl}\) has been out last 2 days. Have left message on desk to contact me on the sw \& ps p/n problem.

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 03/26/90 TIME: 12:06 having problem finding part number for new off/on Switch 325-0082 OLD STYLE TO LARGE
325-0096 FOR CS ONLY SMALLER THEN 325-0082 BUT STILL THE WRONG ONE tech is talking to logistics ext. 87560 joe caires to find the right PART NUMBER
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 12:52

Talked w/ DTS. Part numbers for PS \& On/Off Sw appear to be incorrect in mnl \& fiche. Power Supply listed as 270-0986 which is same as CS but is cabled. different from CS-D. Maint Plan has 270-0890-1 for PS which appears correct. P.N for on/off sw in mnl \& fiche was \(325-0096\), same as the CS but the CE could not physically install. Sw not listed in Maint Plan. Field to check \#'s w/ logistics. Will check w/ JC \& make sure those corrections given to documentation.

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:36
\(3 / 22 / 90\) SYSTEM HAS NOT LOCKED UP DURING THE DAY. BUT IT HAS FAILED TO TURN ON THIS MORNING TECH WILL GO OUT AND REPLACED THE POWER SUPPLY AND OFF/ON SWITCH FOR THE POWER ON PROBLEM WHEN IT IS FIRST TURNED ON IN THE MORNING.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/16/90 TIME: 12:32}

JAR called me this AM about prob at site. Called cust \& talked w/ Sue \& Debbie. Problem IPL'g this AM. When lst turned on CS-D drive LED's did not come on. Waited a few minutes \&tried again \& ok. Most likely lime quirk. Cust did not feel necessary to put in service call. Cust had some concerns W/ IPL. Instructed to always turn on lst W/S 1st \& verify has \(2 x 36 d x\) message before continuing. Should then turn on CPU. No problems at this time but customer is very concerned because of the problem experienced since buying th e new machine.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E \\ DATE: 03/15/90 TIME: 17:41}

\section*{Update acknowledged.}

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 03/15/90 TIME: 16:21
SYSTEM WORKING OK
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/13/90 TIME: 12:35 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 03/13/90 TIME: 11:50 3/12 HAD TO REPLACE THE FIRST MXE BECAUSE PORT 4 WOULD NOT WORK AT 1200 BAUD AFTER I REPLACED IT THE NEW ONE FAILED WITH LOCKUPS ON ONLY THE WORKSTATIONS CONNECTED TO THE MXE. I REPLACED THE MXE AND MADE IT THE SECOND CONTROLER and now the mxd that has worked with OUt a lockup will be the first controler

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 10:53
No problem since Tues \(3 / 6\) when had to replace 1 MXD that went out w/ MXE. Removed shroud from MXE to get a better seat.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/06/90 TIME: 18:47}

DTS called in. System hung a couple of times last week. Replaced 2 MXE's w/ MXD's yesterday. This AM the 4 terminals on the lst MXD hung not affecting \(t\) he other terminals. Re-installed 1 of the MXE's removed Monday. DTS concern
ed board was not seating deep enough into connectors so removed extension bracket to insure good seat. If there is a seating problem this may help ide ntify it but prevents a good ground connection \& may make the board more sens itive to static should that be a problem at this site. Monitoring at this ti me. e of a static mat which the user can ground to. Still appears to be static, a bad MXE, or a 386 brd prob. Grounds have been checked \& look good. Need to verify all terminals grounded to CPU. Suggested 1 or both MXE's also be replaced \& then the 386.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/23/90 TIME: 12:10 Sounds good. Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 02/22/90 TIME: 16:37 2/22 REPLACED MCD WITH MXE INSTALLED STATIC UPDATE ON 2536DE KEYBOARD LOWERED BAUD RATE ON 2536DE TO 19.2K. AFTER TALKING TO CUSTOMER ABOUT THE LOCKUP THE PROBLEM SOUNDS MORE LIKE STATIC BECAUSE WEND EVER THEY LET THE PROGRAMER TC TO THE MXE THEY HAVE TO TURN THE MODEM ON AND THEY MAY HAVE SHOCKED MODEM CAUSING THE SYSTEM TO LOCKUP. ALSO ON THE LAST FEW DAYS IS HAS RAINED AND THE SYSTEM HAS RUN OK THE ONLY TIME THIS WEEK IT LOCKED UP WAS MONDAY AND THE WEATHER WAS SUNNY. AT WORKSTATION "O" THE 2536DE WE REMOVED A PLASTIC FLOOR MAT UNDER THE OPERATERS CHAIR AND THE CUSTOMER WILL SPRAY THE FLOOR FOR STATIC

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/22/90 TIME: 14:02
Talked with DTS on site. No problems last 2 days. Had problem Mon AM but TC worked in afternoon when programmer called. Rained last 2 days. Mon nice. Looks like static. Installing foil today \& changing baud to 19.2 from 38.4. May also replace MXD with MXE. TC port is on lst MXE \& should be changed should TC'ing seem to be cause of problem but hopefully 1.08 should correct that issue.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 18:31
1 kybrd foil sent out Fed Xpress overnite, airbill \# 4743936723.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 16:53
Talked with both the CE \& DTS. Since installing 1.08 \& changing the baud rat e on W/S 1 to 38.4 on Fri 2/16, had 2 hangs Fri, 3 Mon, \& 2 yesterday. Based on past history intermittent problems usually mean W/S, MXE/MXD, or environme ntal problems. Sending out keybrd foil for 12536 DW on system \& baud rate to be lowered to 19.2 initially. If problems go away will bring baud back up \(t\) 038.4 in 2 weeks. If problems continue may need MXE/MXD. Must be sure when hangs that RESET blanks screen. Don't expect 1.08 to be problem but can iso late that down line if needed. At no other site has 1.08 increased the \# of hangs \& at many sites it has eliminated just this type problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 12:01
Have had good success with 1.08, especially when compared to 1.0. Need to have more details on problems and symptoms. Left message for DTS to call.

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 15:24 THE MXE AND CPU BOARD HAVE BEEN ALL READY REPLACED

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 11:20
2/16/90 UPDATED CS OP SYS TO 1.08 NOW THE SYSTEM LOCKS UP ANY TIME DURING THE DAY IF THEY OR TC'ING OR NOT. WILL REINSTALL OP SYS 1.00 2/20

ASSIGNED: FESLER WILLIAM H JR DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 11:05
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/16/90 TIME: 10:04
HC640. No problems during day since correcting flakey MXE/MXD problems on 3/12. Was having some trouble powering up in morning including 1 hang around \(3 / 30\) but no problems since replacing PS \& On/Off sw. Transferring call back to field to be closed as requested.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C510004085 } \\
& \text { P2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: & MOULTON GAS CO 0000002469237 3629 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM TYPE: \\
PRODUCT PROB NO:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ESC \\
NOT LINKED
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST CONTACT: \\
CUST CONT PHONE: \\
CUST ADDRESS 1: \\
CUST ADDRESS 2: \\
CUST ADDRESS 3:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SUE FREELING C.E. } \\
& -513-427-1075 \\
& 122 \text { S SPRINGFIELD ST }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS/386-10N & CUST CITY: & ST PARIS \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & CS 386 & CUST ST/PROV: & OH \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 00010700 & CUST ZIP: & 43072-0000 \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10N & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10N & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline SW VERSION: & 00010700 & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & KROESEN FRANK R \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 02/08/90 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 02/08/90 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 02/08/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0495 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 29.62 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 33.96 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 02/08/90 TIME: 11:27 During the past two weeks the customer has experienced two system failures. The ist failure was during backup, all users locked up. After shift reset was pressed, only cusors appeared on the screens. The 2nd failure happened during normal daily operation. Several users had erroneous errors on their screens. The errors occured all at the same time. The errors were P40,P54, X71,S19, and A01. Mike Bahia has requested that this PTR call be opened.

ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 03/28/90 TIME: 09:02
Close this call.
ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 03/28/90 TIME: 09:01
I just spoke with Sue Freeling. The customer hasn't had any problems. We 1 wait for the next general release. At least we are aware of the fixes in 1.OB. Thanks for the help.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:21}

Currently beta testing Maint Rel \(1.0 B\) at several sites \& so far results are good. It appears to fix the COPY problem. It has only been out a week so would suggest at least another week before thinking of possibly using here. If not having any problems may also just want to wait for a general release. Would suggest we give the customer the option.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/07/90 TIME: 11:25 Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 03/07/90 TIME: 09:07 No problems reported. I would like to see what R\&D comes up with for the copy problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 14:05
Fix for COPY problem currently being tested. Will keep posted.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 15:11
R\&D has been able to duplicate a problem similar to the failure during COPY this cust has had. The information will be passed on to Taiwan for a fix. Do you want to continue to monitor this call?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 15:35
Talked w/ CE. No problem since CPU board replaced.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R
DATE: 02/16/90 TIME: 14:28
I just spoke with Sue Freeling. At the time of install, the DS cabinet was updated to R3 proms. On Monday 02/12 she went on site and installed the 1.08 O.S.. The CS/386 board was also replaced! For the past week the customer hasn't had any problems. Sue will update me if anything new developes.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/14/90 TIME: 15:21}

The hang during Backup may be a O/S bug, but may also have a h/w factor. Was able to consistently reproduce a similar problem last week consistently by trying to do a COPY from 1 DS floppy to another DS floppy while running Multi-Disk to a winc surface. After swapping some boards around to isolate a
different problem, can no longer dupe prob. Problem may be more intermittent than original indications, but may have some tie in to disk controllers used. Also 1 of my DS Cabinets has an RI prom \& it should be at least R@ \& this cou ld be a factor. Please insure if using a DS or CS-D that the DPU prom is at least R2. This can be checked without pulling any boards out w/ the DS Utility Disk. Pick CONFIGURATION off the main menu. After inputting the disk address in the prom rev will be shown on the bottom of the screen. If a prom is neededrefer to TSB HWT 9373 from 12/12/89, item 9. The problem with the errors that came up is unknown but may possibly be addressed w/ 1.08 if not just a 1 time thing. Should it reappear the 386 brd will be changed as mentioned.

ASSIGNED: WASIELEWSKI MICHAEL DATE: 02/14/90 TIME: 09:02
Sent back to Cleveland to forward directly to H.O. SKI
ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 02/13/90 TIME: 16:19
Sue Freeling received the 1.08 .00 operating system from the Area and she installed it on the customer's system yesterday. We will continue to monitor the site.

\section*{ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 02/09/90 TIME: 10:25}

We are going to install O.S release 1.08 when it arrives. The O.S. is being duplicated for us in Chicago. If the customer still has problems a 386 cpu board will be tried next. Mike Bahia has requested that this call be transfed to RDB 8760.

ASSIGNED: KROESEN FRANK R DATE: 02/08/90 TIME: 11:27
Intermitent. We don't have a way to duplicate the failures.
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/28/90 TIME: \(13: 30\)
HC643. No problems since mid-February when Maint Rel 1.08 was installed \& the 386 board was replaced. Sending call back to field to be closed as requested.

PKUBLEM NUMBEK: Cg0000249y

PROBLEM TYPE: ALRT PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS-386
GEN SYST MODEL: CS 386
O. S. VERSION: 1000

HW MODEL NUMBER:
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW VERSION: 1000
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CALL TRKG NO: 49837
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 01/24/90
STATUS CODE: S 0495
STATUS ABBREV: NEW PROBLM

CUS I NAME:
CUST NUMBER: Al 00212920002
CUST RDB: A6516
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CUST CONTACT: & KEN STEWART \\
\hline CUST CONT PHONE: & -02-898-6350 \\
\hline CUST ADDRESS 1: & 168 WALKER STREET \\
\hline CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline CUST CITY: & NORTH SYDNEY \\
\hline CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline CUST ZIP: & NSW - 206 \\
\hline CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline PERSON ASSIGNED: & GRANT ALBERT E JR \\
\hline ORIG NAME: & STEWART KEN \\
\hline ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline DATE ENTER PTR: & 01/24/90 \\
\hline DATE TO R\&D: & \\
\hline WKDYS IN R\&D: & 48.99 \\
\hline TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 50.06 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 22:33

PROBLEM SUMMARY : STEWART KEN
Programs halt with 2 errors, the first is an X77 which occurs when the system is first booted up and the programs loaded on initialize. The invalid \#part ref err clears when the partition is reset and the prog restarts. the second error occurs when the programs halt with P55 error, when the program has selected global \#part, done some work and returned to print a foreground
variable, The software package runs with no problem on a CS/2200, as the package is large, we generate the following test programs to highlite the error. These also fail on CS/386 but work on CS/2200. :-
This runs in the Global -
10 \$PSTAT="TESTB":REM GLOBAL SECTION (\#part 1)
20 COM A5
30 DEFFN@PART"BGROND"
40 \$RELEASETERMINAL
50 \$BREAK255:GOTO50
100 DEFFN'101(A2)
110 PRINT "BGROUND 101/1",A1;A2;A3;A4;A5
120 SELECT @PART"FGROUND"

VS OFFICE Electronic Mail Thursday 04/05/90 08:17 am Page: 1
To: Mike Bahia
From: Kenneth Stewart
Subject: Ken Stewart-o/s rel 108
MS0126/LOWELL
Date: 04/05/90

Distribution:
Not Requested

Mike, The rel 1.08 did fix the problem on the CS/386, the cust has been happy for several weeks now, thank you. I did indicated this in the PTR but it is very difficult to get access to our PTR system as it is always overloaded. Regards Ken Stewart.

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB:

BERGER SOFTWARE A1 00212920002 A6516
****** CONTINUED IN 'HOW TO DUP SECTION' *******
ASSIGNED: GRANT ALBERT E JR DATE: 04/03/90 TIME: 09:26
We still have no status from you - did the release 1.08 resolve the problem? Have attempted calling 898-6350 at 1711 and 1805 EDT on 4/2/90 but no answer. Please respond.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 16:31
Please update call. Has 1.08 been received, installed, \& has it fixed your \(p\) roblem?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 17:03 Have you received Maint Rel 1.08 yet \& has it been installed? Please update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/09/90 TIME: 09:42
Maint Rel 1.08 should have been sent via Wang Office to you yesterday. Let me know when you receive it or if not received.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 11:46 Update acknowledged. Have requested same.

ASSIGNED: STEWART KEN DATE: 02/05/90 TIME: 22:35
MICHAEL - I do not have a copy of the \(0 / S\) release 1.08 -pls send me a copy by Wang Office- Thank You Ken Stewart.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/05/90 TIME: 11:14
Currently we do not have a 2200 S/W person in place, but I will try to help you. Believe this problem is fixed w/ Maint Rel 1.08 of the O/S. Can we send it to you via Wang Office if you don't have it.

ASSIGNED: STEWART KEN DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 21:18
Dan, Any progress? Cust worried as he is holding quotes for new machines-Ken
```

    ASSIGNED: STEWART KEN DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 22:33
    130 PRINT "BGROUND 101/2",A1;A2;A3;A4;A5
    ERROR P55: UNDEFINED VARIABLE !
    ***** THIS IS WHERE THE ERROR OCCURS
    150 RETURN
    THIS NEXT SECTION RUNS IN THE FOREGROUND PARTITION (#PART 2)
    10 $PSTAT="TESTF":REM USE ANY FUNCTION KEY TO SHOW ERROR
    ```
    20 DEFFN@PART"FGROUND"
    30 COM A1,A2,A3,A4,A5,A\$1
    \(40 \mathrm{Al}=1: \mathrm{A} 2=2: \mathrm{A} 3=3: \mathrm{A} 4=4: \mathrm{A} 5=5\)
    60 PRINT "WAITING -", A1;A2;A3;A4;A5
    60 KEYIN A\$,60,70:GOTO 60
    70 REM BACKGROUND CALL TO SHOW P55 ERROR
    100 SELECT@PART"BGROUND"
    110 PRINT "FGROUND 1/1",A1;A2;A3;A4;A5
    120 GOSUB '101(AI)
    130 GOTO40 : REM THE ABOVE TWO PROGRAMS RUN OK ON CS/2200
    I SET UP THESE TWO PROGS TO RUN ON BOOTUP- I HAVE NOT FOUND A WORK AROUND
the cust will have a lot of programs changes and a incompatable package
PROBLEM TO DEAL WITH IF A WORK AROUND IS USED.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PMUMBER -
PRIURITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:

START: C800005132
END: C800005132
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL

0
ALL

C800005132 P3

CUST NAME: WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET
CUST NUMBER: Fl 00700001001
CUST RDB:
F2500
CUST CONTACT: H.LEGER
CUST CONT PHONE: 48-970-606-
CUST ADDRESS 1: 78/80, AVENUE GALLIENI
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY: BAGNOLET
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: 93170-
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
ORIG NAME: LEGER HERVE
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 01/18/90
DATE TO R\&D:
WKDYS IN R\&D:
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 9.05
DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 05:19
CS-386 with OS 1.03. After saving and loading one program containing \%(image) statements with french accented characters, these lines are modified when the program is listed or PRINTUSING executed.
This problem is critical for printing.
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE
DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 04:41
With rel.1.08 all french accented characters seems to be supported. you can de-escalade this call.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/29/90 TIME: 11:10 Maint Rel 1.08 being sent out to you today via Wang Office. Please update wi th results as soon as you can try. Tested here good.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 17:01 Although 1.07 fixed this problem in German, we were able to duplicate it w/ 1 in French as you said. Good news is we are now testing Maint Rel 1.08 \& l.us fixes your problem. Will send 1.08 to you next week via OFFICE.

SELECTION CRITERIA

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
ALL
RDB - ASS
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:
ALL
ALL
ALL
0
ALL

START: C800005132
END: C800005132

ASSIGN RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800005132 CUST NAME: WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET
PRIORITY P3

CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB:

F1 00700001001
F2500

Upgrading call to CRITICAL / DH from as per your request.
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/25/90 TIME: 10:09
This problem persists with release 1.07. More information :
The character e with grave accent is changed by ';' u with grave accent is changed by 's' a with grave accent is changed by ':' e with circumflex accent is changed by 'l' e with diaeresis is changed by '6' i with diaeresis is changed by '6'

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/25/90 TIME: 09:44
OS/386 version 1.07 is installed on customer site. I'm waitting for results.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 16:59
This problem should be resolved with Maint Rel 1.07. Could not duplicate w/ 1.07. Germany had same problem \& could not dupe w/ 1.07 either. Please test \& update me w/ results.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/23/90 TIME: 11:50
R\&D looking into problem.
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 05:19
The name of this program is "PGM".
Program : 10 PRINTUSING 20
20 \% (e with accute accent \(=\) hex(7D))
Listing : 10 PRINTUSING 20
20 \%e.0\$..V-"PGM"
Running : @.O\$..V-"PGM"
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 08:57
SC595. Problem with French accented characters fixed with Maint Rel 1.08. Transferring call back to Originator to be closed.

FRENCH
problem w/ accented characters
\begin{tabular}{ll}
5 REM \% Prog = @MENU & By PLS \(\quad\) Date \(=02 / 01 / 85\) \\
10 \%ù\&é"(Šl/cà)- \\
20 PRINT "TEST"
\end{tabular}

5 REM \% Prog = @MENU By PLS Date \(=02 / 01 / 85\)
\(\left.\left.10 \%<\& .^{\prime \prime}(\wedge ; 1):\right)-67891234-10\right) 918: 7^{\wedge} 5^{\prime} 4 " 3.2 \$ \$ * * \ll \% \% m m M==/:\)
20 PRINT "TEST"

5 REM \% Prog = @MENU By PLS Date \(=02 / 01 / 85\) 10 \%ù\&e"(§̌èlçà)-ëiöüûêîô̂ -à00ç918è7§5'4"3e2\$\$**ùù\%\%mmMM==/:
1.08 20 PRINT "TEST"

5 REM \% Prog = @MENU By PLS Date \(=02 / 01 / 85\) \(10 \%<\& . "(\wedge ; 1):\) )-67891234-10)918;7^5'4"3.2\$\$**<<\%\%mmMM==/: 20 PRINT "TEST"

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}


ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 07:22
Sorry MIchael. I think there was a delay transfering my update of 01/23/90 from the european ptr system to yours. The call can be closed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 15:00 You beat me to the punch. We tested this yesterday on \(1.07 \&\) no problem so \(I\) was going to ask you to try it. Did not have opportunity to upgrade call yesterday. Can we close the call?

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/23/90 TIME: 03:41 Mike, it doesn't work with all workstations. The problem is not related to apworkstaion or any MXE microcode. It's related only to the CS/386 o/s I ase 1.0. Mike Riley send me the release 1.07 and I tried it and the

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB - ASSI
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:
ALL
0
ALL

START: C800005033
END: C800005033

PROBLEM NUMBER: C8000005033
PRIORITY P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

ALEC GMBH
G1 00014048003
G2130
problem seems to be solved.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/19/90 TIME: 17:13
Similar problem reported by France. Does this work w/ a 2436DW? R\&D to check out.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 01/12/90 TIME: 10:10
1. CLEAR the Memory
2. Put a small german umlaut \(u\) behind a REM in line number and then and press RETURN
You can create a small umlaut u with a 2536DW workstation and German character set select at the position the left bracket '[' on your US keyboard. The HEX-Code is (7D).
3. Type LIST and press RETURN.

Mostly you will get the following echo ( or a system hang): 10 REM @O\$..
4. Try the same and try to EDIT line 10 after creation. Mostly you will get a whole system hang after RESET with a blank sreen.
5. After a system hang you have to switch the cpu off and on. The problem is not workstation or MXE microcode related. I tried it with 2326/2336, 2436 and 2536 workstations and the @MXEO of \(0 / S 3.3\) with the same results. The problem occurs only on the CS/386 and not on the normal CS.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 16:53 HC634. Problem resolved w/ Maint Release 1.07. Transferring call back to originator to be closed.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}


ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/15/90 TIME: 02:38
Problem solved with 1.071 .08
call can be descalated
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/14/90 TIME: 16:56 C/A/P 2/15/90: Customer has been running good since approx \(1 / 25\) when Maint Rel 1.07 installed. Maint Rel 1.08 was to be installed last Fri. Waiting on feedback from field on whether 1.08 has been installed \& current status.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 16:58
C/A/P 2/8/90: According to updates customer running good since 1.07 install e \(1 / 25\) or thereabouts. Update on \(1 / 31\) says 1.08 was installed but your lasc update indicates it wasn't. Is customer currently on 1.08 or is it to

\section*{PAGE:}

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004966
END: C800004966
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004966 & CUST NAME: & VINCENT CADEAUX \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & F1 00103109003 \\
& & CUST RDB: & F2560
\end{tabular}
be installed?
ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 10:35
no problem with 1.07 on testing, we will implemented 1.08 at customer site tomorrow morning, we will give you a feed back asap

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/01/90 TIME: 14:35
C/A/P 2/1/90: Awaiting feedback from field. Need to know if any problems were experienced with 1.07. Please update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/01/90 TIME: 14:32
C/A/P 2/1/90: Waiting on feedback from field. Need to know if any problems encountered W/ 1.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 08:54
Were there any problems running w/ Maint Rel 1.07? A problem has been found with 1.08. In some cases LISTV may return a S 24 error. Should this occur \& you continue on \& try to run the program, the system may come down as the 0/S may get blown away. LISTV appears to work in the majority of cases. Please update on results found running with 1.07 .

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 04:45
Release 1.08 is installed on customer site. I am waitting for results ...
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/29/90 TIME: 10:42
Maint Rel 1.08 being sent to you today via Wang Office. If needed you will have it.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 17:23 Updating call to CRITICAL per DH from conference call during Criticals Mtg. Maint Rel 1.08 being tested now. It fixes most known bugs w/ 1.07. 0/S 1.08 to be sent out next week if testing continues to be positive.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/25/90 TIME: 17:00
Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/25/90 TIME: 09:40
OS/386 version 1.07 is installed on customer site. I'm waitting results.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 14:57

START: C800004966
END: C800004966
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004966 & CUST NAME: & VINCENT CADEAUX \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & F1 00103109003 \\
& & CUST RDB: & F2560
\end{tabular}

Update acknowledged. Please update when install \& results. Keep in mind if static is a problem even not causing problems w/ the VLSI brd problems could show \(w /\) the 386 due to the extra sensitivity resulting from the faster clock. If static is not a problem, there is a good chance this may resolve your hang.

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/22/90 TIME: 11:10
OK. I received today the maintenance release 1.07 from Michel Du Mongh. Please, it is preferable to send me directly ALL next versions.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/19/90 TIME: 17:09 Have you read TSB's HWT 9373 from 12/12/89 (special attention to items 17 \& lome SWT 9225 from 12/26 (item 13). Make sure all h/w connected to good gro ui. \& all I/O cables secured providing a good ground. \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.7\) is a Maint Rel \& it addresses some of the hang issues. There are some problems with it. RENUMBER does not work. LISTV does notwork under certain conditions. SELECT \#number does not work under some specific circumstances. It has been sent electronically to Erwin Findt in Germany \& Philipe Du Mongh in France from whom you should be able to get it.

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/12/90 TIME: 04:23 Using OS/386 version 1.03

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/12/90 TIME: 04:20 During the day, the CS/386 hangs 2 or 3 times. There is no special reason for it. The system even hangs without producing errors. IPL is necessary.

ASSIGNED: THIRAKUL PHAYSONE DATE: 01/11/90 TIME: 04:01
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 15:12 HC634. No problems since approximately \(1 / 25\) when Maint Rel 1.07 installed. Transferring call back to field to close per field.
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WANG LABORATORIES INC. PAGE: • 1 PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING CUSTOMER ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT

SELECTION CRITERIA

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004904
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004904 CUST NAME: WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET
PRIORITY

PROBLEM TYPE: ICRT
PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS-386
GEN SYST MODEL: CS 386
O. S. VERSION: 1. 03

HW MODEL NUMBER:
SW MODEL NUMBER: BASIC-2/386
SW VERSION: 1. 03
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 01/08/90
STATUS CODE: S 0589
STATUS ABBREV: PERFORMANC
PROBLEM SUMMARY :LEGER HERVE
On CS/386 the wait for the 'ready(BASIC-2) PARTITION \(x\) ' message to show is longer than VLSI system after depressing "SHIFT/RESET" with using many tasks. The same with "CLEAR" command.
Approximatly 0.5 second for VLSI, and 2 seconds for 386.
Is my problem concerned by TSB (HWT 9373-17)? If yes, when this one should be fixed ?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/05/90 TIME: 09:39
The reason the VLSI is faster in this particular condition is simply because it has a shorter time slice per partition which allows it to move to the next partition before the 386 could.

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 03/02/90 TIME: 10:19
OK I improved performance of the customer's application including some \$break statements in programs. But I would like to know technical reasons to put necessary current partition to 'sleep' for 30 ms on 386 CPU and not necessary on VLSI CPU to informe customer.

START: C800004904
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL ALL
0
ALL

END: C800004904

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL

TTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004904
END: C800004904
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800004904
P1

CUST NAME: WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET
CUST NUMBER: Fl 00700001001
CUST RDB: F2500
this will probably be a "functions as designed". Please call me on this so that we can both more clearly understand the situation. My tel \# is: 1-508-656-0256
Also want to talk about C8/3561. If have trouble getting thru send Wang Office \& we will co-ordinate a time.

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 12:41
Many tasks of customer's application consist to examine some common variables with loop tests (spooler tasks). I agree with you, this way spend lot of CPU time but the response time is more satisfaisant on VLSI System.

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE
DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 11:59
T am very surprised you didn't see exactly the problem. It is very ilear for customer and myself, that your response is not acceptable. Please, make an effort to reproduce the problem !
If any satisfied answer from you, the customer put away his CS386 CPU with judiciary pursuit. This customer is a VAR.
Do exactly following steps on CS386 CPU with one screen and one disk :
- Declare 16 partitions.
- for each partition execute this start program : line 10 GOTO 10
- Press RESET key or List the catalog of the disk and EXAMINE THE

PERFORMANCE COMPARED TO VLSI SYSTEM ...
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 15:11
Maint Rel 1.09 has no relation to this problem. Have you read my update of 2/12. Do not see this as a problem. The number of times per day CLEAR \& RESET are used makes this a very insignificant time loss. The delay in response can also be affected by what peripherals are attached. Unless there is some added significance would like to close as "functions as designed".

ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 12:22
we will test this problem with 1.09 received yesterday
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/12/90 TIME: 10:10
Do not have 16 terminals available to me. With the 4 terminals on my system running Multi-disk, I saw no noticeable delay w/ RESET. At any rate, the number of times RESET \& CLEAR are used per day in most cases could be counted an 2 hands. Even at 2 seconds that is only 20 seconds a day. Just don't see .ins as a real problem. Peripherals attached to the system may also be a fac tor in the response. This is probably going to be a 'functions as designed'

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

START: C800004904
END: C800004904
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004904 & CUST NAME: & WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & F1 00700001001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & F2500
\end{tabular}
issue.
ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 10:13 could you please change the status to CRIT and escalate it to States

ASSIGNED: BACHILLER WILLIAM N DATE: 02/05/90 TIME: 14:03
Both this call and C80-4898 were discussed in the Critical Acct mtg help Feb lst. They need to be changed to CRIT (Problem Status) and sent to HO per standard escalation procedure.

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 05:14
The problem is not fixed with rel 1.07 or 1.08 .
To reproduce the problem, configure 16 partitions \& terminals and for each partition start a small program of loop (example: line 10 goto 10).
The response time for SHIFT/RESET or CLEAR command is not acceptable. List the catalog of the disk and examine the performance.
VLSI system is more satisfaisant.
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 05:05
This problem is not fixed with release 1.07 or 1.08 .
Try to configure 16 partitions/terminals and for each partition start a small program of loop (example: linel0 goto 10).
the response time for SHIFT/RESET or command CLEAR is not acceptable.
Try to list the catalog of the disk and see ...
The performance of ed in
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/25/90 TIME: 09:53
It was this problem with release 1.03. It seems fixed with release 1.07. I'm waitting for more results

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/08/90 TIME: 09:31
Execute on each partition (16) the following program : Line 10 GOTO 10
RESOLUTION TEXT :RILEY J MICHAEL DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 13:59
RESET is a function of ALL devices tied to that Part. When you hit RESET this resets all the devices and the way VLSI does it and the way the CS386 does it will be different... On my Cs386 system and with no other Parts. running. The Cs386 and VLSI are about the same....M.R.

SELECTION CRITERIA

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004898
END: C800004898

\section*{ALL}

ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004898 CUST NAME: WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET
PRIORITY PI
CUST NUMBER: F1 00700001001
CUST RDB:
F2500
PROBLEM TYPE: ESC
PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS-386
GEN SYST MODEL: CS 386
O. S. VERSION: 1.03

HW MODEL NUMBER:
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW VERSION: 1.03
PART NUMBER:
part num rev:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 01/08/90
STATUS CODE: S 0495
STATUS ABBREV: NEW PROBLM
PROBLEM SUMMARY :LEGER HERVE
CUST CONTACT:
H.LEGER

CUST CONT PHONE: 19-334-897-0606
CUST ADDRESS 1: 78/80, AVENUE GALLIENI
CUST ADDRESS 2:
CUST ADDRESS 3:
CUST CITY: BAGNOLET
CUST ST/PROV:
CUST ZIP: 93170-
CUST COUNTRY:
RDB ASSIGNED: 8760
PERSON ASSIGNED: GRANT ALBERT E JR
ORIG NAME: LEGER HERVE
ORIG PHONE:
NETWORKED: N
RES DEPLOYED:
DATE ENTER PTR: 01/08/90
DATE TO R\&D: 01/18/90
WKDYS IN R\&D: \(\quad 7.80\)
TOT WKDYS OPEN: 52.67
DATE: 01/08/90 TIME: 08:37
Using OS/ 3861.03 with "Wang 2200 Terminal Emulation" software (Computer Concepts Corporation), somme \$GIO (keyboard \& screen) in PC2200FT program
for file transfert don't work !!!
Some customers are waitting for a fix very urgently !!
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 03/19/90 TIME: 08:35
The test release 1.09 ONLY support PC/2200 Emulation Computer Concept
Software version 1.25 (File transfert) installed on customer site.
( \(\$\) GIO not supported with 1.08 release)
-For your information-
Problem fixed with this release. You can close this call.

> ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 14:06

Update acknowledged.
ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 12:34
we will installed it next thursday because of software house planning

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004898
END: C800004898
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800004898
P1

CUST NAME: WANG FRANCE - BAGNOLET
CUST NUMBER: F1 00700001001
CUST RDB:

F2500

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 03/09/90
Has 1.08 been installed yet. Please update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 17:22
Called downgraded to escalation / field. Waiting for installation of 1.08 on site.

ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 07:00 can be change to a normal escalation until we reinstalled 1.08 at customer site

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 02/16/90 TIME: 09:46
This \(\$\) GIO problem seems to be fixed with version 1.08 in Lab. We are installing this version on customer site and waiting for results ...

ASSIGNED: BACHILLER WILLIAM N DATE: 02/15/90 TIME: 08:45
Transferring call to RDB 8759 per Mike Bahia's request to Janis Carty.
ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/15/90 TIME: 03:46
the problem is still here with 1.08, it seems that 1.07 was in fact never installed at customer site and just tested in lab
the problem occurs at the identification state of the terminal.
on the screen we see error : Message*2536DE USA
\(\uparrow\) §24 syntax error
the instruction in use is \$GIO
baud rate 9600
we have tried two different application software with the same result.
ASSIGNED: DE LAULANIE PHILIPPE DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 10:19
no problem on 1.07, we will install 1.08 tomorrow and will let you know the result

ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/25/90 TIME: 09:48
OS/386 version 1.07 is installed on customer site. I'm waitting for results
ASSIGNED: LEGER HERVE DATE: 01/08/90 TIME: 08:37
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 08:49 This problem has been fixed in Test Rev. 1.07...

Basic-2 /386 Rev. 1.1 will be out shortly...
SC595. GIO problem w/ kybrd \& printer using PC/2200 Emulation Computer Concepts Software ver 1.25 resolved w/ Maint Rel 1.09. Did not work w/ 1.08. Sending call back to field to be closed.

WANG LABORATORIES INC.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C410003046
END: C410003046
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL

ALL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C} 410003046 \\
& \mathrm{P} 1
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: & CITY OF CHESHIRE 0000001984954 3157 \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & CAPT. SIDORK \\
\hline PRODUCT PROB NO: & NOT LINKED. & CUST CONT PHONE: & -203-272-5333 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 500 HIGHLAND AVE \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS386 & CUST CITY: & CHESHIRE \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & & CUST ZIP: & 06410-0000 \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & MICROVP-2 & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline SW VERSION: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PART NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & BOULAY RONALD R \\
\hline PART NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 01/04/89 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 01/04/90 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 01/04/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0533 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 74.53 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & SITE SUPP & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 84.03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY : BOULAY RONALD R DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 09:27 SYSTEM WILL HANG INTERMITTANTLY WITH NO ERRORS

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL R DATE: 05/02/90 TIME: 09:14 Clock is apparently running pretty close. It seems to be within a minute a day. Customer has been running like this for a couple of weeks with no complaints. I think we can close this one out.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/01/90 TIME: 16:13
Please update call. Has clock problem been resolved.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/18/90 TIME: 09:58 Status please. Has clock problem been resolved w/ 386 board.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/09/90 TIME: 17:25
386 brd swapped on \(4 / 6\) for clk prob. Monitoring.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/09/90 TIME: 11:32

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PTR NUMBER - & START: C410003046 & & END: C410003046 \\
PRORITY: & ALL & & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
RDB- & & \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
STAUSU TYPE: & ALL & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & & \\
\hline-
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C410003046 & CUST NAME: & CITY OF CHESHIRE \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & 0000001984954 \\
& & CUST RDB: & 3157
\end{tabular}

DTS called in 4/5. MXE brds were swapped \& problem has not changed. Still the clock is loosing 15 min a week. Will swap out 386 brd. Have found out 386 daughter brd has clock logic which overrides MXE when both installed. Hold on to original 386 brd for a week in case of problems. Please update wh en brd swapped.

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL R DATE: 04/09/90 TIME: 08:47
TALKED WITH MIKE B. ABOUT CLOCK PROBLEM ON 4/5. APPARENTLY CPU BOARD OVERRIDES THE MXE FOR THE CLOCK FUNCTIONS. CE REPLACED THE CPU BOARD ON 4/6. WILL NOT KNOW IF WORKING CORECTLY FOR A FEW DAYS.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/28/90 TIME: 16:19
Have MXE brds been swapped around? What is status on clock prob?
ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL \(R\) DATE: 03/28/90 TIME: 14:44
Customer had one problem on \(3 / 21\), but it seems to be unrelated. The sytem hung but when the user hit RESET, 'Ready basic 2' showed up. Sounds like a hogged disk, but customer IPLed before anything was checked. Other than that, the system is running good.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:10 Talked w/ DTS. Will make arrangements to swap around boards.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/20/90 TIME: 17:09
The lst MXE board should be controlling the clock. Since the system has been running error-free let's just swap the 1st \& 2nd or 3rd MXE \& try it. Left message for DTS to call.

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL R DATE: 03/19/90 TIME: 08:54
CUSTOMER SEEMS TO BE RUNNING FREE OF THE HANGING PROBLEMS, BUT CE INFORMS ME THE CLOCK IS NOW INACCURATE. IT LOSES 10 TO 15 MINUTES A WEEK. IT IS PROBABLY ANOTHER BAD MXE BOARD. LEFT MIKE B. A DVX TO CALL ME.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 10:32
Talked with cust. No prob since last Friday, 3/2. Fully operational since Monday when 3rd MXE re-installed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/06/90 TIME: 18:38
DTS called in. CE on site yesterday. Installed new MXE as 3rd board.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/05/90 TIME: 10:56

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PAMOMBER -
PR_URITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB--
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C410003046 & CUST NAME: & CITY OF CHESHIRE \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & 0000001984954 \\
& & CUST RDB: & 3157
\end{tabular}

Talked w/ customer \& DTS. DTS went in Fri \& removed lst MXE \& replaced with the 3rd MXE. Customer ran smoothly on 2 MXE's all weekend. Needs 3rd MXE installed for needs of daily staff. Left DVX for DTS to have 3rd MXE install ed as 3rd board. Do not use any board that may be at all questionable. Please update as soon as 3rd board is installed.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/02/90 TIME: 15:40 On Monday the previously mentioned MXE problem occurred where the lst \(4 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) 's on the MXE were down \& the LED on but the other w/s's were ok. The CE came in \& replaced that MXE \& the system hung at midnight. The original MXE was installed that had been earlier replaced because another board was not readil \(y\) available. The system again hung this morning while unused. The CE came i n replaced the MXE \& checked the V's. System hung on initial IPL \& has now \(h\) again. Have talked w/ cust, DTS, \& RTOM. Action plan is to go back out to site this afternoon \& remove lst MXE brd that was installed. Cust will run thru weekend using existing 2 MXE's \& in this way if a hang again occurs we've isolated any new hardware. Suggested at least 1 MXE if possible left a \(t\) site for weekend \& that a 386 brd \& a PS be ordered \& available just in cas e. We would also like to have someone on call this weekend \& the DTS has my home number should assistance be required.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 14:52 Where the LED on the MXE came on, I would be inclined to think some kind of noise or interference was generated at a W/S on that MXE (possibly power or static) especially should the problem not repeat. There is no information that you have relayed that would indicate this failure was the COPY problem. This I believe only the 2nd failure since 1.08 \& the kybrd foils were install ed. As you mentioned, if they can help us with more info when a failure occ urs, it will be much easier to identify the problem. A fix for the COPY prob lem is being tested at this time but do not want to rush into anything at \(t\) his site where it has been fairly stable until the fix has been tested elseware \& until we can get a better handle on whether they have a real problem o \(r\) this last hang was just a 1 time thing.

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL R DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 10:02 on \(2 / 27\) the system froze again. the customer was running an unattended program that takes several hours. This may be just a hardware problem as customer said a light on the mxe came on. This may also relate to the problem y stated with the copy/move. Customer did not do the checks he was supposed tc ..nen system fails (pressing reset on terminals, checking which terminal

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410003046
END: C410003046
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C410003046 & CUST NAME: & CITY OF CHESHIRE \\
PRIORITY & Pl & & CUST NUMBER: \\
& & \(\cdots\) & CUST RDB:
\end{tabular}
were hung, etc). Explained to him the importance of doing so. Hopefully he will next time

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/23/90 TIME: 11:45
The hang, during COPY you described is a problem we believe we have just identified \(\&\) we are awaiting a fix. This doesn't happen all the time but we think if there is a problem trying to do a COPY, MOVE, or Backup while still accessing disk a hang, can occur which when RESET is keyed will clear the screen \& require a re-IPL.

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL R DATE: 02/22/90 TIME: 15:28
Talked ito Capt. Sadoik - apparently the software vender was on site on 2/12, and he was copying files between drives when the system froze. Problem has not reoccurred since then.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Update acknowledged.

DATE: 02/22/90 TIME: 13:53

\section*{ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL \(R\) DATE: 02/22/90 TIME: 10:43}

Problem seemed to occur once on Monday \(2 / 12\), but system has been running fine since taht date. I don't have all the info on what happened on \(2 / 12\), but wid update call when available.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 16:25 Current status please.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E Update acknowledged.

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL \(R\) DATE: 02/08/90 TIME: 13:59
Installed CS OS 1.08. No problems encoutered. Customers software seemed to run ok. Customer thinks system is running faster than before. Let's monitor for a few days.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 18:05 Spoke w/ DTS. Arrangements have been made to go on-site tomorrow w/ Maint Rel l.08. 2536DW has been updated w/ kybrd foil. I should be available if \(n\) eeded while on-site.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/30/90
TIME: 18:16

START: C410003046
END: C410003046
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C410003046 & CUST NAME: & CITY OF CHESHIRE \\
PRIORITY & P1 & & CUST NUMBER:
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l}
0000001984954 \\
\end{tabular}

2 foils \& 4 clips sent out yesterday, Fed Xpress, Air Bill \# 4743937274. Should arrive tomorrow morining. Maint Rel 1.08 sent to Sandy Guevara in NY Regional Office yesterday via. \({ }^{\text {Wang mail. }}\)

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/29/90 TIME: 11:36
Spoke w/ DTS. System Administrator out this week so will not be updating 0/S until next week. Maint Rel 1.08 being sent to Regional Office in NY today. DTS to get 1.08 from Regional Office. Sending out 2 kybrd foils for 2 2536DW W/S's at this site to be updated on next call basis. When DTS receives foils will call me for installation instructions. Will send out foils today.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 18:12}

Spoke w/ DTS today. DTS has spoken to programmer \& a SELECT\#20 is being used for RAM disk. Maint Rel 1.08 is being tested now \& should fix this prob. Expect to send out Monday if no serious problems found. When brought to site suggested DTS co-ordinate visit w/ programmer to insure 0/S loaded as per customer needs. Suggested try to run problem program direct off virgin 1.08 if possible to verify proper operation. DTS to call Mon.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 17:05
DTS called in. Received 1.07 \& brought to site this AM to install. Ran @INSTALL to install on cust disk \& had problem. Main program would consisten. tly fail at same spot each time, hanging system. Also got garbage up on screen 1 time. Garbage may possibly be due to static. Need to get handle on what may be happening when main program fails. Could possibly be related to SELECT \#xx bug. DTS waiting on call back from S/W vendor. Also need to insure \(0 / S\) properly loaded \& configured with proper files. Should try loading directly off 1.07 received \& then loading main program.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/22/90 TIME: 17:20
Maint Rel 1.07 sent out Fed Xpress, Air Bill \# 4743937 387. Should have it tomorrow morning. Please update as soon as installed.

ASSIGNED:' BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/19/90 TIME: 12:23
Talked with DTS. Maint Rel 1.07 should help \(w /\) some \& possibly all of their hang conditions depending on the actual cause. DTS has told me environment for most part is not carpeted, hence static should not be significant. It is important especially w/ the 25360 W 's that the environment be good. Because of a Mfg error the 2536 DW 's are somewhat more susceptible to static. A fix probably in an FCO format will be available in the future. Insure all \(\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}\) on

PRIORITY
P1

system has good grounds with Ground Tester. Insure all all I/O cables screwe d down so that a good ground path is provided. Maint Re. 1.07 for the 386 does address some hang issues \& is working very well at several sites where hangs were a daily problem. This is a Maint Rel \& there have been a few bugs identified w/ it including the fact RENUMBER does not work. The Maint Rel has been brought to a group for duplication \& a copy will be sent out to Sandra Guevara in the NY Regional Office from where she will be sending you a copy. This should be out to her by the middle of next week.

\section*{ASSIGNED: ERICSON DAVID DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 10:43}

JACK, NEW O.S. FOR THIS SYSTEM BEING TESTED IN H.O. CUSTOMER VERY UPSET DUE TO FREQUENT SYSTEM HANGS AND 24 HR SYSTEM USE BY POLICE DISPATCH. CAN YOU GET US ANSWERS ON WHETHER THE O.S. WILL FIX THEIR PROBLEMS WITHOUT CREATING ANY OTHER SIGNIFICANT PROBS, AND WHEN IS THE O.S. DUE FOR RELEASE AS NO CIRCUMVEN TIONS ARE CURRENTLY AVAILABLE THAT ARE ACCEPTABLE TO CHESHIRE POLICE DEPT.

ASSIGNED: SULLIVAN DANIEL \(R\) DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 10:06 The operating system (1,3) seems to get blown away intermittantly. Most of the time it is caused by PC280 going in \& out of emulation. (Customer is using a package called 'WT'). Sometimes the system hangs when no one is using the PCs. While onsite it hung when using a 2536 DW . Anothe time when thier software vender was logged on remotely with a 2336DE WS. When the system crashes, going to any ws and typing a command, the line being typed will appear but when 'RETURN' is pressed the cursor does not drop down a line and nothing happens. Pressing 'RESET' clears the screen and puts the cursor in the upper corner. Per TSB HWT 9373, Matrix 4103 Item 17 - Has R\&D come up with anything to help us? Customer is starting to get upset over this.

\section*{ASSIGNED: ERICSON DAVID DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 12:17}

BRANCH TO ARRANGE SYS BACKUP AND SYSTEM TIME FOR DAN TO RUN DIAGS AND SHAKE OUT THE BUG. DAN CALLING TSO TO REVIEW TSB'S AND RECENT BUGS ETC .

ASSIGNED: BOULAY RONALD R DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 09:27 SINCE INSTALLATION(8WEEKS AGO) SYSTEM HAS BEEN EXPERIENCING HANGS. CE KEVIN MAREK HAS bEEN WORKING WITH DTS DAN SULLIVAN IN TRYING TO FIX THIS P
I ROBLEM. THE FOLLOWING HAS BEEN DONE AT THE CUSTOMER SITE:ALL RECENT TSB'S REGARDING IDIOSYNCRASIES WHEN USING THE 386 PROM BASED CPU BOARD(212-7129x) HAS BEEN PERFORMED. CE INSTALLED AN R-3 PROM IN THE D/S CABINATE. ALL VOLTAG S ARE SET TO SPECS. THE FOLLOWING HAS BEEN CHANGED: CPU=P/S, MXE PCB, TRIPPL : E CONTROLER PCB, UNUSED CONTROLERS HAVE BEEN REMOVED. ALSO TWO OF THE KEY BOARDS WERE REPLACED PER THE TSB.

RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/02/90 TIME: 10:13
HC640. Most system problems resolved on \(2 / 8\) when \(0 / S 1.08\) installed. Also had some terminal hangs caused by faulty MXE which was corrected back in Marc h. System clock loosing time corrected by replacing the 386 board. Transfer ring call back to field to be closed.

WANG LABORATORIES INC. PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING CUSTOMER ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C210002974
END: C210002974
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER PRIORITY

C210002974
P1

CUST NAME: BUDGET RENT-A-CAR
CUST NUMBER: Cl 01102606025
CUST RDB: C3195

\section*{PROBLEM TYPE: ESC \\ PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED}

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS-386
GEN SYST MODEL: CS 386
O. S. VERSION:

HW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW MODEL NUMBER:
SW VERSION:
PÅ NUMBER:
Pf. NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: 02/20/90
STATUS CODE: H C 160
STATUS ABBREV: ECO/FCO
PROBLEM SUMMARY :ST. JEAN REJEAN DATE: 12/15/89 TIME: 16:58 System freezes intermittently and hug at least one disk drive for unknown reasons.

\section*{ASSIGNED: SPICER ABE}

DATE: 02/16/90
TIME: 15:25
Cust has been running for two weeks with the new O.S. and feels that the hang problem is fixed. Please close this call and I will de-escalate it to the District.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/30/90 TIME: 17:06
Heard thru R\&D grapevine cust up \& running well on Maint Rel 1.08. Cust did find bug however. Had a S24 error on a LISTV \& when tried to run program blew system. LISTV appears to work in most cases but there does appear to be a problem under certain circumstances.

ASSIGNED: SPICER ABE
DATE: 01/22/90 TIME: 10:10
0 O to we replaced. Customer got worried and downgraded O.S. back to 1.03 until

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C210002974
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

END: C210002974

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C210002974 & CUST NAME: & BUDGET RENT-A-CAR \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & C1 01102606025 \\
& & CUST RDB: & C3195
\end{tabular}
system settles down again. Possibly for as long as a week. Will update when the O.S. is back at 1.07 again.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 17:53
Update acknowledged. Please update weekly w/ status.
ASSIGNED: VAN ASTEN JOHN DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 09:27 All systems are loaded

ASSIGNED: VAN ASTEN JOHN DATE: 01/16/90 TIME: 12:08
Client installed the \(O S\) into one system with succes and are in the progress \(t\) of installing this \(O S\) into all machines tonigh, I will be on site.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/11/90 TIME: 13:51
Cust was mailed Maint Rel 1.07 of \(0 / S\) earlier this week. It addresses some of the hang issues. Has the \(0 / S\) been installed or any h/w changes made?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 09:03
To be clear, swapping CPU's at this point would only actually require moving the cable from 1 of the 2 CPU's to the MUXExtender to port on the 2275MUX running error-free w/ 1 of the other CPU's \& putting the CPU just disconnecte d to the MUXExtender port just freed. If the CPU moved to the MUXExtender starts to fail we will know the MUXExtender has a prob. If the CPU moved to the 2275MUX fails it would probably indicate the remote setup as most likely prob. And if all 3 are failing then both the Extender \& the Remote setup are problem areas.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/03/90 TIME: 17:24
Talked w/ Abe on phone. Hang problem appears to be occurring only on the 2 CPU's working thru the Mux Extender. These 2 CPU's are also using a differen \(t\) set-up to talk w/ remotes than the other 5. Suggest 1 of the failing CPU's be swapped w/ 1 working directly thru the 2275MUX to isolate the problem to either the MUXExtender or the Remote set-up. New Maint Rel has been rcv'd (1.06) which addresses some hang situations \& may have already been sent out to the customer. Only prob found so far \(w /\) new \(0 / S\) is related to printer dri vers used at 204.

ASSIGNED: SPICER ABE
DATE: 01/02/90 TIME: 14:24
Have asked that the two Disk MUX Extenders be replaced. Customer has spoken to Tyler Olson who will send a new O.S. when he gets it from R\&D.

XAOI12R 00.05 .00

WANGLABORATORIESINC. PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING CUSTOMER ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}


PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C210002974
END: C210002974
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C210002974
P1

CUST NAME: BUDGET RENT-A-CAR
CUST NUMBER: Cl 01102606025
CUST RDB: C3195

ASSIGNED: VAN ASTEN JOHN
Was on site Diagnostic ran OK Two systems have the problem they have 2275 MUX MASTER and EXTENDER also they use a TIME DOMAIN MUX to hookup their remo tes for these two systems the other systems use shorthaul modems and local ca bling

ASSIGNED: VAN ASTEN JOHN DATE: 12/18/89 TIME: 12:17
Plan to be on site this afternoon will run diagnostics on one machine will check all ECO for all contolers of one machine. Will need more info on this machine.
pątGNED: ST. JEAN REJEAN DATE: 12/15/89 TIME: 16:58
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 14:08
HC634. No problems since upgrading to Maint Rel 1.08. Transferring call back to field to be closed /field.

WANG LABORATORIES INC. PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING CUSTOMER ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT

PAGE: 02 APR 1990 09:15:57

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C} 800004527 \\
& \mathrm{Pl}
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CUST NAME: \\
CUST NUMBER: \\
CUST RDB:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
APOK N.V. \\
B1 00101933001 \\
B2900
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & POEDTS & BELGIUM \\
\hline PRODUCT PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & 02-244-221-1 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & Oudestraat 39 & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 3: & & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & CS/386-10D & CUST CITY: & KAMPENHOUT & \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS 386 CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & & \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & 01.0 & CUST ZIP: & 3090 - & \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS/386-10D & CUST COUNTRY: & & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 & \\
\hline SW VERSION: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E & \\
\hline PANUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & DU MONGH MICHEL & \\
\hline Prı... NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - & \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) & \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & ATSS & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 12/13/89 & \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 03/08/90 & DATE TO R\&D: & & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0504 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 75.45 & \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & N-REP-INFO & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 76.89 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
PROBLEM SUMMARY :DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 12/13/89 TIME: 08:54 \\
INTERMITTENT HANG ON ALL WORKSTATIONS IN DIFFERENT SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. \\
AFTER RESET ONLY THE CURSOR APPEARS IN THE LEFT UPPER CORNER. \\
YOU NEED TO POWERDOWN THE SYSTEM TO RESTART.
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ASSIGNED: VAN MAELE VINCENT DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 11:05 Indeed this answers all our questions. Thanks for your support on this issue Could you pls transfer the call to us and update the resolution so we can close

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/90 TIME: 12:03
Apparently something happened to the end of my 3/9 update.
3. The AO5 trying to SAVE a long program line in the NEW 386 format is normal. This is related to item 1 in TSB SWT 9225, Matrix 4301 from 12/26/89 The line needs to be split to 2 lines because the recoding to 386 format is resulting in the line exceeding the 256 byte limit a sector has. If this i le problem it is 'functioning as designed'. Please let me know if this answers all your questions so we can close the cal

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PTR NUMBER - & START: C800004527 & & END: C800004527 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & & \\
STATUS TYPE: & 0 & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & &
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004527 PRIORITY

P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

APOK N.V. B1 00101933001 B2900
1.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 12:26 Can help you now.
1. Rounding of number w/ 13 g g . The 38610 digit accuracy as opposed to 13 digit accuracy for the VLSI. This is because variables take up much more mem ory space when coded for the 386 than w/ VLSI. See TSB SWT 9225 from 12/26/8 y, item 5. The 2 answer given are basically equal. On a VLSI CPU I made both answer equal to variables \& when \(-1.00000000 \mathrm{E}+13\) is divided by -99999999 99999 the answer is 1. If -.9999999999999 is divided by \(-1.00000000 \mathrm{E}+13\) the answer is . 9999999999999 , equivalent to 1 . Functions as designed.
2. Intermittent hang running 2200 Diag 179E, CPU Memory Diag. The memory diagnostics are off-line diagnostics \& are not compatible. The CPU Instructi on Exerciser is an on-line test \& therefore is compatible. To test memory use the diagnostic on the \(386 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}\) disk.
3. Error A05 saving a log line on disk using SELECT NEW. This is indirectly covered in TSB SWT 9225 from 12/26/89, item 12. Again because recoding for \(t\) he 386 takes more space some lines may need to be split up to fit into a 256 byte sector. This line is exceeding the sector size. Functions as designed. 4. Loose O/S sometimes when RESET after an error, I92 on MOVE in this case. This is a problem for which we are currently testing a fix. Should not loose \(0 / S\) because an error occurred.
5.Did not mention CLEAR/RESET concern. See PTR C800004904 if want details.

ASSIGNED: DE MAERTELAERE ERIC DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 11:22
unable to reach you by phone - pls give an update in this call if you still need more info after the update from Michel about the rounding \& CPUTEST

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/08/90 TIME: \(12: 51\)
C/A/P/03/08/90: Downgrading call to Escalation per conversation with Belgium in Criticals Meeting. Belgium to call me for specifics on problems today or tomorrow per Criticals.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 03/08/90 TIME: 11:33
1) PRINT ROUND ( \(-9999999999999,0\) ) EXE gives as result \(-1.00000000 \mathrm{E}+13\)
instead of -9999999999999
2) We runned the 2200 Diagnostic Package 732-0050A CPU Memory REV 179E on two terminals, and got intermittent hangs.
3) We got AOS during a SAVE under SELECT NEW of a correct statement line (a long line with many colon's) and there was enough space on the platter.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

P? IUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004527
END: C800004527
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER:
PRIORITY

C800004527
P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: Bl 00101933001
CUST RDB: B2900
4) After an error I92 during a MOVE (due to a hardware failure of the DPU board) we did RESET and got a screen blank. Is this also normal for the CS386?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/07/90 TIME: 12:34
Still waiting for phone call. Need specifics on problem.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 16:08
C/A/P/03/01/90: Need details on problems described. Please call me at l-508 -656-0256 to discuss problem. If have trouble getting through send Wang Offi ce to co-ordinate time.

\section*{SIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 15:54}

Ti. こLEAR delay is a "functions as designed". Under some situations keying CLEAR will be longer w/ the 386 because it does a software RESET which must do many more things while the older CPU's do a hardware RESET which would be momemtary. The A05 as described in TSB SWT 9225 from 12/26/89, Matrix 4301, item 12 should be resolved w/ 1.08. Please give more info on CPU test. What test specificly are you referring to. Also need specifics on rounding prob w/ 13 9's. See update of earlier today.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 12:28
This is a Critical call. Please keep updated. Received a Wang Office from Eurotac written on testing of 1.08 sent to Robin Bews by Vince. Need more specific information.
1. Problem 1 said a problem existed rounding a number with 13 9's. Do not know of this problem. Please describe \& supply info on how to duplicate.
2. The CLEAR/RESET is not considered a problem as this appears to be "functioning as designed". R\&D does not see this as a problem. They have tested \& have not found any serious problem with the way this works.
3. Intermittent hangs during CPU test. Need specifics on problem. What CPU test is being used, how often does it hang, \& does it hang at any specifi c place?
4. A05 error. Please explain. How do you get this error? Is it duplicata ble?
There are some problem with 1.08 that we are aware of but \(1,2, \& 4\) are not on the list \& 2 we need more info on to tell. Problems currently known inclu de a problem still existing w/ LISTV in some instances where if an error o H s can loose \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) if continue. There is still a hang problem which could possibly occur when a COPY or Backup is done on the same base address where

PTR NUMBER -
PRIORITY: DROBLEM TYPE:
? DB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004527
END: C800004527
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004527 & CUST NAME: & APOK N.V. \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & B1 00101933001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & B2900
\end{tabular}
heavy access exists. This doesn't happen in every instance \& R\&D has found a way to duplicate.
\({ }^{1}\) SSIGNED: VAN MAELE VINCENT DATE: 02/28/90 TIME: 05:11
1.08 installed : RENUMBER \& LIST V problem seems to be cured the rounding of numbers with 13 g 's is still wrong
Following problems where ok in 1.07 but occur in 1.08 :
- with heavy cpu load, the machine is slow during the CLEAR instr. during the process of the CLEAR instr. the keyb input buffer does not work.
- intermittant cpuhang during CPUTEST, when reset is pressed screen goes blank with cursor on the screen.
- The A05 problem still persist : compress of long statement lines corrupt the program logic

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/21/90 TIME: 17:19 C/A/P/2/22/90: Has 1.08 been installed yet? Can we downgrade this call to an escalation? Please update.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/14/90 TIME: 18:06
C/A/P 2/15/90: Waiting for Maint Rel 1.08 to be installed.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/08/90 TIME: 10:10
Update acknowledged. Please update as soon as 1.08 is installed.
ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 02/08/90
TIME: 05:27
Till today we didn't receive the maint rel 1.08.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/07/90 TIME: 18:13
C/A/P 2/8/90: Waiting for feedback. Maint release 1.08 should resolve your RENUMBER problem. Please update w/ current status.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/01/90 TIME: 14:58
C/A/P 2/1/90: Maint Rel 1.08 should have been sent to you already. It corrects the RENUMBER problem. If you have not received it Herve Leger has it \& you should get a copy from him. Please update me on whether 1.08 has been received \& any existing problems you still have or find. The only known problem at this time is LISTV in some instances may fail with a P34. If you try to continue the system goes down. This has been reported at only 1 site.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/26/90
TIME: 15:20

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& & & END: C800004527 \\
\hline PRIURMBER - & START: C800004527 & & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
RDB - & ALL & & \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & \\
STATUS TYPE: & 0 & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004527 & CUST NAME: & APOK N.V. \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & B1 00101933001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & B2900
\end{tabular}

RENUMBER is a known problem w/ 1.07. I believe you will find I mentioned tha \(t\) in one of my updates. There should of also been a document w/ the 0/S 1.07 stating the RENUMBER problem. We now have Maint Rel 1.08 which fixes the RENUMBER problem \& several others. If we find no major problems we will send it to you next week.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 07:15
At the moment we don't need the keyboard foils, because the customer doesn't work with 2536DW workstations.
We installed O.S. release 1.07 and got good results related to the LIST V problems. We tried it out in two different ways :
- A program resaved under SELECT NEW with O.S. release 1.0 gives still aroblems on a LIST \(V\) with O.S. release 1.07.
program resaved under SELECT NEW with O.S. release 1.07 gives no problem on a LIST \(V\) with O.S. release 1.07.
But there is still a problem with the RENUMBER instruction.
After a RENUMBER is executed, you have all kinds of characters in your progr. If you try to renumber by specifying the linenumbers, you get a hang, and when you RESET at this moment you get a screen blank.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 17:57
C/A/P 1/25/90 Have foils now. Can send you 2 or 3 . Make sure have 2200 kybrds on 2536DW's. Difference is 2200 has RESET key while VS has HELP. Please provide mailing address for foils. Foils must be put in 2200 version kybrd. You have received Maint Rel 1.07. What is current plan for this cust omer \& what are current problems? Have been running Multi-Disk from several terminals for a month now w/ 1 failure as you described. Has not reoccurred. Remember intermittent hangs are most likely ESD problems where it is critical to have good outlet ground \& to have all h/w properly secured via I/O cables to insure good grounding. Installing the foils on the 2200 ver kybrds for th e 2536DW will bring the W/S to Wang spec for ESD protection.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/19/90 TIME: 15:42}

LISTV has a known bug when certain parameters are met. This problem still ex ists in 1.07. The problem has been reported to Taiwan \& should be corrected in the next release of the O/S.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 18:23 \(C\) 1/18/90 The problem trying to SAVE a program line greater than 144 chu, acters is probably due to the fact that this particular line when convert

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004527
END: C800004527
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800004527
P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB: B2900
APOK N.V.

B1 00101933001
ed for a 386 requires more space than available in a single sector. The line length that will cause this problem will vary according to the contents of that line \& how it decodes. It is likely some lines > 144 characters will SA \(\zeta\) ok while some < 144 may also fail. To get around this problem the line ne eds to be broken into 2 separate lines. Unfortunately 0/S 1.06 has a bug whi CH will probably prevent you from doing this. \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.07\) does not have this proslem. The LISTV problem I still need to look into. It may be corrected o n 1.07. Will find out. Could only come up w/ 1 kybrd foil unfortunately so far but should have more soon. Do you need them? Will arrange for \(0 / \mathrm{S} 1.07\) to be sent to you via Wang Office.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 20:04
Just back from 2 day field trip. Reason for slow disk I/O is the 386 uses a binary coded language while the older 2200's use Binary Coded Decimal. When loading a program from disk it must be decoded for the 386 which is where the time loss occurs. Saving a program using SELECT NEW saves it in the binary format used by the 386 \& eliminates the decoding making disk I/O at least as fast as the older CPU's. Once saved in the new format the program cannot be used by a non-386 but can always be loaded into memory \& saved in the old for mat w/ SELECT OLD. The new format takes more space on disk because some instructions require more bytes \& all variables do. This is the same reason partitions usually need to be made larger. The Triple Controller should not create a problem \& has not been seen to cause a problem at any other site. You can only use 1 Triple Controller, it must be the type w/ the RS232 term port \& not a keybrd port, all sw settings must be legal. The terminal must always be set for the last port which means if port 1 (sw 1 on only \& no othe \(r\) term controllers), if port 5 ( \(1 \& 4\) on only with only 1 term controller ins talled), if port 9 ( \(1 \& 5\) on only w/ 2 term controllers) or port 13 ( \(1,4 \& 5\) on only w/ 3 term controllers). Disk sw's must be set for 310,320 , or 330 \& this must be exclusive to this brd. Printer address should be 215,216 , or 2 17 exclusively. The problem resaving w/ long line may be bug in 1.06. Will jok into \& get back. We are now testing 1.07 \& looks good.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 04:16
When we try to save a program under SELECT NEW with a statement line longer than 144 characters, we get an error A05.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 04:13
Additional information about our tests for the problem 'hang, then reset, then screen blank'.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

\section*{P-}

PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004527
END: C800004527
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

\section*{PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY \\ C800004527 \\ P1 \\ CUST NAME: APOK N.V. \\ CUST NUMBER: B1 00101933001 CUST RDB: B2900}
- We didn't use 2536 DW terminals, but only two 2236 DE's and one 2336 DE.
- Power and grounding are ok and there is no influence of static electricity.
- We did the tests with a MXE and afterwards with a MXD, and we got the same results. These controllers have the latest elec rev.
- What we can say is that we got better results when using the standard Wang cables instead of PC configured cables.
- We have also better results when the PS tensions don't exceed the limits. On all the installed systems the +5 V was about +5.20 V . We put it on +5.05 V .
- With a tripple controller plugged in we got all kinds of problems. We tried with three different controllers and they have all the latest elec rev.
* parasites appear on screens
* Text on the terminal connected to the tripple appears suddenly on another screen(connected to MXD)
Hangs
* The number of configured terminals in @GENPART may not exceed the terminal address of the tripple.
- For the disk drive, the best results were obtained by using a 220-0364 cable. Older cables give intermittent hangs and time out errors.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 01/15/90 TIME: 12:20
Loading a program on a 386 cpu takes much more time than on a VLSI cpu. We used O.S. Release 1.06 for the 386 cpu , and 3.3 for the VLSI cpu. When you resave the program under SELECT NEW mode on the 386 cpu , the LOAD time becomes about the same on both cpu's.
First, we have no information about 'SELECT NEW', and don't know what it is doing and why it is used.
Second, we got the following problem : executing a LIST V of a big program (20K) which is saved with SELECT NEW gives an error Sl3. After this syntax error we saw that the programlines after the statementline where the error was encountered were lost.
Afterwards, we made a partition of IMB. We still got the error S13 with the LIST \(V\) instruction, but the program remained in memory.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/11/90 TIME: 16:02 C/A/P 1/11/90 Now have Maint Rel 1.07 of \(0 / S\) that addresses some of the hang situations where if RESET is keyed screen blanks. Testing of this 0/S looks very positive at this time. Only identified problem is RENUMBER statement do esn't work \& can blow system. This should be corrected soon. Need feedback oract symptoms now being experienced. Expect to pick up 2 or 3 kybrd fous tomorrow. May be able to send \(0 / S\) out electronically if needed.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PTR NUMBER - & START: C8000004527 & & END: C800004527 \\
ORIORITY: & ALL & \\
ROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & \\
OB - & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
N/SW INDICATOR: & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & & \\
TATUS TYPE: & ALL & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004527 & CUST NAME: & APOK N.V. \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & B1 00101933001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & B2900
\end{tabular}

Please supply me w/ exact symptoms now existing \& address for mailing kybrd foils \& O/S.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/08/90 TIME: 15:00
Please update w/ exact details on problems being experienced. The keybrd foils may be a factor in a static prone environment using 2536DW's \& experien cing intermittent hangs. Should a hang occur, HALT should be tried from each W/S active \& if no change RESET from each W/S. If RESET causes the W/S to blank out except for a cursor there could also be 0/S related problems for which a maint rel of the \(0 / S\) should soon be available. If the hangs are very intermittent using only MXD term controllers if possible instead of MXE's may also be helpful as a temporary workaround. How many foils are required for this site? Please outline specific symptoms of failures being experienced to insure all problems are being addressed. There appears to be at least 2 Hang related problems causing w/s's to blank w/ RESET, 1 to do w/ W/S's \& their controllers \& the 2nd to do w/ disk.

ASSIGNED: BEWS ROBIN
DATE: 01/08/90 TIME: 06:35
Belgium report that the TSB information did not affect this problem. They would like to have some keyboard foils made available to them for testing. Do you have a date when these foils may be avaiable?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 18:21
C/A/P 1/4/89 Waiting for feedback from field. Please update. Field was to followup on info supplied in TSB's.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/28/89 TIME: 17:40
C/A/P 12/28/89 Waiting for feedback from field. What is current status? Field was to followup on info supplied in last few updates \& on TSB's HWT9373 \& SWT 9225.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/21/89 TIME: 16:23
C/A/P 12/21/89 Unable to duplicate hang problem w/ Multi-Disk. Currently 2 hang situations appear to exist.
1. Disk hang. This problem is usually consistent if same conditions are repeated. Two cases of this type hang have been captured \& sent to R\&D.
Hoping for fix near beginning of year.
2. W/S related hang. This hang is usually very intermittent \& may be due to noise, grounding, or static. The 2536 DW seems to be much more sensitive to these factors on the 386. A foil is missing from the kybrd which is needed

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline PUMBER - & START: C800004527 & & END: C800004527 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & & \\
STATUS TYPE: & 0 & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004527 & CUST NAME: & APOK N.V. \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & B1 00101933001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & B2900
\end{tabular}
for the w/s to withstand our static specs. Kybrd people are looking into thi \(s\) \& we expect an answer soon. Powering the W/S off \& on, coming in \& out of emulation \&/or setup mode while the CPU is functional can cause a hang. R\&D is aware of problem. The MXE seems more sensitive to these factors \& at 2 sites MXD's were used \& these type hangs have not occurred since.
Partitioning more terminals than physical ports available should be avoided, especially w/ the Triple Controller as it identifies itself as the last term.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/20/89 TIME: 15:33}

C/A/P 12/20/89 Talked w/ R\&D. When using the Triple Ctllr, the term sw bank id's the terminal port as the last terminal whereas a standard MXE or MXD onl y identifies itself as controller 1, 2, 3, or 4. In any case, should not try tpagen more terminals than ports on system.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/20/89 TIME: 12:27
C/A/P 12/20/89 Unable to duplicate problem w/ Multi-Disk. Set up on \(12 / 15 \mathrm{w} /\) \(4 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}, 2\) running Multi-Disk \& 2 running CPU Instruction Exerciser \& still running today. Sounds like a possible static or noise problem w/ that w/s. If problem is reproducible or re-occuring has it been the same terminal? Is static a possibility? Has it failed without anyone near the system? Are you using 2536DW's? The 2536DW's appear to be vulnerable to static because of missing shielding in the keybrd. The issue is being addressed \& we are hopin \(g\) for an answer in the next week. We believe there are 2 hang scenarios. One has to do w/ noise, static, or grounding issues between the w/s's \& the MXE's \& MXD's \& the other w/ disk. We have been able to provide R\&D w/ reproducible disk problems which were identified on site 12/18. With this in fo there should be an excellent chance to resolve the disk related hangs. As for partitioning more \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) 's than could physically exist, it is not reccommended because it is somewhat unknown territory especially w/ the 386 where everything has been re-coded. I have requested from R\&D a YES or NO answer on this.

If you can provide with more specific info on the Multi-Disk prob I will try to emulate. Should supply 0/S, how partitioned, ver of Multi-Disk, \& specifically what menu picks were made.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/20/89 TIME: 11:57
C/A/P 12/20/89 Unable to duplicate problem w/ Multi-disk Diagnostics. Set up \(386 \mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) 's, 2 running Multi-Disk \& 2 running C

DATE: 12/18/89
TIME: 13:39

SELECTION CRITERIA
```

PTR NUMBER -

```
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004527
END: C800004527
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C800004527
P1

CUST NAME: APOK N.V. CUST NUMBER: B1 00101933001 CUST RDB: B2900

We put a CS/386 on test with diagnostic 'Multi-disk excerciser' from two w/s with a 2280-3 disk drive. Each w/s gives access to 3 different platters, and the disk platter addresses for \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{sl}\) are not the same as for w/s2. After encountering a hang, we excecuted a SHIFT/RESET on a \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) and we got only the cursor in the left upper corner of that w/s. Then we went into MXE mode by pushing LOAD, LOAD,LOAD and we selected 'J' to restart.
After doing that we saw that on the other w/s the testprogram continued:
A second experiment is related to the tripple controller.
When the number of configured w/ss is higher than the workstation address of the tripple controller you will have all kinds of problems. When you do the same, but only with a MXD or MXE in the CPU, you have no problems.
\(s\) that normal?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/15/89 TIME: 18:55
C/A/P 12/15/89 Hang problem has happened at several sites.
Insure if \(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{s}\) 's are powered on \(\mathrm{w} /\) system running that no keys (especially RESET) is pressed till READY is seen on screen. This can bring the sys down. 12/18 R\&D \& I are going on-site w/ special 386 emulator to try \& trap duplicatable hang \& get info on exact cause.
Seems at this time to be at least 2 causes of hangs. 1 associated w/ disk which we hope to trap Mon \& 1 to do w/ W/S's \& Terminal Controllers. Over the last week we have had some success eliminating hangs by using only MXD te rm brds instead of MXE's. Too early to tell if the MXE may be a little more sensitive to the 386 than the MXD but looks like it.

ASSIGNED: DU MONGH MICHEL DATE: 12/13/89 TIME: 08:54
WE REPRODUSED THE PROBLEM ON SEVERAL SYSTEMS WITH TEST DIAGNOSTICS.
WHEN RUNNING MULTI-DISK EXCERCISER FROM TWO WORKSTATIONS ON AN EXTERNAL DS.
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/02/90 TIME: 08:39
4C640. Hang problem \& other 0/S concerns resolved w/ Maint Rel 1.OB. Sending call back to field to be closed as requested.

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR: STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

END: C800004186

CUST RDB: ALL
ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004186 PRIORITY P2

PRODUCT PROB NO: NOT LINKED.

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS/386-1ON
GEN SYST MODEL: CS 386
O. S. VERSION: 1. 0

HW MODEL NUMBER: CS/386-1ON
SW MODEL NUMBER: CS/386-1ON
SW VERSION:
1. 0

PART NUMBER: 212-7129-A
PART NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 11/27/89
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: 710409
STATUS DATE: 05/02/90
STATUS CODE: S C 595
STATUS ABBREV: PERM FIX
PROBLEM SUMMARY :FINDT ERWIN
Customer has a CS/ 386 with IM
the following problems appear:
1. If program overlays will be loaded the system prompts with syntax errors. because of wild characters and statement numbers. This happens with MXD Controllers.
2. After the MXD's has been replaced by MXE's the customer will get workstation hangs caused by INPUT statements and P34 errors
3. Programs now need \(150 \%\) of user memory. (old CS's need 100\%)
4. The performance should be at least \(30 \%\) better, but it isn't.

We try to get a new board from logistics, because we are not sure whether it is a harware bug or not. Did you hear something about these problems? It's the first installation in germany and we don't have any experience.
5. During my installation in the office I found out the CS/386 boot routine will hang with \#\#\# on the screen if a \(22 C 80\) controller is installed and the cable is connected to a 2280MDPU port.

DATE: 04/23/90 TIME: 08:43

START: C800004186
END: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004186 PRIORITY

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: G1 00016530001 CUST RDB: G2110

I'm agree. Please send that call back so I can close it or close it yourself.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/18/90 TIME: 10:23
Can we close this call? You have opened PTR C800007335 against O/S 1.OB. Please update. Will request write up sent with Maint Rel describing changes. There is no SRN per say made for a Maint Release.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 14:59
Please update with status of 1.OB.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 15:18 Update acknowledged. Please update as soon as can test out 1.OB so we can close this call.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 03/30/90 TIME: 08:24
Yes, in the meantime I received Vera. 1.0B. But I had already send my last update. For future releses I would like to get a Release Notice with the O/S.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/27/90 TIME: 18:24
R\&D has told me they have sent you a copy of 1.0 B . If not recvd by \(3 / 29\) please let me know \& will advise to resend. Please only use on as needed, problem basis.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 03/26/90 TIME: 08:45
Thank you for update. Could you send me this Rel 1.OB if tested? Sorry for not updating, but we had problems with our lokal system and on the PTR system in London they did have a old backup installed for a longer time.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 15:11
The SIO/Sll problem with the missing variable is resolved in Maint Rel l.OB. This Maint Rel is being beta tested at this time.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/02/90 TIME: 11:41
You are correct. Problem is being reported to Taiwan for correction.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/02/90 TIME: 11:23
Have not tried this yet but S10 is "missing left parenthesis" \& S11 is
"missing right parenthesis". Are you sure these are the correct errors your referring to?

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL

Please update call on how to duplicate problem from update of 1/31. If an update is not forthcoming will TRANSFER call back as no response.

\section*{ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN \\ DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 09:15}

The ERR A05 problem with SELECT NEW is now fixed with 1.08.
Additional problem in all CS/386 0/S:
If the number of variables defind in GOSUB' and DEFFN' is different, the
system responses with ERR S10 when the program will be executed, but-ERR S11
is correct: 10 GOSUB' 52 (A,B,C,D)
20
100 DEFFN' 52 ( \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\) )
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 16:44
Status please. Does this problem still exist with 1.08?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/12/90 TIME: 11:00
Status please?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 15:39
If problem still exists on 1.08 please send copy of failing program with exact instruction on duplicating the failure you encountered.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/31/90 TIME: 09:59
It's accepted that A05 can occur if a program will be saved under the new format with SELECT NEW and the complete program line doesn't fit in the 256 byte sector. But during my tests I found different problems still existing (with SAVE and SELECT NEW only)
1. I tried to save a program and I got ERR A05.

After I have splitted the line to two lines I got an ERR A05 in a next line which includes only \({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C} 2=\mathrm{Cl}\) ' and after editing in the next line....
2. Under unknown circumstances I got the ERR A05 message with a line number not used in the program.
The first problem is reproducable and I can send you the program if necessary.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/29/90 TIME: 10:21
The A05 with SELECT NEW is not a problem if it occurs due to a program line exceeding 256 bytes when converted to the 386 (SELECT NEW) format. This is a
'functions as designed' \& would require the line being split up. If this is not the reason then please supply us w/ some specifics so it can be tested

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C800004186
END: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004186 PRIORITY

CUST NAME: EASYDENT
CUST NUMBER: G1 00016530001 CUST RDB: G2110
out. The extra memory required is also a 'functions as designed'. Can we close this call?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 15:15
Maint Release 1.08 is being tested right now. There is a good chance it addr esses the 2 A05 errors. Will look into that. We will probably send you via OFFICE a copy of 1.08 next week. The need for more memory is not a problem; it is a necessity with the 386 because of the recoding \& is explained in TSB 9373 from 12/12/89, item 8 \& in TSB SWT 9225 from 12/26, item 1.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/26/90 TIME: 07:18
Received cs 7386 release 1.07.
- A05 ERROR with EDIT mode seems to be fixed in this release.
- A05 ERROR with SELECT NEW and SAVE or RESAVE is still there.
- Hangup during power up routine with 22 C80 seems fixed with E-rev 12 of \(22 \mathrm{C8O}\).
- The need of doubled memory is still the same.
- The other problems reported in this call seem to be hardware and timing related.
I will close this call, but please inform me if there is any solution regarding ERR AO5 with SELECT NEW and the MEMORY problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 17:36
Now that your fully armed, do we have a game plan for this call. Be prepared for a possible hardware problem.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 10:51
Have made arrangements to have Maintenance Release 1.07 sent to you via Wang Office. Let me know when you receive it.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 01/12/90 TIME: 05:26
We had some problems with the ptr systems in germany and UK. But I'm very happy about your good news. Please send me the maintenance release over wang office so I can install it here and on some customer sites having problems.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/11/90 TIME: 15:17
Will be out of office most of tomorrow \& on-site \(1 / 15\) \& 16 \& possibly 17.
Please let me know what you want to do.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/09/90 TIME: 12:40

START: C800004186
END: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL
ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004186 PRIORITY

P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB:

EASYDENT
G1 00016530001
G2110

Good news. New Maịntenance release of O/S now available \& fixes many problem \(s\) including some problems that hang the system where if RESET is pressed the screen blanks. In testing Rel 1.7 only 1 problem has been found \& that is with the RENUMBER statement which should not be used w/ this Release but shou id be fixed shortly. Hang problems corrected include those caused by powerin. g W/S's off or on \& some related to disk. The new release was just received Friday \& we have been testing the last few days. It is just being released to specific customers now so we haven't had any field feedback yet but our testing has been very positive. May be able to send you a copy electronicall y if you want right away. The difference between a CS w/ \(8 \mathrm{Meg} \& \mathrm{an} 8 \mathrm{Meg}\) 386 is: 1. The CS is restricted to partitions of 64 K max while the 386 is only restricted by available memory. If have only 1 partition could be 8 M . 2. If the new disk format is used w/ the 386 disk I/O will be at least equal while CPU processing speed should be approximately twice as fast.
3. There are some higher speed chips being used on some boards that appear to make the 386 as fast \(w /\) disk I/O as the CS in old disk Format as well. These faster chips are being looked into now \& I expect an ECO will be forthcoming to them permanent.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN
DATE: 01/09/90 TIME: 07:04
The customer has rejected the cs/386 board because of the reported problems s and the need of doubled memory with additional costs.
By the way: What's the solution if a customer has a normal CS with 8 MB Memory and will upgrade to a CS/386?
Two additional customers have also rejected the cs/386 board. For my own cs/386 I'm waiting for a 22 C 80 with E-Rev. 10 because I don't have all the ECO's for the 210-7715. But it's very difficult to get it (quickly).
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 01/05/90
TIME: 11:46

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/15/89 TIME: 13:31
continued:
- The A05 using Edit/Recall is a known bug which R\&D is aware of as is SELECT NEW both of which will be corrected.
TSB SWT 9225, Matrix 4301 will be published in the next week or 2 will list some other concerns \(w /\) the 386.

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C800004186
END: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ALL
C
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C800004186
PRIORITY

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: G1 00016530001
CUST RDB: G2110

I/O performance will be addressed once the hang issue has been resolved. The P50 error with the variables is a s/w prob \& R\&D is checking it out today to see if bug exists or some change to the program must be made.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E \\ DATE: 12/15/89 TIME: 13:23}

Syntax errors when overlaying programs from disk is most likely the disk controller. There have been similar problems of this type caused by the Dual Controller, 210-7342. Would suggest at this point to replace the controller in question \& if using a Dual try a different type.
The intermittent hang where when Reset is keyed only a cursor is seen has been reported from several sites. At this time we have been able to eliminat e many hangs by making sure no keys are depressed before READY comes up if powering on a W/S w/ the system up. At a couple of sites we have been able to eliminate hangs by using just MXD controllers instead of MXE's. It hasn't been long enough to tell for sure but the MXE may be more sensitive. 12/18 we will be going on site at a customer where we have a duplicatable hang w/ a special 386 emulator \& a PC which we hope will provide us info on the hang by showing us what happened.
The additional memory /partition was supposedly written up in FOCUS but I did not see it. This should have been made clearer.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 12/14/89 TIME: 08:56
- If old programs calculate variable dimensions with SPACE and additional fixed parameters the program runs into an ERROR P50. For example:
\(100 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{INT}((S P A C E-12500) / 80)\)
110 COM A\$(K)80
\(\uparrow\) ERROR P50
- If you edited a program line and you press RETURN the cs/386 system responses very often with ERROR AO5: LINE TOO LONG. I tried it with different partition sizes from 128K, 512K and 1024 K and got the same results.
- You get ERROR A05 also very often if you select SELECT NEW and try to save a previous loaded program. For example:

LOADDCT"TEST":SELECT NEW: SAVEDCT"TESTI"
After you have splittet the line number you get ERR A05 on the next line. even the line includes only for example ' \(100 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\) '.

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 12/14/89 TIME: 08:22
Here the status now:
1. I cannot reproduce the syntax errors during load of program overlays with

TR NUMBER -
PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
'DB I/SW INDICATOR: ATUS TYPE: ATUS CODE:

START: C800004186
END: C800004186
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL
C
ALL

ROBLEM NUMBER: C8000004186 PRIORITY

P2

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

EASYDENT
G1 00016530001
G2110
a 2280,2275 or DS. EASYDENT is a dealer and is also unable to reproduce it with a DS. But the dealers customer has a 2280 connected over a normal disk interface and in the meantime he has rejected the cs/386 board. I think now that the problem will be caused by a timing problem as documented in the TSB/HWT 9373. But the dealers customer will not allow us to use his cpu as a test cpu for wang. So we can nothing do on customer site.
2. Hangs with MXE's are also unrepraduceable. P34 errors may berelated to \(\qquad\) \(\therefore\) DATE and. TIME statements. For a period of time I got this error in line 50 of program "@CLOc" butits now unreproduceable without any hard or soft ware changes- I donitt know why!
3. Dealers andacustomensare unhappy about the need of more memory because it was not written in any documentation before. Any plans to change it?
4. The performance is much better, if the cpu is running without I/O's. But under normat data processing enviroment there are so much disk accesses that the performance will be the same as with an old CS.
5. I!mwaiting for a 22 C 80 Controller wit E-Rev. 10. Installed 2275 MUX with E4.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/13/89 TIME: 13:34
Current status please.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/01/89 TIME: 18:38
ERWIN, WILL SEND YOU A TSB ON CONCERNS \& IDIOSYNCRASIES W/ 386 VIA WANG OFFICE. AS FOR THE PROBLEMS YOU LISTED:
1. SYNTAX ERRORS WHEN OVERLAYING PROGRAMS. TRY A DIFFERENT TYPE DISK CONTROLLER IF USING A DUAL CONTROLLER. SEE \# 11 ON TSB. PLEASE LIST ALL ERRORS. THE IST BYTE OF A SECTOR SHOULD BE 40, 50, 60 OR 70. IF 2ND DIGIT I S NOT O WILL HAVE AOI ERRORS POSSIBLY. THIS WAS NOT A PROBLEM W/ PREVIOUS CPU'S.
2. IS MXE PROB W/ P34 ERRORS REPRODUCIBLE W/ MORE THAN 1 MXE. PULL OUT ALL CONTROLLERS EXCEPT 1 DISK \& 1 MXE \& TEST. IF STILL FAILING TRY 2ND MXE, TRY DIFFERENT TYPE DISK CONTROLLER AS MENTIONED ABOVE. STILL PROB TRY MXD \& NEW 386 BRD. IT IS ASSUMED YOUR USING VIRGIN WANG O/S.
3. 386 REQUIRE MORE MEMORY. SEE \# 8 IN TSB.
4. PERFORMANCE HAS BEEN PROBLEM WHEN DOING A LOT OF OVERLAYS. THIS IS BEING LOOKED INTO. SHOULD SEE BIG IMPROVEMENT WHEN CPU BOUND.
5. DO NOT ASSUME A CONTROLLER IS GOOD BECAUSE WORKED W/ PREVIOUS CPU. SEE \# 3 IN TSB. THERE MAY BE PROB W/ 22C80 W/ FASTER CLOCK OF 386. ALSO INSURE ALL CONTROLLERS HAVE LEGAL ADDRESSES AS PER \# 5 IN TSB. AGAIN TROUBLESHOOT W
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PTR NUMBER - & START: C800004186 & & END: C800004186 \\
PRIORITY: & ALL & & \\
PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & \\
RDB - & CUST RDB: ALL & ORIG RDB: ALL \\
HW/SW INDICATOR: & ASSIGN RDB: ALL & & \\
STATUS TYPE: & ALL & & \\
STATUS CODE: & ALL & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C800004186 & CUST NAME: & EASYDENT \\
PRIORITY & P2 & CUST NUMBER: & G1 00016530001 \\
& & CUST RDB: & G2110
\end{tabular}
/ MIN CONFIG IF NEED BE \& LET ME KNOW RESULTS. PLEASE LET ME KNOW YOUR FINDINGS ON ALL 5 POINTS.

ASSIGNED: LIEBSON SHELLY DATE: 11/29/89 TIME: 11:50
Sorry Erwin, I have no idea whose PTR this should be. Is it a PC problem? My RDB is for VS IMAGING, COMPILERS \& DATABASES \& TOOLS! Sorry

ASSIGNED: FINDT ERWIN DATE: 11/27/89 TIME: 10:41
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/01/90 TIME: 15:37
SC595. All problems listed corrected in latest maintenance release l.OB. Sending call back to field to be closed as agreed upon.

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PEMBER -
PRIURITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410002615
END: C410002615
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C} 410002615 \\
& \mathrm{P} 1
\end{aligned}
\] & CUST NAME: CUST NUMBER: CUST RDB: & LARTER \& SONS 0000002008027 3234 \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ESC & CUST CONTACT: & S SCHULTZ/AL MANO 201-730-702 \\
\hline PRODUCT PROB NO: & NOT LINKED & CUST CONT PHONE: & 00-201-290-1515 \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 1: & 10 INDUSTRIAL DR \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 2: & \\
\hline & & CUST ADDRESS 3: & \\
\hline SYSTEM MODEL NO: & 2200-CS-CPU & CUST CITY: & LAURENCE HARBOR \\
\hline GEN SYST MODEL: & 2200 CS CPU & CUST ST/PROV: & NJ \\
\hline O. S. VERSION: & & CUST ZIP: & 08889-0000 \\
\hline HW MODEL NUMBER: & CS-386 & CUST COUNTRY: & \\
\hline SW MODEL NUMBER: & & RDB ASSIGNED: & 8760 \\
\hline SW VERSION: & & PERSON ASSIGNED: & BAHIA MICHAEL E \\
\hline PADT NUMBER: & & ORIG NAME: & SMITH DAVID P \\
\hline Pf NUM REV: & & ORIG PHONE: & - - - \\
\hline CALL TRKG DATE: & 00/00/00 & NETWORKED: & \(N\) \\
\hline CALL TRKG NO: & & RES DEPLOYED: & \\
\hline ORG ACT/SYM/ACN: & & DATE ENTER PTR: & 11/14/89 \\
\hline STATUS DATE: & 11/14/89 & DATE TO R\&D: & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & H 0495 & WKDYS IN R\&D: & 104.53 \\
\hline STATUS ABBREV: & NEW PROBLM & TOT WKDYS OPEN: & 105.26 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROBLEM SUMMARY :SMITH DAVID P DATE: 11/14/89 TIME: 15:46
\(11 / 14 / 89 \mathrm{ptr}\) is being opened at the request of mark reilly who is on site assisting in memory upgrade. problem is 192 errors when resetting from any w/s. please forward to RDB 8760. Thanks dave

ASSIGNED: SMITH DAVID P DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 13:27 MIKE, ANYTHING GOING ON WITH THIS LATELY? MY UNDERSTANDING FROM OUR ATS IS EVERYTHING SEEMS TO BE WORKING FINE SINCE THE NEW RELEASE?

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: 11:09 Talked w/ cust \& s/w vendor. No h/w or o/s problems since back around the 8th when a board had to be replaced. Maint Rel 1.OB installed yesterday.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 10:25
No O/S problems since 1.07 loaded in January. Did have problem this week boo ting. According to programmer on site p/s \& lst MXD replaced \& was getting I \({ }^{-t r y i n g}\) to access disk form lst 4 terminals only. Replaced MXD again \& ok sir.ed yesterday. Will monitor a couple more weeks because of yesterdays prob

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C410002615
END: C410002615
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER:
PRIORITY

C410002615
P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER: 0000002008027
CUST RDB: 3234
lem \& close at that time if no prob.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 17:15
No problem since loading Maint Rel 1.07 back in January. Has not had any problems with corrupted files either. Spoke w/ Al Mano the programmer.

ASSIGNED: HALEY JOHN L DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 16:55
Mike , transfer call to 3200 , thanks for the help.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/24/90 TIME: 16:23
Maint Rel 1.07 installed yesterday, 1/23. Duplicatable hang problem resolved . Has had an occasional corrupted file. Hopefully 1.07 will address.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E}

DATE: 01/18/90 TIME: 11:32
Maint Rel 1.07 has been sent to S/W Vendor. Waiting for install to verify corrects hang problem duplicated here on site visit. Cust has otherwise been running well except has had prob w/ tape Backup which runs overnite. Looks like power or tape problem \& not a 386 issue.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 15:08}

Have received updated \(0 / S\) from Taiwan that addresses Hang problem duplicated here. \(0 / S\) has bug with local printers at 204. Waiting correction in day or 2. Will then make arrangements to test out.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/20/89 TIME: 17:04
On site \(12 / 18\) from 2:45 till midnight w/ CE \& Mike Riley. Able to duplicate problem of hanging on load. Mike Riley walked thru O/S code \& R\&D from
- Taiwan on phone. Appears problem in linking process of LOAD statement under specific conditions set up by previously loaded programs, data used, \&/or the configuration. Made copies of the problem software \& screen dumps of the scr eens to create the problem. Info sent off to R\&D today. Hopeful for possibl e fix around list of year.

No garbage on screens since replacing MXD's a week ago. Cust did have hang Friday but unsure of details.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 12/15/89 TIME: 13:55
Scheduled to go on-site \(12 / 18 \mathrm{w} /\) special emulator \& PC to trap problem. Will be landing in Newark Airport 12:45 PM on Continental Flt 351. CE to pick us up at Airport.


\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PUMBER PRiuRITY: PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C410002615
END: C410002615
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
PROBLEM NUMBER: & C410002615 & CUST NAME: & LARTER \& SONS \\
PRIORITY & P1 & CUST NUMBER: & 0000002008027 \\
& & CUST RDB: & 3234
\end{tabular}

No hangs thru noon today. CE on-site to isolate h/w on reproducible hang w/ 1 particular program from terminal 6. Hang does not occur form term 1. CE replaced all MXD's W/ new MXD's, then used MXE's, \& then replaced the CPU brd \& problem remained consistent on terminal 6. Replaced both MXD's as possible fix to intermittent garbage appearing on screen. Customer preferred staying w/ MXD's as intermittent hang much more serious than garbage on screen which may be corrected w/ new brds. Garbage was coming up on terms on both MXD's. Tentatively planning to go on-site Mon \(12 / 18\) if special Diagnostic O/S ready. A few bugs have been found which R\&D hopes to have corrected by end of week. Will call Thur or Fri to confirm plans.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/11/89 TIME: 18:23
Cal upgraded to Pl. Since going to all MXD's only hang has been from termin ai \& is reproducible. Must have MXD eliminated as possible cause of proble m . Called BM. Must arrange at customer's convenience tomorrow \& co-ordinate w/ programmer to go on-site \& replace MXD for terminal 6 \& rerun program to see if hang still occurs. Takes 5 min to reproduce hang according to program mer. Suggest bring to MXD's so that backup is available. May be good idea \(t\) o test before doing anything, replace 2nd MXD \& test, \& if still fails ist MXD as sometimes 1 may affect the other. If fails w/ new MXD's Mike Riley \& myself will try to make arrangements to come out on Wednesday afternoon at cu st's convenience, install maint 0/S to trap hang \& get details on prob. If \(\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}\) is cause this trip will be worthless. Please update from site on test results or leave DVX. May not be available between 1 pm \& 3:30.

ASSIGNED: SMITH DAVID P DATE: 12/11/89 TIME: 09:37
12/11/89 WE WOULD LIKE TO UPGRADE THIS ESCALATION TO A PI AS MORE PROBLEMS ARE BEING ENCOUNTERED AND AT THE REQUEST OF THE HOME OFFICE PEOPLE WHO WERE ON SITE FOR THE INSTALL.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/07/89 TIME: 16:41
CE ON SITE TODAY AT NOON W/ S/W VENDOR. ALL MXE'S REPLACED W/ MXD'S, DUAL CONTROLLER TO DS REPLACED W/ TRIPLE, R3 PROM INSTALLED IN DS, \& 64 MEG WINC ADDED TO DS. HAD TROUBLE INSTALLING 64 MEG YESTERDAY BECAUSE DS WAS NOT PROPERLY SETUP. HAD NO DSI. ONLY WINC WAS DS2 \& IT WAS TERM. CORRECTED \& APPEARS OK. NOW PROG HAS PROB WHERE 1 PROG WILL WORK FINE ON TERM 1 BUT IF R UNS ON 6 HANGS SYSTEM \& SCREEN BLANKS. CALLED BM TO TRY ARRANGE TO HAVE SOMEONE SENT ON-SITE. ALSO SUGGESTED MAKING CALL CRITICAL. PROGRAMMER WILL BE AY-SITE TONITE SO WOULD LIKE SOMEONE ON SITE TODAY TO PREVENT DOWNTIME TG.. \(R\) RROW. SHOULD BE PREPARED FOR ANYTHING. PROG HAS IDENTIFIED PROB AS

PROBLEM inuir.
PRIORITY

CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

LAKIER \&
0000002008027 3234

HAPPENING ON LOAD STATEMENT WHICH COULD MEAN DS BRD, DISK CONTROLLER, OR POSSIBLY DRIVE. WHERE PROB SEEMS TO BE W/ A PARTICULAR PARTITION, A 386 BRD OR MXD BRD COULD BE FLT. COULD ALSO BE O/S RELATED.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/06/89 TIME: 18:05
BM called back. CE has left site. Tried to install 64 meg in DS \& prob. Will call back in AM w/ CE. E-rev 3 prom not installed yet. Uncertain if all MXE brds replaced w/ MXD \& Dual controller to DS replaced w/ Triple.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/06/89 TIME: 15:49
Called BM. CE on site. \(B M\) to have CE call me.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/04/89 TIME: 13:07
SPOKE W/ CUST \& W/ AL MANO THE PROGRAMMER FROM CDS. CUST HAS BEEN EXPERIENCING INTERMITTENT HANGS \& AOI ERRORS. SOME OF THE AOI ERRORS ARE DUE TO SECTO RS STARTING W/ 4B WHICH THE PROGRAMMER IS AWARE OF. THE 2ND DIGIT MUST BE A 0 ON A 386. THEY HAVE ALSO EXPERIENCED AN AOI DUE TO A PROGRAM THAT ALL OF A SUDDEN WAS CORRUPTED. THE PROGRAMMER JUST CORRECTED. FOR THE HANG PROB WANT TO REMOVE ALL MXE'S TEMPORARILY \& REPLACE W/ MXD'S. FOR THE AOI WANT TO REP LACE THE DUAL CONTROLLER W/ A TRIPLE CONTROLLER \& UPDATE THE DS PROM TO R3. PROGRAMMER HAS ALREADY OPENED A CALL \& HAS NOT RCVD A CALL BACK. LEFT MESSAGE FOR BM RICK BRUNN TO CALL ME.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/21/89 TIME: 12:39
 RCVD AS OF END OF DAY FRI.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/17/89 TIME: 15:06
EVERYTHING RUNNING FINE EXCEPT 1 PROGRAM WHICH BLOWS O/S. NO ERROR CODE \& O/S MUST BE RELOADED. S/W VENDOR DOES NOT KNOW WHAT PROB IS BUT IS SENDING DOWN NEW COPY OF PROGRAM.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/15/89 TIME: 16:33
OF O/S. ALL H/W CHECKED OUT GOOD. CUST WAS USING WRONG CABLE W/ DS. CABLE NOW ON 2275. CABLE SHOULD BE REPLACED W/ CORRECT CABLE, 220-0105-4 OR 2200364. WILL MONITOR SITE FOR A WEEK OR 2 BEFORE CLOSING.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/15/89 TIME: 16:29
INTERMITTENTLY, PRESSING RESET FROM A W/S WHILE ACCESSING DISK COULD RESULT IN AN I92 ERROR. ON SITE \(11 / 13\) W/ CE \& MIKE RILEY FROM R\&D. ISOLATED PROB TO O/S BY USING A VIRGIN WANG 3861.0 0/S. FOUND BOTH ADDRESSES 310 \& 320 IN DEVICE TABLE MORE THAN ONCE. WHEN PARTITIONING SYSTEM ONLY NEED TO HAVE BASE ADDRESS SUCH AS 310, 320, OR 330 IN FOR DISK. SYSTEM AUTOMATICALLY ASSUMES UP TO 32 ADDRESSES CAN BE ASSOCIATED W/ EACH ADDRESS. S/W VENDOR HAD ADDR D5F IN FOR EXAMPLE FOR DS TAPE WHERE THIS ADDRESS IS AUTOMATICALLY AVAILABLE IF A DS EXISTS AT 310. REMOVED ALL UNNECESSARY DISK ADDRESSES FROM CUST S/W \& WAS NO LONGER ABLE TO DUPE PROB. BAND PRINTER PRINTING WRONG CHARS \&/OR ADDING CHARS. W/ VENDOR'S APPROVAL MAINT REL OF 1.08 OF THE \(3860 / S\) WAS LEFT W/ CUST. TESTED FOR BOTH PROBLEMS W/ 1.03 \& OK. THERE IS KNOWN PROB W/ PRINT ER DRIVERS W/ THIS RELEASE WHICH THE S/W VENDOR WAS MADE AWARE OF. THEY ARE NOT USING PRINTER DRIVERS. THIS PROB WILL BE RESOLVE W/ NEXT GENERAL REL OF

ASSIGNED: SCHAEFER ROBERT J DATE: \(11 / 14 / 89\)
Sending call to 8760 as requested by Mark Rielly
ASSIGNED: SMITH DAVID P DATE: 11/14/89
RESOLUTION TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/11/90 TIME: 14:21
HC640. No O/S related problems since 1.07 installed in Jan. Did have H/W prob in early March which was resolved. Currently running on Maint Rel 1.0B since 3/22. No problems in that time frame. Sending call back to field to be closed.
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\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}
PIUMBER -
PRIURITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410002401
END: C410002401
PRIURITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ? "ORIG RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

STATUS CODE:

PROBLEM TRACKING AND REPORTING CUSTOMER ACCOUNT DETAIL REPORT


PROBLEM SUMMARY :HARRINGTON JAMES DATE: 10/27/89 TIME: 15:47
Symptoms: When using the 386 pcb in conjuntion with the 2200 DS , system does not recognize the streaming tape drive System gives an error "Not a BS tape drive." If you were to select the backup funtion you get the tape drive error: but if you use the HALT key to step through the program yoi will be succossin ec: ful. The other problem was that when you got the error message and tried to , use the SHIFT RESET keys to reset, the CPU would hang. None of these problemstr: were evident whe using the VLSI pcb in the DS. I attempted to resolve these ma, symptoms by first changing the tape drive, with REV C prom, then 'putting in . another DPU pcb REV 2 I also removed all pcb's except for the Disk Controller. a MXE and the 386 pcb . I also removed all drives from the DPU pcb except for the floppy and the streamer, none of these things worked. These things were done upon request from Mike Bahia whom I've been working with. I was also tol d that Tyler Olsen had been helping the cust. with this problem via the telephone.

IGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 05/10/90 TIME: 13:58
Ta...ed w/ customer. PC problem also exists w/ VLSI so not a 386 problem. No

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410002401
END: C410002401
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C410002401
PRIORITY

P1

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

COMPUTER SOFTWARE SPEC INC 00 00001713486 3125
problems w/ 386 at this time. Not using at moment because does not have programs in new format \& w/ heavy use of system, GIO statements, \& Disk I/O was running slower than VLSI. Under these conditions this is normal. Cust has purchased a 210-8824 board \& the loaner 210-8824 can be picked up at any time. Talked w/ BM and agreeable to Transfer call back to field to be closed as there are no out-standing issues.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 04/09/90 TIME: 11:27
Jim, talked with customer. OK to pick up first. Would suggest calling lst. Having some problems w/ PC's communicating w/ the 2200. Still trying to olate problem. PC's seem to hang \& when keys RESET does not get READY. ing data scope can see READY message coming back but never gets to screen.

> ISSIGNED: HA RINGTON JAMES DATE: 04/06/90 TIME: 15:02
ike I have to get the board back that we lent Jim. I have told Jim Simpson hat I am coming in to get it and now wants to buy it. I cannot sell him a oard and the one he has was suppose to be returned in 30 day. I did this at your request and I woild appreciate if you could give me some help

ASSIGNED: HARRINGTON JAMES DATE: 03/26/90 TIME: 13:35
The last comment is in error it should have been entered for Brockton Police
ASSIGNED: HARRINGTON JAMES DATE: 03/26/90 TIME: 13:31 on \(3 / 26 / 90\) reloaded vers. 1.08 onto cs386 also replaced \(2108826 a\) dpu card wit ch was bad. system had been hanging and workstations dropping off. TYPICAL 38 6. PROBLEMS.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/23/90 TIME: } 10: 43 \\
& \text { System has been running fairly well since last Friday, } 3 / 16 \text { Does have a } \\
& \text { flakey MXE brd which intermittently will loose terminals. Also has had prob } \\
& \text { running PC Emulation on } 2 \text { nd MXE brd but may be brd is bad. In process of } \\
& \text { replacing MXE. Still has some concern: on download of code to } 2228 \text {. Has used } \\
& \text { HALT/STEP to identify GIO commands as problem area. Now running Maint Rel } \\
& \text { I.OB \& has found bug. If use a REM statement in immediate mode \& then RECALL } \\
& \text { the line get garbage back. Has reported prob to TO. } \\
& \text { ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E } \\
& \text { Problem with hang loading 0/S resolved. Cable to lst W/S loose. Tightened \& } \\
& \text { Ok. }
\end{aligned}
\]
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\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PIUMBER -
PRIURITY:
PROBLEM TYPE:
RDB -
HW/SW INDICATOR:
STATUS TYPE:
STATUS CODE:

START: C410002401
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL !:. CUST RDB: ALL : \(\because\) ORIG RDB: ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: C410002401 PRIORITY

CUST NAME: COMPUTER SOFTWARE SPEC INC
CUST NUMBER: 0000001713486
CUST RDB: 3125

ALL
0
ALL
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inco
\[
i^{\wedge} \cdot c
\]
\[
\boldsymbol{j}^{\cdots}
\] END: C410002401 . ה nre TV IISE

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a 3"U.N: M } \\
& \text { TI? } \because
\end{aligned}
\]

Cannot bring system up today. Using 1 VLSI brd, 1 MXE, \& 1 Disk Controller. \(\quad\) ins Hangs loading O/S. Appears problem is most likely in the chassis or possibly has multiple brd problems. Does not know if iwantslocal service as CPU belongs to TO. Will get back to me.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE: 03/09/90 TIME: 09:59
Installed new Dual Controller \& still having problem. Lost 4 terminals on 1 MXE \& since removing that MXE yesterday morning only had 1 problem which occuc at : OJnjlcia red w/in a few minutes of that lst IPL. Will throw another MXE in from anoth : bexfot m: er CPU Monday. Monitoring.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/07/90 TIME: 12:44
Apmars 2275MUX board may have been problem causing need to RESET twice on po
 \& they have hung twice today \& in both cases also lost the O/S. \(\because\) This may mean there is another problem but cust will swap out 2275 MUX \& use a diffrent t disk controller to try \& isolate. If no problems w/ different controller will arrange a swap of the 2275MUX to correct rev.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/06/90 TIME: 18:36
VAR brought CPU to home office to troubleshoot prob. Working w/ TO. r.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 03/01/90 TIME: 15:01 \(\quad\). \(\cdot\). Spoke with customer. Has had trouble IPL'g since replacing MXD W/ MXE: ve rebser coiasis ar
 ntually bring system up. This was lst term controller brd so swapped with existing MXE \& no change. Tried w/ VLSI CPU brd, all extra controllers remov ed, different disk controller, \& 3rd MXE but no change. Appears to be"PSor illas arele:
 unit in to fix as customer does not have contract \& CPU was loanediout by TO:1, av,

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: :02/26/90 TIME:•15:48 Talked w/ customer. Recently replaced 1 MXD w/ an MXE* in going back to orig--
 key SF to load hangs w/ LOADING on the screen. Usually must RESET at least. \(1 . a \operatorname{san}\) an more time \& re-key SF to LOAD O/S. Also while running today lost all \(4 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{Ss}\)
 error after ?. Will make bad MXE 2nd brd \& see if this helps IPL prob. Wille, artar piably need to replace MXE although works fine w/ LVP. Good news is down loming code to 2228 controller now seems to be at normal speed w/ 2 MXE's as

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER PRIORITY: PROBLEM TYPE: RDB HW/SW INDICATOR: STATUS TYPE: STATUS CODE:

START: C410002401
END: C410002401
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN RDB: ALL CUST RDB: ALL
ALL
0
ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER: PRIORITY

C'410002401
Pl

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

COMPUTER SOFTWARE SPEC INC 0000001713486 3125
opposed to loading w/ MXE \& MXD.
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 02/20/90 TIME: 15:52
Customer has been running withou't error on 1.08 for a: few weeks. Will be going into a full development mode Thurs w/ the 386. Still concerned with the slowness of downloading 3270 ucode to 2228D. This is only done once a da y so it is not critical. Suggested customer who is a VAR call Tyler olson directly to discuss problem. Made Tyler aware of current situation.

ASSIGNED: HALEY JOHN L DATE: 02/15/90 TIME: 15:29
Any status from R\&D on the 3270 performance issue?
ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/29/90 TIME: 10:49
Everything still running fine except for the problem w/ the variable used w/ SELECT \#. This should be fixed in 1.08. Still has not added MXE or PC's but should do now. Believe TO sent out 1.08 Fri . Cust concernedw/ 2228D as when downloads 3270 code much slower than VLSI. Will address w/ R\&D.

\section*{ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/17/90 TIME: 11:30}

System was running fine, error-free, until install O/S 1.07 installed. Discovered RENUMBER problem which was documented in paperwork sent w/ 0/S. Found a 2nd problem which has been relayed to MR in R\&D. W/ 1 particular program using Global partitions, program goes into a Background Global Partit ion to retrieve a disk address \& comes back w/ error S17 (Missing Hex Digit) on the following statement line: SELECT \# XX<D\$>
Can RESET or LIST ok at this point but if try to PRINT D\$ blows system. Everything else seems to be running ok.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 01/04/90 TIME: 14:33
386 running error-free \& being used quite a bit.. Still using MXD's. Has found the PC's will intermittently hang the VLSI when enter 2200 emulation. So this problem is not specific to the 386 \& may relate to the PC or cabling between the PC \& 2200.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 12/20/89 TIME: 15:55
Still no hangs. Was able to do a Backup/Recovery w/out error. Has an e-rev 67715 in the VLSI CPU mux'd to the 8824 in the 386 \& at this time no prob. Will now add a 3rd W/S \& then a PC. w/ the MXD. When coming into emulation w/ the PC warned not to hit any keys till screen updated. Need to know if can have prob w/out hitting keys. If hit'keys or need to to update screen should

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

\section*{IUMBER－} PRIORITY： PROBLEM TYPE：
RDB -
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STATUS CODE:
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START：C410002401
ALL
ALL

ALL
0
ALL


\section*{PROBLEM NUMBER： PRIORITY \\ C410002401 \\ Pl}

CUST NAME：COMPUTER SOFTWARE SPEC ING CUST NUMBER：．O0．00001713486 CUST RDB： 3125
\(: 87 \sin 6\)
monitor time between when hitting a key causes a problem \＆whenjdoesn＇t after going into emulation．
 Cust call Cust called．Installed 2275MUX yesterday AM：\＆no prob since，Originally use ria ntni d 7715 in 386 which had been working in 386 connected directly to a 7717 in a MDPU．When connected to 8824 had I93＇s？Put 8824 in 386 CPU \＆ 7715 in other \＆no prob since．Adding additional standard W／S＇s to increase workload．

ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E \(\quad\) DATE： \(12 / 12 / 89\) TIME： \(13: 38\)
Loaner 2275MUX（210－8824）must be e－rev 4．

A\＆fIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E
DATE：12／12／89 TIME：13：37
 Cust needs 2275MUX brd（210－8824）to increase workload．Talked \(W /\) BM \＆DMr \＆ will arrange to loan board to cust till 1／15．If brdnot in stock DM to çald an ob back．Need to know when brd is installed．

OTSésejlo．

\section*{ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE：12／04／89 ：TIME：12：21} STILL HANGING ONCE OR TWICE A DAY．CUST DOES NOTiHAVE ANY 2536DW＇S \＆IS NOT DOING ANY POWERING ON OF TERMINALS．THEY ARE USING WISE PC＇S THOUGH \＆COMING IN \＆OUT OF EMULATION．SOMETIMES WHEN HANGS PROB ISOLATED TO JUST 1HMXE．HAS
2 MXE＇S．SUGGESTED REMOVING PC＇S TEMPORARILY \＆BOTH MXE＇S \＆USING ONLY A
 ASSICNED BAHIA MICHAELE DATE： \(12 / 04 / 89\) TIME
 CURRENT STATUS．

ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE： \(11 / 17 / 89\) TIME：14－57 juti Called site．Has had 2 problems only in＇last week．once sys crashed \＆when on gaj tit reset \(W\)／s＇s screen was blank．This happened．again but only to 3 W／Sis on the：mircis aint same controller while using a 4th port to run TC．The port running TC continu ed to run．

ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E \(\cdot\) DATE： \(11 / 10 / 89\) ，TIME：12：49

 U －ity or the Configuration program．Also had problem w／P Dual Controller in．．．rmittently giving Ig1 on a Move statement and loading programs．No prob，fuolw do ig sues

\section*{SELECTION CRITERIA}

PTR NUMBER－
PRIORITY：
PROBLEM TYPE：
RDB
HW／SW INDICATOR：
STATUS TYPE：
STATUS CODE：

SFART：C410002401
ALL
ALL
ASSIGN ROB：ALL ALL 0
ALL

END：C410002401

ORIG RDB：ALL

PROBLEM NUMBER：C410002401 CUST NAME：COMPUTER SOFTWARE SPEC INC PRIORITY Pl CUST NUTMBER： 0000001713486 3125

W／ 386 Brd．Equipment running fine when－cust left．Let＇s monitor the call f or a week or 2 to insure no problems pop up．
ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE： \(11 / 07 / 89 \mathrm{~S}\) TIME： \(11: 10\)
JIM SIMPSON BRINGING EQUIPMENT TO R\＆D LAB LATER THIS WEEK．SHOULD BE ABLE TO RESOLVE THEN．WILL UPDATE W／RESULTS．

ASSIGNED：BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE：11／07／89 TIME：10：49
TAEKED W／BM \＆DTSM．UNABLE TO ORDER 386 BRD AS SITE IS A BETA．WILL GET 386 BRD \＆TEST．PROBLEM MAY BE O／S SO WILL ALSO MAKE A COPY．WILL UPDATE AS SOON AS CAN GET BRD．HAVE CALLS INTO LOGISTICS \＆R\＆D CONCERNING BRD．

ASSIGNED：HARRINGTON JAMES DATE：10／27／89 TIME：15：47
RESOLUTION TEXT ：BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE：05／10／90 TIME：14：26
HC640． 386 problems resolved．
Error＂Not a DS Tape Drive＂．Caused by 210－6541－2 disk controlier．
Get error \＆Shift／RESET，screen blanks．0／S prob corrected w／1．07．
Intermittent 191 errors．Flakey 210－7342＇boárd？＇
I93＇s to disk．Down e－rev 7715 brd．Must be \(10 \mathrm{w} / 386\) ．Had e－rev 6.
Hanging system going into PC 2200 Emulation．O／S bug fixed w／1．07．
RENUMBER brings down system． \(0 / \mathrm{S}\) bug fixed w／ 1.08.
SELECT \＃variable in some cases bombs sys． \(0 / S\) bug fixed w／ 1.08.
2 28 FD slow when downloading code．Combo of use w／MXD \＆GIO statements．

Lòst terminals on 1 MXE．Bad MXE．
then recall a REM statemnt in immed mode get garbage． \(0 / S\) bug fixed in 1.10.
PC hangs intermittent in 2200 emulation．Fails 7 W／both 386 \＆．VLSI．PC or MXE problem． ¢rif ：\％¢ 5．
Transferring call back to fifild to rlose．All 386 issues
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SELECTION CRITERIA & & & , & \(\therefore\) ¢1: \\
\hline PTR NUMBER - & START: C510002919 & & OEENDF5C51000291.9 & \\
\hline PRIORITY: & ALL & & \(\cdots\) & \\
\hline PROBLEM TYPE: & ALL & & is & : 3 \\
\hline RDB - & ASSIGN RDB: ALL' & CUST' RDB: ALL &  & \\
\hline HW/SW INDICATOR: & ALL & & \(1{ }^{3}\) & aray \\
\hline STATUS TYPE: & 0 & & 0 & \\
\hline STATUS CODE: & ALL -. . - - ... & .-. & \(\cdots\) & : \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SYSTEM MODEL NO: CS-386
GEN SYST MODEL: CS 386
O. S. VERSION: 010000

HW MODEL NUMBER: CS-386
SW MODEL NUMBER: OS
SW VERSION:
PART NUMBER:
PART NUM REV:
CALL TRKG DATE: 00/00/00
CALL TRKG NO:
ORG ACT/SYM/ACN:
STATUS DATE: \(\quad 10 / 20 / 89\)
STATUS CODE: H 0495
STATUS ABBREV: NEW PROBLM
PROBLEM SUMMARY :LOPER GARY
After upgrading a CS to the new CS-386, the TOM's softwareiprograms:are it getting error AO2's.

ASSIGNED: LOPER GARY Mike, Talked to Riley and he explained things. Didn't realize that the CS-386 requires twice as much memory as a VESI CPU Without'manuals, it's hard to figure some of these out. O.K. to close this one. Please send it back to us at RDB 3670. Thanks for you involvement. Gary

ASSIGNED: LOPER GARY
DATE: 11/02/89 TIME: 14:43
I guess that Mike Riley has all ready spoken to the vendor on this one. I have not been on the site myself, and possibly do not know all the specifics relating tothis case. I have left Mike Riley a message to contact me and let me know more about it. We have only a TSB here that describes the CS-386 but no other documentation. I will update after I hear from Mike.

PROBLEM NUMBER: C510002919 PRIGRTY

CUST NAME:
CUST NUMBER:
CUST RDB:

HATRA CHURCH GOODS COMPANY 0000001127919 3686

Has the system been partitioned the exact same way for both the CS brd \& the 386 brd. Should britig'a 'Ẃang virgin 0/S 3.3 \& Wang virgin 3861.0 O/S back
" to site \& verify \(0 / S\) is mot problem. If using a cust \(0 / S\) either the old or \(n\) ey or thoth could be fitered \& we need to insure that is not the reason the problem does or defisn't exist. This should be done when the Pl'd 386 brd is brought to site \& tried \& if it fails.

ASSIGNED: LOPER GARY DATE: 10/26/89 TIME: 10:29
. the problem is consistant. Some programs do run, others fail at the same - poist eachitimex The programs still run fine using the 8937. (NOTE: this
 having a ref copy of the OS seft and will be there on Monday 10/30. We will have more info for you then.

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/24/89 TIME: 10:04
NEED TO KNOW IFAREGBLEM IS CONSESTENT' \& COMES UP THE SAME PLACE \& TIME EA TIM E \& IF THE 8937suAS TRIED AFTER THEPROBLEM STARTED. IF SOMETHING OCCURRED
 REPYACING THE 386 BRDTMAY NOT FIXIIT IF. THE S/W IS DAMAGED. NEED TO VERIFY S/W IS STILL GOOD IF 386 BRD DOES HOT FIX BY RUNNING S/W ON 8937.
:
ASSIGNED: LOPER GARY DATE: 10/24/89 TIME: 08:17
New board stilf not rec'd. 8937 bedard runs the old programs O.K. Also the Hew sf-386 board wfl run the programs if'the partition is set to 200K. (?) I personally havenit seen this, but this is what the CE and programmer say. Will update you as: soon as the new CS-386 arrives and is tried. Gary

ASSIGNED: BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 10/23/89 TIME: 13:49 OBVIOUSLY NEED TO TRY BRD. IF PROB GANF BE REPRODUCED W/OUT TOO MUCH DIFFICUL TY WANT YOU TO BRIMG A 210-8937 BRD TO SITE WHEN NEW 386 BRD RCV'D. IF NEW 386 BRD DOES NOT FIX PROB FRY THE 210-8937. WILL NEED TO HAVE STANDARD O/S TO USE 8937 BRD. THIS WILL VERIFY CUST S/W STILL GOOD.

ASSIGNED: LOPER GARY
DATE: 10/20/89 TIME: 16:14
The CS-386 board was ordered and came from manufacturing DOA. The motherdaughter board was separated to try to fix the other, but the same problem exists. Diagnostics pass. When the A02 error occurs "END" shows about 2K z:stefefthuthese are programs that used to work fine. Another CS-386 board has been ordered \(t \in 212-7129-A)\).

Run TOMs software programs in 56k partitions which worked as a CS unit. B: : RESOLUTIÓN TEXT :BAHIA MICHAEL E DATE: 11/06/89 TIME: 15:04
nich HC789. When going from a standard 2200 to a 386 brd, more program space is tingírequired for each program as variables now can take up up too 8 times as much space. As a safe rule of thumb twice the partition size shouldibe used when sai upgrading to a 386 board. The memory required could vary w/ each program so
 - :there:memorymequirements: De-eséfating call to be closed /District. Cust tior fncreas sed partition sizem wiviking fine.```

